

THE NOTION OF $N = 1$ SUPERGEOMETRIC VERTEX OPERATOR SUPERALGEBRA AND THE ISOMORPHISM THEOREM

KATRINA BARRON

ABSTRACT. We introduce the notion of $N = 1$ *supergeometric vertex operator superalgebra* motivated by the geometry underlying genus-zero, two-dimensional, holomorphic $N = 1$ superconformal field theory. We then show, assuming the convergence of certain projective factors, that the category of such objects is isomorphic to the category of $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebras.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Introduction. In this paper, we introduce the notion of $N = 1$ *supergeometric vertex operator superalgebra* and prove that the category of such objects is isomorphic to the category of $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebras with (or without) odd formal variables [B3]. The notion of $N = 1$ supergeometric vertex operator superalgebra is based on the geometric structure of the moduli space of $N = 1$ superspheres with tubes along with a sewing operation on this moduli space and an action of the symmetric groups [B4]. This moduli space is the fundamental geometric structure underlying genus-zero, two-dimensional, holomorphic $N = 1$ superconformal field theory.

Conformal field theory (or more specifically, string theory) and related theories such as superconformal field theories (cf. [BPZ], [FS], [V], and [S]) are the most promising attempts at developing a physical theory that combines all fundamental interactions of particles, including gravity. The geometry of this theory extends the use of Feynman diagrams, describing the interactions of point particles whose propagation in time sweeps out a line in space-time, to one-dimensional “particles” (strings) whose propagation in time sweeps out a two-dimensional surface. For two-dimensional genus-zero holomorphic conformal field theory, algebraically, these interactions can be described by products of vertex operators or more precisely, by vertex operator algebras (cf. [FLM]).

Independently from the physical theory of conformal fields, vertex operator algebras arose naturally from the study of representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and in the construction of representations of the Monster finite simple group [FLM]. However, it wasn’t until 1990 that a rigorous mathematical interpretation of the geometry of particle interactions and the algebraic description of

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B68, 17B69, 17B81, 81R10, 81T40.

Key words and phrases. Vertex operator superalgebras, superconformal field theory.

The author was supported in part by an AAUW Educational Foundation American Dissertation Fellowship and an NSF Mathematical Sciences Postdoctoral Research Fellowship.

those interactions via vertex operator algebras was realized. In [H1] and [H2], motivated by the geometric notions arising in conformal field theory, Huang gives a precise geometric interpretation of the notion of vertex operator algebra thus giving a rigorous basis for the geometric and algebraic “sewing” together of particle interactions.

In [Fd], Friedan describes the extension of the physical model of conformal field theory to that of superconformal field theory with one fermionic component ($N = 1$), and the notion of a superstring whose propagation in time sweeps out a supersurface is introduced. Whereas conformal field theory attempts to describe the interactions of bosons, $N = 1$ superconformal field theory attempts to describe the interactions of boson-fermion pairs and has been discovered to be essential for a full description of all particle interactions. This theory requires an operator D such that $D^2 = \partial/\partial z$. Such an operator arises naturally in supergeometry.

Within the framework of supergeometry (cf. [D], [R] and [CR]) and motivated by $N = 1$ superconformal field theory, in this paper, we extend the work of Huang to an $N = 1$ supergeometric interpretation of $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebras. This paper relies heavily on the results of [B4], and the notion and notation of $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebra ($N = 1$ NS-VOSA) as presented in [B3]. In [B4], we studied the moduli space of $N = 1$ superspheres with tubes and defined a sewing operation on this moduli space. This sewing operation defines a partial-operad structure on the moduli space (cf. [M], [HL1], [HL2]), and in [B4], we thoroughly analyze this structure both algebraically and analytically. The most important aspect of this work is that given two canonical $N = 1$ superspheres with tubes representing equivalence classes in the moduli space, we can completely determine the resulting sewn $N = 1$ supersphere as being in the equivalence class of a specific canonical $N = 1$ supersphere. This is done by explicitly solving the sewing equation and thus constructing the uniformizing function which takes the resulting sewn $N = 1$ supersphere to the canonical $N = 1$ supersphere representative.

In this paper, assuming the convergence of a certain series, denoted Γ , (see Conjecture 3.5), we define an algebra over this partial operad of the moduli space of $N = 1$ superspheres with tubes and the sewing operation and extended to include the projective factors associated to Γ , and call such an algebra an $N = 1$ *supergeometric vertex operator superalgebra* ($N = 1$ SG-VOSA). We then prove that the category of such objects is isomorphic to the category of (algebraic) $N = 1$ NS-VOSAs. The fact that $N = 1$ SG-VOSAs are defined over the geometric structure of the moduli space with sewing, and that the sewing operation is completely soluble, provides much more information for the corresponding algebraic structure of an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA, and thus by isomorphism of categories, for the more familiar structure of an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA as well. This includes a full understanding of the effects of a change of coordinates for $N = 1$ NS-VOSA as studied in [B5], as well as the development of alternate notions of superconformality and relations to $N = 2$ superconformal field theory as studied in [B6]. In addition, this fully realized genus-zero case is the first step toward understanding higher genus $N = 1$ superconformal field theory.

This paper is organized as follows. In the remainder of this section, Section 1, we give a brief summary of some basic definitions. In Sections 2 and 3, we give an overview of the results of [B4]. This overview is only meant to recall these results

for the reader who is already familiar with [B4]. Certainly for a full understanding of these results, it is essential that one refer to [B4].

In Section 4, we discuss $(1/2)\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces with finite-dimensional homogeneous subspaces and define the $t^{1/2}$ -contraction of n -ary functions from such a vector space to its algebraic completion. This contraction of functions can be thought of as being analogous to the sewing operation on the moduli space of superspheres with tubes in that both contraction and sewing define the formal substitution maps in the definition of a partial (or partial pseudo-) operad (cf. [HL1], [HL2], [H2]). In the second subsection of Section 4, we introduce the notion of $N = 1$ *supergeometric vertex operator superalgebra* ($N = 1$ SG-VOSA) assuming the convergence of the projective factors for the central charge.

In Section 5, we recall from [B3] the notion of $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebra ($N = 1$ NS-VOSA) and several consequences of the definition including the properties of associativity and supercommutativity.

In Section 6, we construct an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd formal variables from an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA, and in Section 7, we construct an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA from an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd formal variables.

In Section 8, we prove that the category of $N = 1$ SG-VOSAs is isomorphic to the category of $N = 1$ NS-VOSAs with odd formal variables.

The results of this paper were first announced in [B1] as part of the authors Ph.D. thesis [B2].

1.2. Grassmann algebras and the $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz algebra. Let $\mathbb{Z}_2$ denote the integers modulo two. For a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space $V = V^0 \oplus V^1$, define the *sign function* η on the homogeneous subspaces of V by $\eta(v) = i$ for $v \in V^i$, $i = 0, 1$. If $\eta(v) = 0$, we say that v is *even*, and if $\eta(v) = 1$, we say that v is *odd*.

A *superalgebra* is an (associative) algebra A (with identity $1 \in A$), such that: (i) A is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded algebra; (ii) $ab = (-1)^{\eta(a)\eta(b)}ba$ for a, b homogeneous in A .

The exterior algebra over a vector space U , denoted $\Lambda(U)$, has the structure of a superalgebra. Fix U_L to be an L -dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ for $L \in \mathbb{N}$ with fixed basis $\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_L\}$ such that $U_L \subset U_{L+1}$. We denote $\Lambda(U_L)$ by Λ_L and call this the *Grassmann algebra on L generators*. Note that $\Lambda_L \subset \Lambda_{L+1}$, and taking the direct limit as $L \rightarrow \infty$, we have the *infinite Grassmann algebra* denoted by Λ_∞ . We use the notation Λ_* to denote a Grassmann algebra, finite or infinite.

The $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading of Λ_* is given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_*^0 &= \left\{ a \in \Lambda_* \mid a = \sum_{(i) \in I_*} a_{(i)} \zeta_{i_1} \zeta_{i_2} \cdots \zeta_{i_{2n}}, a_{(i)} \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ \Lambda_*^1 &= \left\{ a \in \Lambda_* \mid a = \sum_{(j) \in J_*} a_{(j)} \zeta_{j_1} \zeta_{j_2} \cdots \zeta_{j_{2n+1}}, a_{(j)} \in \mathbb{C}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_* &= \left\{ (i) = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2n}) \mid i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{2n}, i_l \in \{1, 2, \dots, *\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \\ J_* &= \left\{ (j) = (j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{2n+1}) \mid j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{2n+1}, j_l \in \{1, 2, \dots, *\}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\{1, 2, \dots, *\}$ denoting $\{1, 2, \dots, L\}$ if Λ_* is the finite-dimensional Grassmann algebra Λ_L and denoting the positive integers $\mathbb{Z}_+$ if $\Lambda_* = \Lambda_\infty$. We can also

decompose Λ_* into *body*, $(\Lambda_*)_B = \{a_{(\emptyset)} \in \mathbb{C}\}$, and *soul*

$$(\Lambda_*)_S = \left\{ a \in \Lambda_* \mid a = \sum_{\substack{(k) \in I_* \cup J_* \\ k \neq (\emptyset)}} a_{(k)} \zeta_{k_1} \zeta_{k_2} \cdots \zeta_{k_n}, a_{(k)} \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

subspaces such that $\Lambda_* = (\Lambda_*)_B \oplus (\Lambda_*)_S$. For $a \in \Lambda_*$, we write $a = a_B + a_S$ for its body and soul decomposition.

A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ is said to be a *Lie superalgebra* if it has a bilinear operation $[\cdot, \cdot]$ such that for u, v homogeneous in $\mathfrak{g}$: (i) $[u, v] \in \mathfrak{g}^{(\eta(u)+\eta(v)) \bmod 2}$; (ii) skew-symmetry holds $[u, v] = -(-1)^{\eta(u)\eta(v)}[v, u]$; (iii) the Jacobi identity holds $(-1)^{\eta(u)\eta(w)}[[u, v], w] + (-1)^{\eta(v)\eta(u)}[[v, w], u] + (-1)^{\eta(w)\eta(v)}[[w, u], v] = 0$.

Given a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and a superalgebra A , the space $(A^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^0) \oplus (A^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^1)$ is a Lie algebra with bracket given by $[au, bv] = (-1)^{\eta(b)\eta(u)}ab[u, v]$ for $a, b \in A$ and $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$ homogeneous. We call $(A^0 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^0) \oplus (A^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}^1)$ the *A-envelope* of $\mathfrak{g}$. Similarly, given two superalgebras A and $\hat{A}$, we can form the *A-envelope* of $\hat{A}$ given by $(A^0 \otimes \hat{A}^0) \oplus (A^1 \otimes \hat{A}^1)$ which is naturally an algebra.

For any $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded associative algebra A and for $u, v \in A$ of homogeneous sign, we can define $[u, v] = uv - (-1)^{\eta(u)\eta(v)}vu$, making A into a Lie superalgebra. The algebra of endomorphisms of A , denoted $\text{End } A$, has a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading induced from that of A , and defining $[X, Y] = XY - (-1)^{\eta(X)\eta(Y)}YX$ for X, Y homogeneous in $\text{End } A$, this gives $\text{End } A$ a Lie superalgebra structure. An element $D \in (\text{End } A)^i$, for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, is called a *superderivation of sign i* (denoted $\eta(D) = i$) if D satisfies the super-Leibniz rule $D(uv) = (Du)v + (-1)^{\eta(D)\eta(u)}uDv$ for $u, v \in A$ homogeneous.

The Virasoro algebra is the Lie algebra with basis consisting of the central element d and L_n , for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and commutation relations

$$(1.1) \quad [L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n} + \frac{1}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0} d,$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz Lie superalgebra, denoted $\mathfrak{ns}$, is a super-extension of the Virasoro algebra by the odd elements $G_{n+1/2}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with supercommutation relations

$$(1.2) \quad \left[G_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, L_n \right] = \left(m - \frac{n-1}{2} \right) G_{m+n+\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(1.3) \quad \left[G_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, G_{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right] = 2L_{m+n} + \frac{1}{3}(m^2 + m)\delta_{m+n,0} d$$

in addition to (1.1).

1.3. Superanalytic and superconformal superfunctions. Let U be a subset of Λ_* , and write $U = U^0 \oplus U^1$ for the decomposition of U into even and odd subspaces. Let z be an even variable in U^0 and θ an odd variable in U^1 . We call $H : U \rightarrow \Lambda_*$, mapping $(z, \theta) \mapsto H(z, \theta)$, a Λ_* -*superfunction* on U in $(1,1)$ -variables.

Let z_B be a complex variable and $h(z_B)$ a complex analytic function in some open set $U_B \subset \mathbb{C}$. For z a variable in Λ_*^0 , we define $h(z)$ to be the Taylor expansion about the body of $z = z_B + z_S$. Then $h(z)$ is well defined (i.e., convergent) in the open neighborhood $\{z = z_B + z_S \in \Lambda_*^0 \mid z_B \in U_B\} = U_B \times (\Lambda_*^0)_S \subseteq \Lambda_*^0$. Since $h(z)$ is algebraic in each $z_{(i)}$, for $(i) \in I_*$, it follows that $h(z)$ is complex analytic in each of the complex variables $z_{(i)}$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we introduce the notation $\Lambda_{*>n}$ to denote a finite Grassmann algebra Λ_L with $L > n$ or an infinite Grassmann algebra. We will use the corresponding

index notations for the corresponding indexing sets $I_{*>n}$ and $J_{*>n}$. A *superanalytic* $\Lambda_{*>0}$ -superfunction in $(1, 1)$ -variables H is a $\Lambda_{*>0}$ -superfunction in $(1, 1)$ -variables of the form

$$\begin{aligned} H(z, \theta) &= (f(z) + \theta \xi(z), \psi(z) + \theta g(z)) \\ &= \left(\sum_{(i) \in I_{*-1}} f_{(i)}(z) \zeta_{i_1} \zeta_{i_2} \cdots \zeta_{i_n} + \theta \sum_{(j) \in J_{*-1}} \xi_{(j)}(z) \zeta_{j_1} \zeta_{j_2} \cdots \zeta_{j_{2n+1}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \sum_{(j) \in J_{*-1}} \psi_{(j)}(z) \zeta_{j_1} \zeta_{j_2} \cdots \zeta_{j_{2n+1}} + \theta \sum_{(i) \in I_{*-1}} g_{(i)}(z) \zeta_{i_1} \zeta_{i_2} \cdots \zeta_{i_n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{(i)}(z_B)$, $g_{(i)}(z_B)$, $\xi_{(j)}(z_B)$, and $\psi_{(j)}(z_B)$ are all complex analytic in some non-empty open subset $U_B \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. If each $f_{(i)}(z_B)$, $g_{(i)}(z_B)$, $\xi_{(j)}(z_B)$, and $\psi_{(j)}(z_B)$ is complex analytic in $U_B \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, then $H(z, \theta)$ is well defined (i.e., convergent) for $\{(z, \theta) \in \Lambda_{*>0} \mid z_B \in U_B\} = U_B \times (\Lambda_{*>0})_S$. Consider the topology on Λ_* given by the product of the usual topology on $(\Lambda_*)_B = \mathbb{C}$ and the trivial topology on $(\Lambda_*)_S$. This topology on Λ_* is called the *DeWitt topology*. The natural domain of any superanalytic $\Lambda_{*>0}$ -superfunction is an open set in the DeWitt topology on $\Lambda_{*>0}$.

Since $1/a = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^n a_S^n / a_B^{n+1}$ is well defined if and only if $a_B \neq 0$, the set of invertible elements in Λ_* , denoted $\Lambda_*^\times$, is given by $\Lambda_*^\times = \{a \in \Lambda_* \mid a_B \neq 0\}$. Note that $\Lambda_*^\times \subset \Lambda_*$ is open in the DeWitt topology.

We define the (left) partial derivatives $\partial/\partial z$ and $\partial/\partial \theta$ acting on superfunctions which are superanalytic in some DeWitt open neighborhood U of $(z, \theta) \in \Lambda_{*>0}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta z \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} H(z, \theta) \right) + O((\Delta z)^2) &= H(z + \Delta z, \theta) - H(z, \theta) \\ \Delta \theta \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} H(z, \theta) \right) &= H(z, \theta + \Delta \theta) - H(z, \theta) \end{aligned}$$

for all $\Delta z \in \Lambda_{*>0}^0$ and $\Delta \theta \in \Lambda_{*>0}^1$ such that $z + \Delta z \in U^0 = U_B \times (\Lambda_{*>0}^0)_S$ and $\theta + \Delta \theta \in U^1 = \Lambda_{*>0}^1$. Note that $\partial/\partial z$ and $\partial/\partial \theta$ are endomorphisms of the superalgebra of superanalytic $(1, 1)$ -superfunctions, and in fact, are even and odd superderivations, respectively.

If $h(z_B)$ is complex analytic in an open neighborhood of the complex plane, then $h(z_B)$ has a Laurent series expansion in z_B , given by $h(z_B) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} c_l z_B^l$, for $c_l \in \mathbb{C}$, and we have $h(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (z_S^n / n!) h^{(n)}(z_B) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} c_l (z_B + z_S)^l = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} c_l z^l$ where $(z_B + z_S)^l$, for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, is always understood to mean expansion in positive powers of the second variable, in this case z_S . Thus if H is a $\Lambda_{*>0}$ -superfunction in $(1, 1)$ -variables which is superanalytic in a (DeWitt) open neighborhood, H can be expanded as

$$(1.4) \quad H(z, \theta) = \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} a_l z^l + \theta \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} n_l z^l, \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} m_l z^l + \theta \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} b_l z^l \right)$$

for $a_l, b_l \in \Lambda_{*-1}^0$ and $m_l, n_l \in \Lambda_{*-1}^1$.

Define D to be the odd superderivation $D = \partial/\partial \theta + \theta \partial/\partial z$ acting on superanalytic $\Lambda_{*>0}$ -superfunctions in $(1, 1)$ -variables. Then $D^2 = \partial/\partial z$, and if $H(z, \theta) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{\theta})$ is superanalytic in some DeWitt open subset, then D transforms

under $H(z, \theta)$ by $D = (D\tilde{\theta})\tilde{D} + (D\tilde{z} - \tilde{\theta}D\tilde{\theta})\tilde{D}^2$. We define a *superconformal* $(1, 1)$ -*superfunction* on a DeWitt open subset U of $\Lambda_{*>0}$ to be a superanalytic superfunction H under which D transforms homogeneously of degree one. Thus a superanalytic function $H(z, \theta) = (\tilde{z}, \tilde{\theta})$ is superconformal if and only if, in addition to being superanalytic, H satisfies $D\tilde{z} - \tilde{\theta}D\tilde{\theta} = 0$, for $D\tilde{\theta}$ not identically zero, thus transforming D by $D = (D\tilde{\theta})\tilde{D}$.

1.4. Super-Riemann surfaces. A *DeWitt* $(1, 1)$ -*dimensional manifold over* Λ_* is a topological space X with a countable basis which is locally homeomorphic to an open subset of Λ_* in the DeWitt topology. A *DeWitt* $(1, 1)$ -*chart on* X *over* Λ_* is a pair (U, Ω) such that U is an open subset of X and Ω is a homeomorphism of U onto an open subset of Λ_*^0 in the DeWitt topology. A *superanalytic atlas of DeWitt* $(1, 1)$ -*charts on* X *over* $\Lambda_{*>0}$ is a family of charts $\{(U_\alpha, \Omega_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$ satisfying: (i) each U_α is open in X , and $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} U_\alpha = X$; (ii) each Ω_α is a homeomorphism from U_α to a (DeWitt) open set in $\Lambda_{*>0}$ such that $\Omega_\alpha \circ \Omega_\beta^{-1} : \Omega_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \Omega_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ is superanalytic for all non-empty $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Such an atlas is called *maximal* if, given any chart (U, Ω) such that $\Omega \circ \Omega_\beta^{-1} : \Omega_\beta(U \cap U_\beta) \rightarrow \Omega(U \cap U_\beta)$ is a superanalytic homeomorphism for all β , then $(U, \Omega) \in \{(U_\alpha, \Omega_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$. A *DeWitt* $(1, 1)$ -*supermanifold over* $\Lambda_{*>0}$ is a DeWitt $(1, 1)$ -dimensional topological space M together with a maximal superanalytic atlas of DeWitt $(1, 1)$ -charts over $\Lambda_{*>0}$.

Given a DeWitt $(1, 1)$ -supermanifold M over $\Lambda_{*>0}$, define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on M by letting $p \sim q$ if and only if there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $p, q \in U_\alpha$ and $\pi_B(\Omega_\alpha(p)) = \pi_B(\Omega_\alpha(q))$ where π_B is the canonical projection of $\Lambda_{*>0}$ onto its body. Let p_B denote the equivalence class of p under this equivalence relation. Define the *body* M_B of M to be the one-dimensional complex manifold with analytic structure given by the coordinate charts $\{((U_\alpha)_B, (\Omega_\alpha)_B)\}_{\alpha \in A}$ where $(U_\alpha)_B = \{p_B \mid p \in U_\alpha\}$, and $(\Omega_\alpha)_B : (U_\alpha)_B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is given by $(\Omega_\alpha)_B(p_B) = \pi_B \circ \Omega_\alpha(p)$.

For any DeWitt $(1, 1)$ -supermanifold M , its body M_B is a Riemann surface. A *super-Riemann surface over* $\Lambda_{*>0}$ is a DeWitt $(1, 1)$ -supermanifold over $\Lambda_{*>0}$ with coordinate atlas $\{(U_\alpha, \Omega_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in A}$ such that the coordinate transition functions $\Omega_\alpha \circ \Omega_\beta^{-1}$ in addition to being superanalytic are also superconformal for all non-empty $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Since the condition that the coordinate transition functions be superconformal instead of merely superanalytic is such a strong condition (unlike in the nonsuper case), we again stress the distinction between a supermanifold which has *superanalytic* transition functions versus a super-Riemann surface which has *superconformal* transition functions.

2. THE MODULI SPACE OF $N = 1$ SUPERSPHERES WITH TUBES AND THE SEWING OPERATION

In [B4], we define the moduli space $SK(n)$ of $N = 1$ superspheres with n incoming tubes and one outgoing tube, define a sewing operation on this space and give a detailed algebraic and analytic study of this space with this sewing operation. In this section, we recall some results of this work that we will need in order to define the notion of $N = 1$ supergeometric vertex operator superalgebra and to prove the Isomorphism Theorem.

2.1. The moduli space of $N = 1$ superspheres with tubes. By $N = 1$ *supersphere* we will mean a (superconformal) super-Riemann surface over $\Lambda_{*>0}$ such

that its body is a genus-zero one-dimensional connected compact complex manifold. From now on we will refer to such an object as a *supersphere*.

A *supersphere with $1 + n$ tubes* for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a supersphere S with 1 negatively oriented point p_0 and n positively oriented points $p_1, \dots, p_n$ (we call them *punctures*) on S which all have distinct bodies and with local superconformal coordinates $(U_0, \Omega_0), \dots, (U_n, \Omega_n)$ vanishing at the punctures $p_0, \dots, p_n$, respectively. We denote this structure by $(S; p_0, \dots, p_n; (U_0, \Omega_0), \dots, (U_n, \Omega_n))$. We will always order the punctures so that the negatively oriented puncture is p_0 .

Let $S_1 = (S_1; p_0, \dots, p_m; (U_0, \Omega_0), \dots, (U_m, \Omega_m))$ be a supersphere with $1 + m$ tubes, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $S_2 = (S_2; q_0, \dots, q_n; (V_0, \Xi_0), \dots, (V_n, \Xi_n))$ be a supersphere with $1 + n$ tubes, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A map $F : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ will be said to be superconformal if $\Xi_\beta \circ F \circ \Omega_\alpha^{-1}$ is superconformal for all charts $(U_\alpha, \Omega_\alpha)$ of S_1 , for all charts (V_β, Ξ_β) of S_2 , and for all $(z, \theta) \in \Omega_\alpha(U_\alpha)$ such that $F \circ \Omega_\alpha^{-1}(z, \theta) \in V_\beta$. If $m = n$ and there is a superconformal isomorphism $F : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ such that for each $j = 0, \dots, n$, we have that $F(p_j) = q_j$ and $\Omega_j|_{W_j} = \Xi_j \circ F|_{W_j}$, for W_j some DeWitt neighborhood of p_j , then we say that these two superspheres with $1 + n$ tubes are *superconformally equivalent* and F is a *superconformal equivalence* from S_1 to S_2 . Thus the superconformal equivalence class of a supersphere with tubes depends only on the supersphere, the punctures, and the germs of the local coordinate maps vanishing at the punctures.

The collection of all superconformal equivalence classes of superspheres over $\Lambda_{*>0}$ with $1 + n$ tubes, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is called the *moduli space of superspheres over $\Lambda_{*>0}$ with $1 + n$ tubes*. The collection of all superconformal equivalence classes of superspheres over $\Lambda_{*>0}$ with tubes is called the *moduli space of superspheres over $\Lambda_{*>0}$ with tubes*.

Let $S\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be the supersphere with superconformal structure given by the covering of local coordinate neighborhoods $\{U_\Delta, U_\Upsilon\}$ and the local coordinate maps $\Delta : U_\Delta \rightarrow \Lambda_{*>0}$ and $\Upsilon : U_\Upsilon \rightarrow \Lambda_{*>0}$, which are homeomorphisms of U_Δ onto $\Lambda_{*>0}$ and U_Υ onto $\Lambda_{*>0}$, respectively, such that $\Delta \circ \Upsilon^{-1} : \Lambda_{*>0}^\times \rightarrow \Lambda_{*>0}^\times$ is given by $(z, \theta) \mapsto (1/z, i\theta/z) = \Delta \circ \Upsilon^{-1}(z, \theta) = I(z, \theta)$. Thus the body of $S\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Riemann sphere, $(S\hat{\mathbb{C}})_B = \hat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, with coordinates z_B near 0 and $1/z_B$ near ∞ . We will call $S\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ the *super-Riemann sphere* and will refer to $\Upsilon^{-1}(0)$ as *the point at $(\infty, 0)$* or just *the point at infinity* and to $\Delta^{-1}(0)$ as *the point at $(0, 0)$* or just *the point at zero*.

Let $T : S\hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow S\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a superconformal automorphism. Then defining $T_\Delta = \Delta \circ T \circ \Delta^{-1}$, we have that T must be a superprojective transformation satisfying

$$T_\Delta : \Lambda_{*>0} \setminus (\{(-d/c)_B\} \times (\Lambda_{*>0})_S) \rightarrow \Lambda_{*>0} \setminus (\{(a/c)_B\} \times (\Lambda_{*>0})_S)$$

$$(z, \theta) \mapsto \left(\frac{az + b}{cz + d} + \theta \frac{\gamma z + \delta}{(cz + d)^2}, \frac{\gamma z + \delta}{cz + d} + \theta \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}\delta\gamma}{cz + d} \right),$$

for $a, b, c, d \in \Lambda_{*-1}^0$, $\gamma, \delta \in \Lambda_{*-1}^1$ and $ad - bc = 1$, and T is determined uniquely by T_Δ .

In [CR], Crane and Rabin prove the uniformization theorem for super-Riemann surfaces. For super-Riemann surfaces with genus-zero compact bodies, the theorem states that any such super-Riemann surface is superconformally equivalent to the super-Riemann sphere $S\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Thus as is proved in [B4], any supersphere with $1 + n$

tubes is superconformally equivalent to

$$(S\hat{\mathbb{C}}; \infty, (z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}), 0; H_0, H_1, \dots, H_n),$$

where $(z_0, \theta_0) = \infty = \Upsilon^{-1}(0)$ is the coordinate of the outgoing puncture, $(z_n, \theta_n) = 0 = \Delta^{-1}(0)$ is the coordinate of the last incoming puncture, $(z_i, \theta_i) \in (\bigwedge_{*>0})^\times$ with $(z_i)_B \neq (z_j)_B$ for $i \neq j$, and H_i is a coordinate from an open set around the i -th puncture to $\bigwedge_{*>0}$ which maps the puncture to zero.

We now temporarily switch to a formal algebraic setting in order to better understand superconformal local coordinates. Let R be a superalgebra, let x be an even formal variable, and let φ be an odd formal variable. By this we mean that x commutes with all formal variables and all superalgebra elements and φ anticommutes with all odd formal variables and all odd superalgebra elements but commutes with even elements. Note that $R((x))[\varphi]$ and $R((x^{-1}))[\varphi]$ are superalgebras. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider the even superderivations

$$(2.1) \quad L_j(x, \varphi) = -\left(x^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \left(\frac{j+1}{2}\right) \varphi x^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}\right)$$

and the odd superderivations

$$(2.2) \quad G_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(x, \varphi) = -x^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} - \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)$$

in $\text{Der}(R[[x, x^{-1}]])[\varphi]$. Then $L_j(x, \varphi)$ and $G_{j-1/2}(x, \varphi)$ give a representation of the $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz Lie superalgebra, $\mathfrak{ns}$, with central charge zero.

For any formal power series $H \in R[[x, x^{-1}]][\varphi]$, we say that $H(x, \varphi) = (\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi})$ is *superconformal* if $D\tilde{x} = \tilde{\varphi}D\tilde{\varphi}$ for $D = \partial/\partial\varphi + \varphi\partial/\partial x$.

Let $(R^0)^\times$ denote the invertible elements in R^0 . Let $(R^0)^\infty$ be the set of all sequences $A = \{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of even elements in R , let $(R^1)^\infty$ be the set of all sequences $M = \{M_{j-1/2}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of odd elements in R , and let $R^\infty = (R^0)^\infty \oplus (R^1)^\infty$. In [B2] and [B4], we show that there is a bijection between the set of formal superconformal power series $H \in R[[x, x^{-1}]][\varphi]$ which vanish at zero, i.e., formal superconformal power series of the form

$$(2.3) \quad H(x, \varphi) = \left(a_\square^2 \left(x + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{j+1} + \varphi \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} n_j x^j \right), \right. \\ \left. a_\square \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} m_{j-\frac{1}{2}} x^j + \varphi \left(1 + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} b_j x^j \right) \right) \right)$$

with $a_\square \in (R^0)^\times$ and $(a, m) = \{(a_j, m_{j-\frac{1}{2}})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$, and satisfying $D\tilde{x} = \tilde{\varphi}D\tilde{\varphi}$, and formal power series of the form

$$(2.4) \quad \exp \left(- \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j L_j(x, \varphi) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(x, \varphi) \right) \right) \cdot (a_\square^2 x, a_\square \varphi).$$

This defines a bijection

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} E : R^\infty &\longrightarrow R^\infty \\ (A, M) = \{(A_j, M_{j-\frac{1}{2}})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} &\mapsto (a, m) = \{(a_j, m_{j-\frac{1}{2}})\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}. \end{aligned}$$

As in [B4], this allows us to define a map $\tilde{E}$ from R^∞ to the set of all formal superconformal power series in $xR[[x]][\varphi]$ with leading even coefficient of φ equal

to one, by defining

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi \tilde{E}^0(A, M)(x, \varphi) = \varphi \left(x + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} E_j(A, M) x^{j+1} \right),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \varphi \tilde{E}^1(A, M)(x, \varphi) = \varphi \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} E_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(A, M) x^j,$$

and letting $\tilde{E}(A, M)(x, \varphi)$ be the unique formal superconformal power series with even coefficient of φ equal to one such that the even and odd components of $\tilde{E}$ satisfy (2.6) and (2.7), respectively. For $a_\square \in (R^0)^\times$, we define a map $\hat{E}$ from $(R^0)^\times \times R^\infty$ to the set of all formal superconformal power series in $xR[[x]][\varphi]$ with invertible leading even coefficient of φ , by defining

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{E}(a_\square, A, M)(x, \varphi) &= (\hat{E}^0(a_\square, A, M)(x, \varphi), \hat{E}^1(a_\square, A, M)(x, \varphi)) \\ &= (a_\square^2 \tilde{E}^0(A, M)(x, \varphi), a_\square \tilde{E}^1(A, M)(x, \varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is proved in [B4].

Proposition 2.1. ([B4]) *The map $\hat{E}$ from $(R^0)^\times \times R^\infty$ to the set of all formal superconformal power series $H(x, \varphi) \in xR[[x]][\varphi]$ of the form*

$$(2.9) \quad \varphi H(x, \varphi) = \varphi \left(a_\square^2 \left(x + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} a_j x^{j+1} \right), a_\square \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} m_{j-\frac{1}{2}} x^j \right)$$

and with even coefficient of φ equal to $a_\square$ for $(a_\square, a, m) \in (R^0)^\times \times R^\infty$, is a bijection.

The map $\tilde{E}$ from R^∞ to the set of formal superconformal power series of the form (2.9) with $a_\square = 1$ and even coefficient of φ equal to 1 is also a bijection.

In particular, we have inverses $\tilde{E}^{-1}$ and $\hat{E}^{-1}$.

Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ (A, M) \in \bigwedge_\infty^\infty \mid \tilde{E}(A, M)(z, \theta) \text{ is an absolutely convergent power series in some neighborhood of } (z, \theta) = 0 \right\},$$

and for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let

$$SM^{n-1} = \left\{ ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1})) \mid (z_i, \theta_i) \in \bigwedge_\infty^\times, (z_i)_B \neq (z_j)_B, \text{ for } i \neq j \right\}.$$

Note that for $n = 1$, the set SM^0 has exactly one element.

For convenience, define the superconformal shift

$$\begin{aligned} s_{(z, \theta)} : \bigwedge_\infty &\rightarrow \bigwedge_\infty \\ (w, \rho) &\mapsto (w - z - \rho\theta, \rho - \theta). \end{aligned}$$

We also define the linear operator $a_\square^{-2L_0(x, \varphi)} = a_\square^{2x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}}$ on $R[[x, x^{-1}]][\varphi]$, by

$$(2.10) \quad a_\square^{-2L_0(x, \varphi)} \cdot c \varphi^k x^n = c a_\square^{2n+k} \varphi^k x^n,$$

for $c \in R$, $k = 0, 1$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and by extending linearly.

For any supersphere with $1 + n$ tubes over Λ_∞ , we can write the power series expansion of the local coordinate at the i -th puncture (z_i, θ_i) , for $i = 1, \dots, n$, as

$$\begin{aligned} H_i(w, \rho) &= \exp \left(- \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(i)} L_j(x, \varphi) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(i)} G_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad \cdot (a_{\square}^{(i)})^{-2L_0(x, \varphi)} \cdot (x, \varphi) \Big|_{(x, \varphi) = s_{(z_i, \theta_i)}(w, \rho)} \\ &= \hat{E}(a_{\square}^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}) \circ s_{(z_i, \theta_i)}(w, \rho) \end{aligned}$$

for $(a_{\square}^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}) \in (\Lambda_\infty^0)^\times \times \mathcal{H}$, and we can write the power series expansion of the local coordinate at ∞ as

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(w, \rho) &= \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L_{-j}(w, \rho) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho) \right) \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{w}, \frac{i\rho}{w} \right) \\ &= \tilde{E}(A^{(0)}, -iM^{(0)}) \left(\frac{1}{w}, \frac{i\rho}{w} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $(A^{(0)}, -iM^{(0)}) \in \mathcal{H}$. But $(A^{(0)}, -iM^{(0)}) \in \mathcal{H}$ if and only if $(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}) \in \mathcal{H}$. From [B4], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. ([B4]) *The moduli space of superspheres over Λ_∞ with $1 + n$ tubes, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, can be identified with the set*

$$(2.11) \quad SK(n) = SM^{n-1} \times \mathcal{H} \times ((\Lambda_\infty^0)^\times \times \mathcal{H})^n.$$

The moduli space of superspheres with one tube can be identified with the set

$$SK(0) = \{(A, M) \in \mathcal{H} \mid (A_1, M_{\frac{1}{2}}) = (0, 0)\}.$$

Let $SK = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} SK(n)$ denote the moduli space of superspheres over Λ_∞ with tubes. The actual elements of SK give the data for a *canonical supersphere* representative of a given equivalence class of superspheres with tubes modulo superconformal equivalence. Any element of $SK(n)$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, can be written as

$$((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a_{\square}^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{\square}^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)}))$$

where $(z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}) \in SM^{n-1}$, $(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}) \in \mathcal{H}$, and

$$(a_{\square}^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{\square}^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)}) \in (\Lambda_\infty^0)^\times \times \mathcal{H}.$$

Thus for an element $Q \in SK$, we can think of Q as consisting of the above data, or as being a canonical supersphere with tubes corresponding to that data.

Note that above we are working over Λ_∞ . But we can always restrict variables and coefficients to be in a finite dimensional Grassmann subalgebra of Λ_∞ if we so wish as long as care is taken to see that if we employ any partial derivatives with respect to odd variables that these partials are well defined.

2.2. A left action of the symmetric group S_n on the moduli space $SK(n)$.

Let S_n be the group of permutations on n letters, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In [B4], we defined an action of S_n on $SK(n)$. We would like to take this opportunity to point out that although this action was stated to be a right action, it is in fact a left action. We define this left action by first defining an action of S_{n-1} on $SK(n)$ given by permuting the ordering of the first $n - 1$ positively oriented punctures and their

local coordinates and then extending this to an action of S_n on $SK(n)$, by defining the action of the transposition $(n-1\ n)$ on $SK(n)$.

To define the action of S_{n-1} on $SK(n)$, we note that an ordering or labeling of the first $n-1$ punctures of a supersphere with n -tubes with the first $n-1$ punctures at the points $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}$ is a bijection

$$l : \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} \longrightarrow \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}\}.$$

Then a left action on any ordering l is given by $\sigma \cdot l(i) = l(\sigma^{-1}(i))$, for $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Thus, for $\sigma \in S_{n-1}$, and $Q \in SK(n)$ given by

$$Q = ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a_{\square}^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{\square}^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)})),$$

σ acting on Q , denoted $\sigma \cdot Q$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \cdot Q = & ((z_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \theta_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}), \dots, (z_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)}, \theta_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), \\ & (a_{\square}^{(\sigma^{-1}(1))}, A^{(\sigma^{-1}(1))}, M^{(\sigma^{-1}(1))}), \dots, (a_{\square}^{(\sigma^{-1}(n-1))}, A^{(\sigma^{-1}(n-1))}, M^{(\sigma^{-1}(n-1))}) \\ & (a_{\square}^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)})). \end{aligned}$$

To extend this to a left action of S_n on $SK(n)$, we first note that S_n is generated by the symmetric group on the first $n-1$ letters S_{n-1} and the transposition $(n-1\ n)$. We can let $(n-1\ n)$ act on $SK(n)$ by permuting the $(n-1)$ -st and n -th punctures and their local coordinates for a canonical supersphere with $1+n$ tubes but the resulting supersphere with $1+n$ tubes is not canonical. To obtain the superconformally equivalent canonical supersphere, we have to translate the new n -th puncture to 0. This translation will not change the local coordinates at positively oriented punctures but will change the local coordinates at the negatively oriented puncture ∞ . In [B4] we show that the resulting canonical supersphere with tubes is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (n-1\ n) \cdot Q &= \left(\infty, (z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-2}, \theta_{n-2}), 0, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a_{\square}^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \dots, (a_{\square}^{(n-2)}, A^{(n-2)}, M^{(n-2)}), (a_{\square}^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)}), (a_{\square}^{(n-1)}, A^{(n-1)}, M^{(n-1)}) \right) \\ &= \left(\infty, s_{(z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1})}(z_1, \theta_1), s_{(z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1})}(z_2, \theta_2), \dots, s_{(z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1})}(z_{n-2}, \theta_{n-2}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. s_{(z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1})}(0), 0; (\tilde{A}^{(0)}, \tilde{M}^{(0)}), (a_{\square}^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{\square}^{(n-2)}, A^{(n-2)}, M^{(n-2)}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. (a_{\square}^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)}), (a_{\square}^{(n-1)}, A^{(n-1)}, M^{(n-1)}) \right) \in SK(n) \end{aligned}$$

where $(\tilde{A}^{(0)}, \tilde{M}^{(0)}) \in \Lambda_\infty^\infty$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad & \tilde{E}(\tilde{A}^{(0)}, -i\tilde{M}^{(0)})\left(\frac{1}{w}, \frac{i\rho}{w}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\tilde{A}_j^{(0)} L_{-j}(w, \rho) + \tilde{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho)\right)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{w}, \frac{i\rho}{w}\right) \\
&= \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L_{-j}(x, \varphi) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(x, \varphi)\right)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{i\varphi}{x}\right) \Big|_{(x, \varphi) = s_{(-z_{n-1}, -\theta_{n-1})}(w, \rho)} \\
&= \exp\left(-z_{n-1} L_{-1}(w, \rho) - \theta_{n-1} G_{-\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho)\right) \cdot \\
&\quad \cdot \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L_{-j}(w, \rho) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho)\right)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{w}, \frac{i\rho}{w}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

2.3. Supermeromorphic superfunctions on SK and supermeromorphic tangent spaces of SK . A *supermeromorphic superfunction on $SK(n)$* , for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, is a superfunction $F : SK(n) \rightarrow \Lambda_\infty$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad & F(Q) = F((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a_\square^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, \\
&\quad (a_\square^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)})) \\
&= F_0((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a_\square^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, \\
&\quad (a_\square^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)})) \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} z_i^{-s_i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} (z_i - z_j - \theta_i \theta_j)^{-s_{ij}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

where s_i and s_{ij} are nonnegative integers and

$$F_0((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a_\square^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a_\square^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)}))$$

is a polynomial in the z_i 's, θ_i 's, $a_\square^{(i)}$'s, $(a_\square^{(i)})^{-1}$'s, $A_j^{(i)}$'s, and $M_{j-1/2}^{(i)}$'s. For $n = 0$ a *supermeromorphic superfunction on $SK(0)$* is a polynomial in the components of elements of $SK(0)$, i.e., a polynomial in the $A_j^{(0)}$'s, and $M_{j-1/2}^{(0)}$'s. For F of the form (2.13), we say that F has a pole of order s_{ij} at $(z_i, \theta_i) = (z_j, \theta_j)$.

The set of all canonical supermeromorphic superfunctions on $SK(n)$ is denoted by $SD(n)$. Note that $SD(n)$ has a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading since any supermeromorphic superfunction F can be decomposed into an even component F^0 and an odd component F^1 where $F^0(Q) \in \Lambda_\infty^0$, for all $Q \in SK$ and $F^1(Q) \in \Lambda_\infty^1$ for all $Q \in SK$.

An *even supermeromorphic tangent vector* of SK at a point $Q \in SK$ is a linear map $\mathcal{L}_Q : SD(n) \rightarrow \Lambda_\infty$ such that for $F_1, F_2 \in SD(n)$, the map $\mathcal{L}_Q$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_Q(F_1 F_2) = \mathcal{L}_Q F_1 \cdot F_2(Q) + F_1(Q) \cdot \mathcal{L}_Q F_2$, and an *odd supermeromorphic tangent vector* of SK at a point $Q \in SK$ is a linear map $\mathcal{L}_Q : SD(n) \rightarrow \Lambda_\infty$ such that for F_1 of homogeneous sign in $SD(n)$, we have $\mathcal{L}_Q(F_1 F_2) = \mathcal{L}_Q F_1 \cdot F_2(Q) + (-1)^{\eta(F_1)} F_1(Q) \cdot \mathcal{L}_Q F_2$. The set of all supermeromorphic tangent vectors at Q is the *supermeromorphic tangent space of SK at Q* and is denoted $T_Q SK$ (or $T_Q SK(n)$ when $Q \in SK(n)$). Since $T_Q SK$ is naturally $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded, the sign function η is well

defined on $T_Q SK$. In [B2] and [B4], we prove that any supermeromorphic tangent vector $\mathcal{L}_Q$ can be expressed as

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{L}_Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\alpha_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \Big|_Q + \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \Big|_Q \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{\square}^{(i)}} \Big|_Q \\ + \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\gamma_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j^{(i)}} \Big|_Q + \nu_i^j \frac{\partial}{\partial M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(i)}} \Big|_Q \right)$$

where

$$\alpha_i = \mathcal{L}_Q z_i, \quad \beta_i = \mathcal{L}_Q \theta_i, \quad \delta_i = \mathcal{L}_Q a_{\square}^{(i)}, \quad \gamma_i^j = \mathcal{L}_Q A_j^{(i)}, \quad \nu_i^j = \mathcal{L}_Q M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(i)}.$$

Of course if $\eta(\mathcal{L}_Q) = 0$, then $\alpha_i, \delta_i, \gamma_i^j \in \bigwedge_{\infty}^{\eta(\mathcal{L}_Q)}$ and $\beta_i, \nu_i^j \in \bigwedge_{\infty}^{(\eta(\mathcal{L}_Q)+1) \bmod 2}$.

2.4. The sewing operation. In [B4] we introduce the sewing operation for superspheres with tubes. This gives rise to the sewing equation, normalization conditions and boundary conditions derived from taking two canonical superspheres with tubes, sewing them together and determining conditions on the uniformizing function which maps the resulting supersphere to a super-Riemann sphere. This resulting super-Riemann sphere is then superconformal to a canonical supersphere, i.e., an element in SK , via a superprojective transformation. Thus for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and any positive integer $i \leq m$, the sewing operation for superspheres with tubes defined in [B4] induces an operation

$${}_i\infty_0 : SK(m) \times SK(n) \rightarrow SK(m+n-1) \\ (Q_1, Q_2) \mapsto Q_1 {}_i\infty_0 Q_2.$$

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $Q_1 \in SK(m)$ be given by

$$((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a_{\square}^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{\square}^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)}))$$

and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $Q_2 \in SK(n)$ be given by

$$((z'_1, \theta'_1), \dots, (z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1}); (B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b_{\square}^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}), \dots, (b_{\square}^{(n)}, B^{(n)}, N^{(n)})).$$

For convenience, we will sometimes denote the puncture at 0 of Q_1 by (z_m, θ_m) . Let

$$H^{(1)}(w, \rho) = \exp \left(- \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(i)} L_j(w, \rho) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(i)} G_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho) \right) \right) \cdot (a_{\square}^{(i)})^{-2L_0(w, \rho)} \cdot (w, \rho) \\ = \hat{E}(a_{\square}^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)})(w, \rho), \\ H^{(2)}(w, \rho) = \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(0)} L_{-j}(w, \rho) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho) \right) \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{w}, \frac{i\rho}{w} \right) \\ = \tilde{E}(B^{(0)}, -iN^{(0)}) \left(\frac{1}{w}, \frac{i\rho}{w} \right).$$

Then the local coordinate vanishing at the i -th puncture of the canonical supersphere represented by Q_1 is $H^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_i, \theta_i)}(w, \rho)$, and the local coordinate vanishing at the puncture at ∞ of the canonical supersphere represented by Q_1 is $H^{(2)}(w, \rho)$.

Recall the standard coordinate atlas for the super-Riemann sphere, $S\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, given by $\{(U_\Delta, \Delta), (U_\Upsilon, \Upsilon)\}$ with coordinate transition given by $I = \Delta \circ \Upsilon^{-1}$. For a superconformal equivalence $F : S\hat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow S\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ define $F_\Delta = \Delta \circ F \circ \Delta^{-1}$ and $F_\Upsilon = \Upsilon \circ F \circ \Upsilon^{-1}$. Also define the DeWitt open (respectively, closed) discs about zero and of radius $r > 0$, by

$$\mathcal{B}_0^r = \{(w, \rho) \in \Lambda_\infty \mid |w_B| < r\} \quad (\text{resp.}, \bar{\mathcal{B}}_0^r = \{(w, \rho) \in \Lambda_\infty \mid |w_B| \leq r\}).$$

The following theorem summarizes several results from [B2] and [B4], and provides exact formulas for calculating the resulting canonical supersphere from the sewing together of two canonical superspheres.

Theorem 2.3. ([B4]) *The i -th tube of Q_1 can be sewn with the 0 -th tube of Q_2 if and only if there exist $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, with $r_1 > r_2$ such that the series $(H^{(1)})^{-1}(w, \rho)$ and $(H^{(2)})^{-1}(w, \rho)$ are absolutely convergent in $\mathcal{B}_0^{r_1}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0^{1/r_2} \setminus (\{0\} \times (\Lambda_\infty)_S)$, respectively, $(-z_i, -\theta_i), (z_k - z_i - \theta_k \theta_i, \theta_k - \theta_i) \notin (H^{(1)})^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_0^{r_1})$, for $k = 1, \dots, m-1$, $k \neq i$, and $0, (z'_l, \theta'_l) \notin (H^{(2)})^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_0^{1/r_2} \setminus (\{0\} \times (\Lambda_\infty)_S))$, for $l = 1, \dots, n-1$. In this case, there exist unique bijective superconformal functions $F^{(1)}(w, \rho)$ and $F^{(2)}(w, \rho)$ defined on*

$$S\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta^{-1} \circ s_{(z_i, \theta_i)}^{-1} \circ (H^{(1)})^{-1}(\bar{\mathcal{B}}_0^{r_2})$$

and

$$U_\Delta \setminus \Delta^{-1} \circ (H^{(2)})^{-1}(\bar{\mathcal{B}}_0^{1/r_1} \setminus (\{0\} \times (\Lambda_\infty)_S)) \subset S\hat{\mathbb{C}},$$

respectively, satisfying the normalization conditions:

$$(2.15) \quad F_\Upsilon^{(1)}(0) = 0,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \lim_{w \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} (F_\Delta^{(1)})^1(w, \rho) = 1$$

$$(2.17) \quad F_\Delta^{(2)}(0) = 0$$

and such that in $(H^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_i, \theta_i)})^{-1}(\mathcal{B}_0^{r_1} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{B}}_0^{r_2})$, we have

$$(2.18) \quad F_\Delta^{(1)}(w, \rho) = F_\Delta^{(2)} \circ (H^{(2)})^{-1} \circ I \circ H^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_i, \theta_i)}(w, \rho).$$

Furthermore, if we let

$$H_0^{(1)}(w, \rho) = \tilde{E}(A^{(0)}, -iM^{(0)})\left(\frac{1}{w}, \frac{i\rho}{w}\right),$$

denote the local coordinate of the puncture vanishing at ∞ of the canonical supersphere represented by Q_1 ; let

$$H_k^{(1)}(w, \rho) = \hat{E}(a_{\square}^{(k)}, A^{(k)}, M^{(k)})(w, \rho),$$

so that the local coordinate vanishing at the k -th puncture of the canonical supersphere represented by Q_1 is given by $H_k^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_k, \theta_k)}$, for $k = 1, \dots, m$, $k \neq i$; and let

$$H_l^{(2)}(w, \rho) = \hat{E}(b_{\square}^{(l)}, B^{(l)}, N^{(l)})(w, \rho),$$

so that the local coordinate vanishing at the l -th puncture of the canonical supersphere represented by Q_2 is given by $H_l^{(2)} \circ s_{(z'_l, \theta'_l)}$, for $l = 1, \dots, n$; then we have the following:

(1) When $i = m$, and $n > 0$, the punctures of the canonical supersphere represented by $Q_1 \times_{m \infty 0} Q_2$ are

$$\infty, F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_1, \theta_1), \dots, F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}), F_\Delta^{(2)}(z'_1, \theta'_1), \dots, F_\Delta^{(2)}(z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1}), 0;$$

and the local coordinates vanishing at these punctures are

$$\begin{aligned} & H_0^{(1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ & H_1^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_1, \theta_1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1}(w, \rho), \dots, H_{m-1}^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ & H_1^{(2)} \circ s_{(z'_1, \theta'_1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(2)})^{-1}(w, \rho), \dots, H_{n-1}^{(2)} \circ s_{(z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(2)})^{-1}(w, \rho) \\ & H_n^{(2)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(2)})^{-1}(w, \rho), \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

(2) When $i = m = 1$ and $n = 0$, the canonical supersphere represented by $Q_{1 \ 1\infty_0} Q_2$ has only the one puncture at ∞ with local coordinate given by

$$(2.19) \quad H_0^{(1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_{(a', m')}^{-1}(w, \rho),$$

where $(a', m') \in \Lambda_\infty$ is the unique element such that $Q_{1 \ 1\infty_0} Q_2$ represents a canonical supersphere in $SK(0)$, i.e., such that the expansion of (2.19) has even coefficient of w^{-2} and odd coefficient of w^{-1} equal to zero. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{1 \ 1\infty_0} Q_2 &= ((\tilde{E}^{-1})^0 (H_0^{(1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_{(a', m')}^{-1} \circ I^{-1}(w, \rho)), \\ &\quad i(\tilde{E}^{-1})^1 (H_0^{(1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_{(a', m')}^{-1} \circ I^{-1}(w, \rho))). \end{aligned}$$

(3) When $i = m > 1$, and $n = 0$, and writing $F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}) = p \in \Lambda_\infty$, the punctures of the canonical supersphere represented by $Q_{1 \ i\infty_0} Q_2$ are

$$\infty, \ s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_1, \theta_1), \dots, s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_{m-2}, \theta_{m-2}), \ 0;$$

and the local coordinates vanishing at these punctures are

$$\begin{aligned} & H_0^{(1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ & H_1^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_1, \theta_1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \dots, H_{m-2}^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_{m-2}, \theta_{m-2})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho) \\ & H_{m-1}^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

(4) When $i < m$ and $n \neq 0$, writing $F_\Delta^{(1)}(0) = p \in \Lambda_\infty$, the punctures of the canonical supersphere represented by $Q_{1 \ i\infty_0} Q_2$ are

$$\begin{aligned} & \infty, \ s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_1, \theta_1), \dots, s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_{i-1}, \theta_{i-1}), \ s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(2)}(z'_1, \theta'_1), \dots, \\ & \quad s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(2)}(z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1}), -F_\Delta^{(1)}(0), \ s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_{i+1}, \theta_{i+1}), \dots, \\ & \quad s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}), \ 0; \end{aligned}$$

and the local coordinates vanishing at these punctures are

$$\begin{aligned} & H_0^{(1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ & H_1^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_1, \theta_1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \dots, H_{i-1}^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_{i-1}, \theta_{i-1})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ & H_1^{(2)} \circ s_{(z'_1, \theta'_1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(2)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \dots, H_{n-1}^{(2)} \circ s_{(z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(2)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ & H_n^{(2)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(2)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ & H_{i+1}^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_{i+1}, \theta_{i+1})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \dots, \\ & \quad H_{m-1}^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \end{aligned}$$

$$H_m^{(1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho),$$

respectively.

(5) When $i < m$ and $n = 0$, writing $F_\Delta^{(1)}(0) = p \in \Lambda_\infty$, the punctures of the canonical supersphere represented by Q_1 $i \infty_0$ Q_2 are

$$\infty, s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_1, \theta_1), \dots, s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_{i-1}, \theta_{i-1}), s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_{i+1}, \theta_{i+1}), \dots, \\ s_p \circ F_\Delta^{(1)}(z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}), 0;$$

and the local coordinates vanishing at these punctures are

$$H_0^{(1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ H_1^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_1, \theta_1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \dots, H_{i-1}^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_{i-1}, \theta_{i-1})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ H_{i+1}^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_{i+1}, \theta_{i+1})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \dots, \\ H_{m-1}^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1})} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ H_m^{(1)} \circ (F_\Delta^{(1)})^{-1} \circ s_p^{-1}(w, \rho),$$

respectively.

Moreover, the components $F_\Delta^{(1)}$ and $F_\Delta^{(2)}$ of the uniformizing function can be expanded in formal power series such that

$$(2.20) \quad F_\Delta^{(1)}(x, \varphi) = \bar{F}^{(1)} \circ s_{(z_i, \theta_i)}(x, \varphi) \Big|_{(\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) = (a_\square^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})}$$

$$(2.21) \quad F_\Delta^{(2)}(x, \varphi) = \bar{F}^{(2)}(x, \varphi) \Big|_{(\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) = (a_\square^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})},$$

where

$$(2.22) \quad \bar{F}^{(1)}(x, \varphi) = \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Psi_{-j} L_{-j}(x, \varphi) + \Psi_{-j+\frac{1}{2}} G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(x, \varphi) \right) \right) \cdot (x, \varphi)$$

$$\bar{F}^{(2)}(x, \varphi) = \exp(-\Psi_0 2L_0(x, \varphi)) \cdot (a_\square^{(i)})^{2L_0(x, \varphi)}.$$

$$(2.23) \quad \exp \left(- \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Psi_j L_j(x, \varphi) + \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(x, \varphi) \right) \right) \cdot (x, \varphi)$$

are formal power series in

$$x\mathbb{C}[\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \alpha_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}][[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}][[x^{-1}]][\varphi] \quad \text{and} \quad x\mathbb{C}[\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \alpha_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}][[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}][[x]][\varphi],$$

respectively, and where

$$(2.24) \quad (\Psi_j, \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) = (\Psi_j, \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}})(\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$$

are a unique pair of sequences in $\mathbb{C}[\alpha_0^{1/2}, \alpha_0^{-1/2}][[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}]$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that

$$(2.25) \quad (\Psi_j, \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) = (-\mathcal{A}_j, -\mathcal{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{P}_j(\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}),$$

$$(2.26) \quad (\Psi_{-j}, \Psi_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}) = (-\alpha_0^{-j} \mathcal{B}_j, -\alpha_0^{-j+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) + \mathcal{P}_{-j}(\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}),$$

$$(2.27) \quad \Psi_0 = 0 + \mathcal{P}_0(\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}),$$

each $\mathcal{P}_j(\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N})$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, contains only terms with total degree at least one in the $\mathcal{A}_k$'s and $\mathcal{M}_{k-1/2}$'s, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and with total degree at least one in the $\mathcal{B}_k$'s and $\mathcal{N}_{k-1/2}$'s, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

In particular, letting $t^{1/2}$ be a complex variable, and letting

$$\Psi_j(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \Psi_j(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{\square}^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}),$$

for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\Psi_j(t^{1/2}) \in \bigwedge_{\infty}[[t^{-1/2}, t^{1/2}]]$ and if $Q_1 \circ_0 Q_2$ exists, then the series $\Psi_j(t^{1/2})$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, are convergent when $|t^{1/2}| \leq 1$, and the values of these convergent series are equal to the coefficients Ψ_j of the power series (2.20) and (2.21).

Finally, using this solution of the uniformizing function F given by $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2)}$, we obtain the canonical element of $SK(m+n-1)$ representing the sewn spheres by taking $\hat{E}^{-1}$ of each of the local coordinates given in (1) - (5).

Remark 2.4. The moduli space of $N = 1$ superspheres with tubes, SK , along with the sewing operation and the action of the symmetric group defined in Section 2.2, is an example of a partial operad (cf. [M], [HL1], [HL2], [H2]).

The element of $\mathcal{H}$ with all components equal to 0 will be denoted by $(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$ or just $\mathbf{0}$. Note that the local coordinate chart corresponding to $(1, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) \in (\bigwedge_{\infty}^0)^{\times} \times \mathcal{H}$ is the identity map on $\bigwedge_{\infty}$ if the puncture is at 0; the shift $s_{(z_i, \theta_i)}(w, \rho) = (w - z_i - \rho\theta_i, \rho - \theta_i)$ if the puncture is at (z_i, θ_i) ; and $I(w, \rho) = (1/w, i\rho/w)$ if the puncture is at ∞ . We will sometimes refer to such coordinates as *standard local coordinates*. From Theorem 2.3, we see that SK has a unit under the sewing operation given by $e = (\mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0})) \in SK(1)$, i.e., for $Q \in SK(n)$ and $0 < i \leq n$, the i -th puncture of Q can always be sewn with the 0-th puncture of e , the first puncture of e can always be sewn with the 0-th puncture of Q , and we have $Q \circ_{i \circ 0} e = Q = e \circ_{1 \circ 0} Q$. From the geometry of sewing defined in [B4], the following associativity of the sewing operation is obvious.

Proposition 2.5. ([B4]) Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l + m - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and let $Q_1 \in SK(l)$, $Q_2 \in SK(m)$, $Q_3 \in SK(n)$, and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $1 \leq i \leq l$, and $1 \leq j \leq l + m - 1$. The iterated sewings $(Q_1 \circ_{i \circ 0} Q_2) \circ_{j \circ 0} Q_3$ exist if and only if one of the following holds:

(i) $j < i$ and the sewings $(Q_1 \circ_{j \circ 0} Q_3) \circ_{i+n-1 \circ 0} Q_2$ exist, in which case

$$(Q_1 \circ_{i \circ 0} Q_2) \circ_{j \circ 0} Q_3 = (Q_1 \circ_{j \circ 0} Q_3) \circ_{i+n-1 \circ 0} Q_2;$$

(ii) $j \geq i + m$ and the sewings $(Q_1 \circ_{j-m+1 \circ 0} Q_3) \circ_{i \circ 0} Q_2$ exist, in which case

$$(Q_1 \circ_{i \circ 0} Q_2) \circ_{j \circ 0} Q_3 = (Q_1 \circ_{j-m+1 \circ 0} Q_3) \circ_{i \circ 0} Q_2;$$

or

(iii) $i \leq j < i + m$ and the sewings $Q_1 \circ_{i \circ 0} (Q_2 \circ_{j-i+1 \circ 0} Q_3)$ exist, in which case

$$(Q_1 \circ_{i \circ 0} Q_2) \circ_{j \circ 0} Q_3 = Q_1 \circ_{i \circ 0} (Q_2 \circ_{j-i+1 \circ 0} Q_3).$$

2.5. Superspheres with one, two, and three tubes.

Proposition 2.6. ([B4]) Any element $Q \in SK$ can be obtained by sewing the following types of elements of SK

- (i) $(\mathbf{0}) \in SK(0)$,
- (ii) $((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a_{\square}^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})) \in SK(1)$,

(iii) $((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \in SK(2)$.

It is clear from the definition of sewing that $SK(1)$ is a partial monoid. In the following proposition, we give some subgroups of $SK(1)$.

Proposition 2.7. ([B4]) *Let $s, t \in \bigwedge_\infty^0$, and $(A, M) \in \bigwedge_\infty^\infty$. Assume*

$$s(A, M), t(A, M) \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then $(s + t)(A, M) \in \mathcal{H}$, both

$$(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A, M)))_{1\infty_0} (\mathbf{0}, (1, s(A, M)))$$

and

$$(s(A, M), (1, \mathbf{0}))_{1\infty_0} (t(A, M), (1, \mathbf{0}))$$

exist, and we have

$$(2.28) \quad (\mathbf{0}, (1, (s + t)(A, M))) = (\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A, M)))_{1\infty_0} (\mathbf{0}, (1, s(A, M))),$$

$$(2.29) \quad ((s + t)(A, M), (1, \mathbf{0})) = (s(A, M), (1, \mathbf{0}))_{1\infty_0} (t(A, M), (1, \mathbf{0})).$$

In particular, for $(A, M) \in \mathcal{H}$, the sets

$$(2.30) \quad \{(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A, M))) \mid t \in \bigwedge_\infty^0, t(A, M) \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

and

$$(2.31) \quad \{(t(A, M), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mid t \in \bigwedge_\infty^0, t(A, M) \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

are subgroups of $SK(1)$. In addition we have the subgroups given by taking $A = \mathbf{0}$ or $M = \mathbf{0}$ in (2.30) and (2.31).

Proposition 2.8. ([B4]) *Let $z_1, z_2 \in \bigwedge_\infty^0$ such that $|(z_1)_B| > |(z_2)_B| > |(z_1)_B - (z_2)_B| > 0$. Then*

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} & ((z_1, \theta_1), (z_2, \theta_2); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) = \\ & = ((z_2, \theta_2); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))_{1\infty_0} ((z_1 - z_2 - \theta_1\theta_2, \theta_1 - \theta_2); \\ & \quad \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.33) \quad = ((z_1, \theta_1); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))_{2\infty_0} ((z_2, \theta_2); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})).$$

Remark 2.9. Proposition 2.8 is used in Section 6 to prove the associativity property for an $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebra with odd formal variables obtained from an $N = 1$ supergeometric vertex operator superalgebra. Thus Proposition 2.8 can be thought of as a geometric version of this algebraic relation.

Let $(a, m) \in \bigwedge_\infty^\infty$, and $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and define

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{aligned} (A(a, k), M(m, l - 1/2)) = & \left(\{A_j \mid A_k = a, A_j = 0, \text{ for } j \neq k\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, \right. \\ & \left. \{M_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \mid M_{l-\frac{1}{2}} = m, M_{j-\frac{1}{2}} = 0, \text{ for } j \neq l\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is an element of $\bigwedge_\infty^\infty$.

Proposition 2.10. ([B4]) For $(z, \theta), (z_0, \theta_0) \in \Lambda_\infty$ such that $0 < |(z_0)_B| < |z_B|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ((z_0 + z + \theta_0\theta, \theta + \theta_0); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) = \\ ((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot {}_1\infty_0((A(-z_0, 1), M(-\theta_0, 1/2)), (1, \mathbf{0})). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\epsilon \in \Lambda_\infty^1$. From the definition of $SK(0)$, we see that $(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, 3/2)) \in SK(0)$. Let F be any element of $SD(1)$, and $(z, \theta) \in \Lambda_\infty$. We define a linear functional on $SD(1)$ by

$$(2.35) \quad \mathcal{G}_e(z, \theta)F = \frac{d}{d\epsilon} F\left(\left((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})\right) \cdot {}_1\infty_0(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, 3/2))\right)\Big|_{\epsilon=0}.$$

Proposition 2.11. ([B4]) The linear functional $\mathcal{G}_e(z, \theta)$ is in $T_eSK(1)$, and

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_e(z, \theta) = \sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} z^{-(2k-1)j-2+k} \frac{\partial}{\partial M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(k)}} \Big|_e \\ + 2\theta \left(z^{-2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{\square}^{(1)}} \Big|_e + \sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} z^{-(2k-1)j-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j^{(k)}} \Big|_e \right). \end{aligned}$$

2.6. An $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz algebra structure of central charge zero on the supermeromorphic tangent space of $SK(1)$ at its identity. In [B4] we define a bracket operation on a subspace $\hat{T}_eSK(1)$ of the supermeromorphic tangent space $T_eSK(1)$ of $SK(1)$ at the identity e . This subspace $\hat{T}_eSK(1)$ is the subspace of $T_eSK(1)$ consisting of all finite linear combinations of $-\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \Big|_e$, $-\frac{\partial}{\partial A_j^{(0)}} \Big|_e$, $-\frac{\partial}{\partial M_{j-1/2}^{(0)}} \Big|_e$, $-\frac{\partial}{\partial A_j^{(1)}} \Big|_e$, and $-\frac{\partial}{\partial M_{j-1/2}^{(1)}} \Big|_e$, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. In [B4], we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.12. ([B4]) The vector space $\hat{T}_eSK(1)$ with the bracket operation defined in [B4] is the $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz algebra with central charge zero. The basis is given by

$$(2.37) \quad \mathcal{L}(j) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{-j}^{(0)}} \Big|_e, \quad \text{for } -j \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

$$(2.38) \quad \mathcal{L}(j) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial A_j^{(1)}} \Big|_e, \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

$$(2.39) \quad \mathcal{L}(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \Big|_e,$$

$$(2.40) \quad \mathcal{G}(j + \frac{1}{2}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial M_{-j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}} \Big|_e, \quad \text{for } -j \in \mathbb{Z}_+,$$

$$(2.41) \quad \mathcal{G}(j - \frac{1}{2}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}} \Big|_e, \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

3. THE SEWING IDENTITIES ON MODULES FOR THE $N = 1$ NEVEU-SCHWARZ ALGEBRA

In this section we recall from [B4] the sewing identities on modules for the $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz algebra, $\mathfrak{ns}$, arising from the sewing operation on the moduli space of superspheres with tubes.

3.1. Modules for the $\mathfrak{ns}$. For any representation of $\mathfrak{ns}$, we shall use $L(j)$, $G(j - 1/2)$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ to denote the representation images of L_j , $G_{j-1/2}$ and d , respectively.

Let $V = \coprod_{k \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}$ be a module for $\mathfrak{ns}$ of central charge $c \in \mathbb{C}$ (i.e., $dv = cv$ for $v \in V$) such that for $v \in V_{(k)}$, we have $L(0)v = kv$. Let $P(k)$ be the projection from V to $V_{(k)}$. For any formal variable $t^{1/2}$ and $l \in 2\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, we define $(t^{1/2})^{lL(0)} \in (\text{End } V)[[t^{1/2}, t^{-1/2}]]$ by $(t^{1/2})^{lL(0)}v = (t^{1/2})^{kl}v$ for $v \in V_{(k)}$, or equivalently $(t^{1/2})^{lL(0)}v = \sum_{k \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}} P(k)(t^{1/2})^{kl}v$ for any $v \in V$.

Let V_P be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$ with basis $\{P_k \mid k \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $T(\mathfrak{ns} \oplus V_P)$ be the tensor algebra generated by the direct sum of $\mathfrak{ns}$ and V_P , and let $\mathcal{I}$ be the ideal of $T(\mathfrak{ns} \oplus V_P)$ generated by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ L_m \otimes L_n - L_n \otimes L_m - [L_m, L_n], L_m \otimes G_{n-\frac{1}{2}} - G_{n-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes L_m - [L_m, G_{n-\frac{1}{2}}], \right. \\ & G_{m+\frac{1}{2}} \otimes G_{n-\frac{1}{2}} + G_{n-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes G_{m+\frac{1}{2}} - [G_{m+\frac{1}{2}}, G_{n-\frac{1}{2}}], L_n \otimes d - d \otimes L_n, \\ & G_{n-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes d - d \otimes G_{n-\frac{1}{2}}, P_j \otimes P_k - \delta_{j,k} P_j, P_j \otimes L_n - L_n \otimes P_{j+n}, \\ & \left. P_j \otimes G_{n-\frac{1}{2}} - G_{n-\frac{1}{2}} \otimes P_{j+n-\frac{1}{2}}, P_j \otimes d - d \otimes P_j \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}, j, k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $U_P(\mathfrak{ns}) = T(\mathfrak{ns} \oplus V_P)/\mathcal{I}$ is an associative superalgebra and the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{ns})$ of $\mathfrak{ns}$ is a subalgebra. Linearly $U_P(\mathfrak{ns}) = U(\mathfrak{ns}) \otimes V_P$.

For any even formal variable $t^{1/2}$ and $l \in 2\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, we define

$$(t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{lL_0} = \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} P_k (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{kl} \in U_P(\mathfrak{ns})[[t^{\frac{1}{2}}, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}]].$$

Then for $l, n \in 2\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{lL_0} (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{nL_0} &= (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{(l+n)L_0}, \\ (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{lL_0} L_m &= L_m (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-lm} (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{lL_0}, \\ (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{lL_0} G_{m-\frac{1}{2}} &= G_{m-\frac{1}{2}} (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-l(m-\frac{1}{2})} (t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{lL_0}, \end{aligned}$$

and $(t^{1/2})^{lL_0}$ commutes with d . From [B4], we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let V be a module for $\mathfrak{ns}$ as above. Then there is a unique algebra homomorphism from $U_P(\mathfrak{ns})$ to $\text{End } V$ such that L_j , $G_{j-1/2}$, d and P_k are mapped to $L(j)$, $G(j - 1/2)$, c and $P(k)$, respectively, for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.*

If $V_{(k)} = 0$ for n sufficiently small, then we say that V is a *positive energy module* for $\mathfrak{ns}$ and that the corresponding representation is a *positive energy representation* of $\mathfrak{ns}$.

3.2. The series Γ and $\{\Psi_j, \Psi_{j-1/2}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{\mathcal{B}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be two sequences of even formal variables, let $\mathcal{M} = \{\mathcal{M}_{j-1/2}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ and $\mathcal{N} = \{\mathcal{N}_{j-1/2}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ be two sequences of odd formal variables, and let $\alpha_0^{1/2}$ be another even formal variable.

Proposition 3.2. ([B4], [BHL]) *Let Ψ_j , for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, be the canonical sequence of formal series given by Theorem 2.3. There exists a unique canonical formal series $\Gamma = \Gamma(\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) \in (\mathbb{C}[\alpha_0^{1/2}, \alpha_0^{-1/2}][[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}])^0$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \Gamma = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\left(\frac{j^3 - j}{12} \right) \alpha_0^{-j} \mathcal{A}_j \mathcal{B}_j + \left(\frac{j^2 - j}{3} \right) \alpha_0^{-j+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{N}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) + \Gamma_0$$

where Γ_0 contains only terms with total degree at least three in the $\mathcal{A}_j$'s, $\mathcal{M}_{j-1/2}$'s, $\mathcal{B}_j$'s, and $\mathcal{N}_{j-1/2}$'s for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ with each term containing at least one of the $\mathcal{A}_j$'s or $\mathcal{M}_{j-1/2}$'s and at least one of the $\mathcal{B}_j$'s or $\mathcal{N}_{j-1/2}$'s, and such that in the $\mathbb{C}[\alpha_0^{1/2}, \alpha_0^{-1/2}][[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}]$ -envelope of $U_P(\mathfrak{ns})$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\mathcal{A}_j L_j + \mathcal{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G_{j-\frac{1}{2}})} (\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-2L_0} e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\mathcal{B}_j L_{-j} + \mathcal{N}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}})} \\ = e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\Psi_{-j} L_{-j} + \Psi_{-j+\frac{1}{2}} G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}})} e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\Psi_j L_j + \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G_{j-\frac{1}{2}})} e^{2\Psi_0 L_0} (\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-2L_0} e^{\Gamma c}.$$

Remark 3.3. In [B4] we use the sewing identities on the moduli space on $N = 1$ superspheres with tubes to prove Proposition 3.2 above. In [BHL], we give a more straightforward and Lie-theoretic proof of Proposition 3.2 by proving a certain bijectivity property for the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula in the theory of Lie algebras. This allows us to prove Proposition 3.2 directly for $U_P(\mathfrak{ns})$ rather than go through the representation in terms of superderivations and then lift to $U_P(\mathfrak{ns})$ as we did in [B2], [B4].

Let $V = \coprod_{k \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}$ be a module for $\mathfrak{ns}$, and let $L(j), G(j - 1/2) \in \text{End } V$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ be the representation images of L_j , $G_{j-1/2}$ and d , respectively, such that $L(0)v = kv$ for $v \in V_{(k)}$.

Corollary 3.4. ([B4]) *In the $\mathbb{C}[\alpha_0^{1/2}, \alpha_0^{-1/2}][[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}]$ -envelope of $\text{End } V$, we have*

$$(3.3) \quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\mathcal{A}_j L(j) + \mathcal{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G(j-\frac{1}{2}))} \cdot (\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-2L(0)} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\mathcal{B}_j L(-j) + \mathcal{N}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}))} \\ = e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\Psi_{-j} L(-j) + \Psi_{-j+\frac{1}{2}} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}))} \cdot e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\Psi_j L(j) + \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G(j-\frac{1}{2}))} \\ \cdot e^{2\Psi_0 L(0)} \cdot (\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}})^{-2L(0)} \cdot e^{\Gamma c}.$$

Furthermore, the formal series Γ and Ψ_j , for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, are actually in

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{A}][\mathcal{M}][\mathcal{B}][\mathcal{N}][[\alpha_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}]].$$

Thus letting (A, M) and (B, N) be two sequences in Λ_*^∞ , letting $a_\square \in (\Lambda_*^0)^\times$, and letting $t^{1/2}$ be an even formal variable, we have that $\Psi_j(t^{-1/2}a_\square, A, M, B, N)$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and $\Gamma(t^{-1/2}a_\square, A, M, B, N)$ are well defined and belong to $\Lambda_*[[t^{1/2}]]$. If in

addition, V is a positive-energy module for $\mathfrak{ns}$, then the following identity holds in $(\text{End}(\bigwedge_* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V))^0[[t^{1/2}]]$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad & e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (A_j L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G(j-\frac{1}{2}))} \cdot (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{\square})^{-2L(0)} \cdot \\
& \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\mathcal{B}_j L(-j) + \mathcal{N}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}))} \cdot \\
& = e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\Psi_{-j}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{\square}, A, M, B, N) L(-j) + \Psi_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{\square}, A, M, B, N) G(-j+\frac{1}{2}))} \cdot \\
& \cdot e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (\Psi_j(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{\square}, A, M, B, N) L(j) + \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{\square}, A, M, B, N) G(j-\frac{1}{2}))} \cdot \\
& \cdot e^{2\Psi_0(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{\square}, A, M, B, N) L(0)} \cdot (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{\square})^{-2L(0)} \cdot e^{\Gamma(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_{\square}, A, M, B, N) c}.
\end{aligned}$$

Before introducing the definition of $N = 1$ supergeometric vertex operator algebra, we present the following conjecture. The Isomorphism Theorem, Theorem 8.1, only holds when this conjecture is true, or in the trivial case in which the representation of the Neveu-Schwarz algebra being considered has zero central charge.

Conjecture 3.5. *Let $Q_1 \in SK(m)$ and $Q_2 \in SK(n)$ be given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 = & ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \\
& \dots, (a^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q_2 = ((z'_1, \theta'_1), \dots, (z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1}); (B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}), \dots, (b^{(n)}, B^{(n)}, N^{(n)})).$$

If the i -th tube of Q_1 can be sewn with the 0 -th tube of Q_2 , then the $t^{1/2}$ -series

$$e^{\Gamma(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})c}$$

is absolutely convergent at $t^{1/2} = 1$.

We have already noted the convergence property of the Ψ_j 's in Theorem 2.3.

3.3. The series $\{\Theta_j^{(1)}, \Theta_{j-1/2}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{\Theta_j^{(2)}, \Theta_{j-1/2}^{(2)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}^{(1)}(x, \varphi) &= \exp \left(- \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\mathcal{A}_j L_j(x, \varphi) + \mathcal{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(x, \varphi) \right) \right) \cdot \\
& \cdot (\alpha_0^{1/2})^{-2L_0(x, \varphi)} \cdot (x, \varphi) \\
&= \hat{E}(\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M})(x, \varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi}) = (H_{\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}^{(1)})^{-1}(x, \varphi) \in (\alpha_0^{-1}x, \alpha_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\varphi) + x\mathbb{C}[x, \varphi][\alpha_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}][[\mathcal{A}][[\mathcal{M}]].$$

Let w be another even formal variable and ρ another odd formal variable. Then $s_{(x, \varphi)} \circ H_{\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}^{(1)} \circ s_{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi})}(\alpha_0^{-1}w, \alpha_0^{-1/2}\rho)$ is in

$$w\mathbb{C}[x, \varphi][\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \alpha_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}][[\mathcal{A}][[\mathcal{M}][[w]]] \oplus \rho\mathbb{C}[x, \varphi][\alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, \alpha_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}][[\mathcal{A}][[\mathcal{M}][[w]]],$$

is superconformal in (w, ρ) , and the even coefficient of the monomial ρ is an element in $(1 + x\mathbb{C}[x][\alpha_0^{1/2}, \alpha_0^{-1/2}][[\mathcal{A}][[\mathcal{M}]] \oplus \varphi\mathbb{C}[x][\alpha_0^{1/2}, \alpha_0^{-1/2}][[\mathcal{A}][[\mathcal{M}]]$.

Let $\Theta_j^{(1)} = \Theta_j^{(1)}(\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, (x, \varphi)) \in \mathbb{C}[x, \varphi][\alpha_0^{1/2}, \alpha_0^{-1/2}][[\mathcal{A}]][\mathcal{M}]$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$, be defined by

$$(3.5) \quad \left(\exp(\Theta_0^{(1)}(\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, (x, \varphi))), \left\{ \Theta_j^{(1)}(\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, (x, \varphi)), \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, (x, \varphi)) \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \right) \\ = \hat{E}^{-1}(s_{(x, \varphi)} \circ H_{\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}^{(1)} \circ s_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\varphi})}^{-1}(\alpha_0^{-1}w, \alpha_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho)).$$

In other words, the $\Theta_j^{(1)}$'s are determined uniquely by

$$s_{(x, \varphi)} \circ H_{\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}^{(1)} \circ s_{(\bar{x}, \bar{\varphi})}^{-1}(\alpha_0^{-1}w, \alpha_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\rho) \\ = \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(1)} \left(w^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \left(\frac{j+1}{2} \right) \rho w^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} w^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \right) \right) \right) \\ \exp \left(\Theta_0^{(1)} \left(2w \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) \right) \cdot (w, \rho).$$

This formal power series in (w, ρ) gives the formal local superconformal coordinate at a puncture of the canonical supersphere obtained from the sewing together of two particular canonical superspheres with punctures. Specifically, given a canonical supersphere Q_1 representing an element in $SK(m)$

$$Q_1 = ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a_{\square}^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, \\ (a_{\square}^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)}))$$

and a canonical supersphere $Q_2 \in SK(2)$ with standard local coordinates given by

$$Q_2 = ((z, \theta); I(w, \rho), s_{(z, \theta)}(w, \rho), (w, \rho)) = ((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))$$

and if $|z_B| < r$ where r is the radius of convergence of $\hat{E}(a_{\square}^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})(w, \rho) = H_{(a_{\square}^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})}^{(1)}(w, \rho)$, then we can sew the puncture at infinity of Q_2 to the m -th puncture of Q_1 . In this case, the uniformizing function is given by $F_{\Delta}^{(1)}(w, \rho) = (w, \rho)$ and $F_{\Delta}^{(2)}(w, \rho) = (\hat{E}(a_{\square}^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)}))^{-1}(w, \rho) = (H_{(a_{\square}^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})}^{(1)})^{-1}(w, \rho)$.

Thus the resulting canonical supersphere is given by case (1) of Theorem 2.3, and we have

$$Q_1 \#_{m \infty 0} Q_2 = ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}), (H_m^{(1)})^{-1}(z, \theta); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), \\ (a_{\square}^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{\square}^{(m-1)}, A^{(m-1)}, M^{(m-1)}), \\ \hat{E}^{-1} \circ s_{(z, \theta)} \circ H_m^{(1)} \circ s_{(H_m^{(1)})^{-1}(z, \theta)}^{-1}((a_{\square}^{(m)})^{-2}w, (a_{\square}^{(m)})^{-1}\rho)) \\ = ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}), (H_m^{(1)})^{-1}(z, \theta); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), \\ (a_{\square}^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a_{\square}^{(m-1)}, A^{(m-1)}, M^{(m-1)}), \\ (\exp \Theta_0^{(1)}, \{\Theta_j^{(1)}, \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}))$$

where $(\exp \Theta_0^{(1)}, \{\Theta_j^{(1)}, \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+})$ is given formally by (3.5), with $(\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}) = (a_{\square}^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})$ and $(x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)$.

In Section 7, in order to prove that given an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA we can construct an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA, we will need the following proposition concerning the series $\Theta_j^{(1)}$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.6. ([B4]) *In $(U(\mathfrak{ns})[x, \varphi][\alpha_0^{1/2}, \alpha_0^{-1/2}][[\mathcal{A}]][\mathcal{M}])^0$, we have*

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{j+1}{m+1} \alpha_0^{-j} x^{j-m} \left(\left(\mathcal{A}_j + 2 \left(\frac{j-m}{j+1} \right) \alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{-1} \varphi \mathcal{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) L_m \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + x^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{j-m}{j+1} \right) \alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \varphi \frac{(j-m)}{2} \mathcal{A}_j \right) G_{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right)$$

$$= e^{\left((\tilde{x} - \alpha_0^{-1} x) L_{-1} + (\tilde{\varphi} - \alpha_0^{-1/2} \varphi) G_{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)} \cdot e^{\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(1)} L_j + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right)} \cdot e^{(-2\Theta_0^{(1)} L_0)},$$

for $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi}) = (H_{\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}^{(1)})^{-1}(x, \varphi)$.

Let $V = \coprod_{k \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}$ be a module for $\mathfrak{ns}$, and let $L(j), G(j-1/2) \in \text{End } V$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ be the representation images of $L_j, G_{j-1/2}$ and d , respectively, such that $L(0)v = kv$ for $v \in V_{(k)}$.

Corollary 3.7. ([B4]) *In $((\text{End } V)[x, \varphi][\alpha_0^{1/2}, \alpha_0^{-1/2}][[\mathcal{A}]][\mathcal{M}])^0$, we have*

$$(3.6) \quad \exp\left(-\sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{j+1}{m+1} \alpha_0^{-j} x^{j-m} \right. \\ \left. \left(\left(\mathcal{A}_j + 2 \left(\frac{j-m}{j+1} \right) \alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}} x^{-1} \varphi \mathcal{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) L(m) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + x^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{j-m}{j+1} \right) \alpha_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \varphi \frac{(j-m)}{2} \mathcal{A}_j \right) G(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right) \right) \\ = e^{\left((\tilde{x} - \alpha_0^{-1} x) L(-1) + (\tilde{\varphi} - \alpha_0^{-1/2} \varphi) G(-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot e^{\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(1)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right) \right)} \\ \cdot e^{(-2\Theta_0^{(1)} L(0))}$$

for $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi}) = (H_{\alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}}^{(1)})^{-1}(x, \varphi)$.

Furthermore, the formal series $\Theta_j^{(1)}(t^{-1/2} \alpha_0^{1/2}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{M}, (x, \varphi))$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$, are in $\mathbb{C}[x, \varphi][[\mathcal{A}][[\mathcal{M}][[\alpha_0^{-1/2}][[t^{1/2}]]]$. Thus for $a_{\square} \in (\bigwedge_{*}^0)^{\times}$, and $(A, M) \in \bigwedge_{*}^{\infty}$, the series $\Theta_j^{(1)}(t^{-1/2} a_{\square}, A, M, (x, \varphi))$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$, are well defined and belong to $\bigwedge_{*}^{\infty}[x, \varphi][[t^{1/2}]]$.

Let $(\tilde{x}(t^{1/2}), \tilde{\varphi}(t^{1/2})) = H_{t^{-1/2} a_{\square}, A, M}^{(1)}(x, \varphi)$, and let V be a positive-energy module for $\mathfrak{ns}$. Then in $((\text{End } (\bigwedge_{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V))[[t^{\frac{1}{2}}]][[x, x^{-1}]][\varphi])^0$, the following identity holds

for $\Theta_j^{(1)} = \Theta_j^{(1)}(t^{-1/2}a_\square, A, M, (x, \varphi))$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.7) \quad & \exp \left(- \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{j+1}{m+1} t^j a_\square^{-2j} x^{j-m} \right. \\
 & \quad \left(\left(A_j + 2 \left(\frac{j-m}{j+1} \right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_\square x^{-1} \varphi M_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) L(m) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + x^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{j-m}{j+1} \right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a_\square M_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \varphi \frac{(j-m)}{2} A_j \right) G(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right) \Bigg) \\
 & = \exp \left((\tilde{x} t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t a_\square^{-2} x) L(-1) + (\tilde{\varphi} t^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}} a_\square^{-1} \varphi) G(-\frac{1}{2}) \right) \\
 & \quad \exp \left(- \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(1)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j - \frac{1}{2}) \right) \right) \cdot \exp \left(-2\Theta_0^{(1)} L(0) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^{(2)}(x, \varphi) &= \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\mathcal{B}_j L_{-j}(x, \varphi) + \mathcal{N}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(x, \varphi) \right) \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{i\varphi}{x} \right) \\
 &= \tilde{E}(\mathcal{B}, -i\mathcal{N}) \left(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{i\varphi}{x} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi}) = (H_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}}^{(2)})^{-1} \circ I(x, \varphi) \in (x, \varphi) + \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}, \varphi][[\mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{N}].$$

Let w be another even formal variable and ρ another odd formal variable. Now write $s_{(x, \varphi)}(w, \rho) = (-x + w - \rho\varphi, \rho - \varphi)$. We will use the convention that we should expand $(-x + w - \rho\varphi)^j = (-x + w)^j - j\rho\varphi(-x + w)^{j-1}$ in positive powers of the second even variable w , for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$s_{(x, \varphi)} \circ I^{-1} \circ H_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}}^{(2)} \circ s_{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi})}^{-1}(w, \rho) \in w\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}, \varphi][[\mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{N}][[w]] \oplus \rho\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}, \varphi][[\mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{N}][[w]],$$

is superconformal in (w, ρ) , and the even coefficient of the monomial ρ is an element in $(1 + x^{-1}\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}, \varphi][[\mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{N}])$.

Let $\Theta_j^{(2)} = \Theta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}, (x, \varphi)) \in \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}, \varphi][[\mathcal{B}]][\mathcal{N}]$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$, be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.8) \quad & \left(\exp(\Theta_0^{(2)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}, (x, \varphi))), \left\{ \Theta_j^{(2)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}, (x, \varphi)), \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}, (x, \varphi)) \right\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \right) \\
 & = \hat{E}^{-1}(s_{(x, \varphi)} \circ I^{-1} \circ H_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}}^{(2)} \circ s_{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi})}^{-1}(w, \rho)).
 \end{aligned}$$

In other words, the $\Theta_j^{(2)}$'s are determined uniquely by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & s_{(x, \varphi)} \circ I^{-1} \circ H_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}}^{(2)} \circ s_{(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi})}^{-1}(w, \rho) \\
 & = \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(2)} \left(w^{j+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \left(\frac{j+1}{2} \right) \rho w^j \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} w^j \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \right) \right) \right) \\
 & \quad \exp \left(\Theta_0^{(2)} \left(2w \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) \right) \cdot (w, \rho).
 \end{aligned}$$

This formal power series in (w, ρ) gives the formal local superconformal coordinate at a puncture of the canonical supersphere obtained from the sewing together of two particular canonical superspheres with punctures. Specifically, given a canonical supersphere Q_1 representing an element in $SK(2)$ with standard local coordinates given by

$$Q_1 = ((z, \theta); I(w, \rho), s_{(z, \theta)}(w, \rho), (w, \rho)) = ((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \in SK(2)$$

and a canonical supersphere $Q_2 \in SK(1)$ given by

$$Q_1 = ((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b_{\square}^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})) \in SK(1),$$

and if $|z_B| > r$ where r is the radius of convergence of $\tilde{E}(B^{(0)}, -iN^{(0)})(1/w, i\rho/w) = H_{(B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})}^{(2)}(w, \rho)$, then we can sew the puncture at infinity of Q_2 to the second puncture of Q_1 . In this case, the uniformizing function is given by $F_{\Delta}^{(1)}(w, \rho) = (H_{(B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})}^{(2)})^{-1} \circ I(w, \rho)$ and $F_{\Delta}^{(2)}(w, \rho) = (w, \rho)$. Thus the resulting canonical supersphere is given by case (1) of Theorem 2.3, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 \circ Q_2 &= ((H_{(B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})}^{(2)})^{-1} \circ I(z, \theta); (B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), \\ &\quad \hat{E}^{-1} \circ s_{(z, \theta)} \circ I^{-1} \circ H_{(B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})}^{(2)} \circ s_{(H_{(B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})}^{(2)})^{-1}(z, \theta)}^{-1}(w, \rho), \\ &\quad (b_{\square}^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})) \\ &= ((H_{(B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})}^{(2)})^{-1} \circ I(z, \theta); (B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), \\ &\quad (\exp \Theta_0^{(2)}, \{\Theta_j^{(2)}, \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}), (b_{\square}^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})) \end{aligned}$$

where $(\exp \Theta_0^{(2)}, \{\Theta_j^{(2)}, \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+})$ is given formally by (3.8), with $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}) = (B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})$ and $(x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)$.

In Section 7, in order to prove that given an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA we can construct an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA, we will need the following proposition concerning the series $\Theta_j^{(2)}$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 3.8. ([B4]) *In $(U(\mathfrak{ns})[x^{-1}, \varphi][[\mathcal{B}][[\mathcal{N}]])^0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp\left(\sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{-j+1}{m+1} x^{-j-m} \left((\mathcal{B}_j + 2\varphi \mathcal{N}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) L_m \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left(\mathcal{N}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \varphi x^{-1} \frac{(-j-m)}{2} \mathcal{B}_j \right) G_{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right) \\ &= e^{\left((\tilde{x}-x) L_{-1} + (\tilde{\varphi}-\varphi) G_{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)} \cdot e^{\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(2)} L_j + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G_{j-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right)} \cdot e^{\left(-2\Theta_0^{(2)} L_0 \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi}) = (H_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}}^{(2)})^{-1} \circ I(x, \varphi)$.

Let $V = \coprod_{k \in (1/2)\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}$ be a module for $\mathfrak{ns}$, and let $L(j), G(j-1/2) \in \text{End } V$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ be the representation images of $L_j, G_{j-1/2}$ and d , respectively, such that $L(0)v = kv$ for $v \in V_{(k)}$.

Corollary 3.9. ([B4]) *In $((\text{End } V)[x^{-1}, \varphi][[\mathcal{B}][\mathcal{N}]]^0$, we have*

$$(3.9) \quad \exp\left(\sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{-j+1}{m+1} x^{-j-m} \left((\mathcal{B}_j + 2\varphi \mathcal{N}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) L(m) + \left(\mathcal{N}_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \varphi x^{-1} \frac{(-j-m)}{2} \mathcal{B}_j \right) G(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right)\right) \\ = e^{((\tilde{x}-x)L(-1) + (\tilde{\varphi}-\varphi)G(-\frac{1}{2}))} \cdot e^{\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(2)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)\right)} \cdot e^{(-2\Theta_0^{(2)} L(0))},$$

for $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\varphi}) = (H_{\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{N}}^{(2)})^{-1} \circ I(x, \varphi)$.

Furthermore, the formal series $\Theta_j^{(2)}(\{t^k \mathcal{B}_k, t^{k-1/2} \mathcal{N}_{k-1/2}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, (x, \varphi))$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$, are in $\mathbb{C}[x^{-1}, \varphi][\mathcal{B}][\mathcal{N}][[t^{1/2}]]$. Thus for $(B, N) \in \Lambda_*^\infty$, the series

$$\Theta_j^{(2)}(\{t^k B_k, t^{k-1/2} N_{k-1/2}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, (x, \varphi))$$

are well defined and belong to $\Lambda_*[x^{-1}, \varphi][[t^{1/2}]]$.

Let $(\tilde{x}(t^{1/2}), \tilde{\varphi}(t^{1/2})) = (H_{\{t^j B_j, t^{j-1/2} N_{j-1/2}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}}^{(2)})^{-1} \circ I(x, \varphi)$, and let V be a positive-energy module for $\mathfrak{ns}$. Then in $((\text{End } (\Lambda_* \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} V))[[t^{1/2}]][[x, x^{-1}]][\varphi])^0$, the following identity holds for $\Theta_j^{(2)} = \Theta_j^{(2)}(\{t^k B_k, t^{k-1/2} N_{k-1/2}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, (x, \varphi))$.

$$(3.10) \quad \exp\left(\sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{-j+1}{m+1} x^{-j-m} \left((t^j B_j + 2\varphi t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}) L(m) + \left(t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}} + \varphi x^{-1} \frac{(-j-m)}{2} t^j B_j \right) G(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right)\right) \\ = \exp\left(\left(\tilde{x}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) - x\right)L(-1) + (\tilde{\varphi}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \varphi)G(-\frac{1}{2})\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(2)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)\right) \cdot \exp\left(-2\Theta_0^{(2)} L(0)\right).$$

4. $N = 1$ SUPERGEOMETRIC VERTEX OPERATOR SUPERALGEBRAS

In this section we introduce the notion of $N = 1$ supergeometric vertex operator superalgebra.

4.1. Linear algebra of $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Λ_* -modules with finite-dimensional homogeneous weight subspaces. Let

$$V = \coprod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)} = \coprod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}^0 \oplus \coprod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}^1 = V^0 \oplus V^1$$

with

$$\dim V_{(k)} < \infty \quad \text{for } k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z},$$

be a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded (by weight) Λ_* -module with finite-dimensional homogeneous weight spaces $V_{(k)}$ which is also $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded. Let

$$V' = \coprod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}^*$$

be the graded dual space of V ,

$$\bar{V} = \prod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)} = (V')^*$$

the algebraic completion of V , and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the natural pairing between V' and $\bar{V}$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{SF}_V(n) = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_*}(V^{\otimes n}, \bar{V}).$$

For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and any positive integer $i \leq m$, we define the $t^{1/2}$ -contraction

$$\begin{aligned} {}_i * : \mathcal{SF}_V(m) \times \mathcal{SF}_V(n) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(V^{\otimes(m+n-1)}, V[[t^{\frac{1}{2}}, t^{-\frac{1}{2}}]]) \\ (f, g) &\mapsto (f \ {}_i * \ g)_{t^{1/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad &(f \ {}_i * \ g)_{t^{1/2}}(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{m+n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} f(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i-1} \otimes P(k)(g(v_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i+n-1})) \otimes v_{i+n} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{m+n-1}) t^k \end{aligned}$$

for all $v_1, \dots, v_{m+n-1} \in V$, where for any $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, the map $P(k) : V \rightarrow V_{(k)}$ is the canonical projection map. This is an obvious extension of the notion of t -contraction given in [H2].

Let

$$(4.2) \quad \{e_{l^{(k)}}^{(k)} \in V_{(k)} \mid k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}, l^{(k)} = 1, \dots, \dim V_{(k)}\}$$

be a homogeneous basis of V , and

$$(4.3) \quad \{(e_{l^{(k)}}^{(k)})^* \in V_{(k)}^* \mid k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}, l^{(k)} = 1, \dots, \dim V_{(k)}\}$$

the corresponding dual basis of V' . In terms of these bases, the definition of $t^{1/2}$ -contraction can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &(f \ {}_i * \ g)_{t^{1/2}}(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{m+n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} f(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i-1} \otimes e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \otimes v_{i+n} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{m+n-1}) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, g(v_i \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i+n-1}) \rangle t^k \end{aligned}$$

for any $v_1, \dots, v_{m+n-1} \in V$.

If for arbitrary $v' \in V'$, $v_1, \dots, v_{m+n-1} \in V$, the formal Laurent series in $t^{1/2}$

$$\langle v', (f \ {}_i * \ g)_{t^{1/2}}(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{m+n-1}) \rangle$$

is absolutely convergent when $t^{1/2} = 1$, then $(f \ {}_i * \ g)_1$ is well defined as an element of $\mathcal{SF}_V(m+n-1)$, and we define the *contraction* $(f \ {}_i * \ g)$ in $\mathcal{SF}_V(m+n-1)$ of f and g by

$$(f \ {}_i * \ g) = (f \ {}_i * \ g)_1.$$

The following associativity of the $t^{1/2}$ -contraction follows immediately from the definition.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l + m - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and let $f_1 \in \mathcal{SF}_V(l)$, $f_2 \in \mathcal{SF}_V(m)$, $f_3 \in \mathcal{SF}_V(n)$, and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $1 \leq i \leq l$, and $1 \leq j \leq l + m - 1$. Then one of the following holds:*

(i) $j < i$ and as formal power series in $t_1^{1/2}$ and $t_2^{1/2}$

$$((f_1 \circ i * f_2)_{t_1^{1/2}} \circ j * f_3)_{t_2^{1/2}} = ((f_1 \circ j * f_3)_{t_2^{1/2}} \circ i * f_2)_{t_1^{1/2}};$$

(ii) $j \geq i + m$ and as formal power series in $t_1^{1/2}$ and $t_2^{1/2}$

$$((f_1 \circ i * f_2)_{t_1^{1/2}} \circ j * f_3)_{t_2^{1/2}} = ((f_1 \circ j * f_3)_{t_2^{1/2}} \circ i * f_2)_{t_1^{1/2}};$$

(iii) $i \leq j < i + m$ and as formal power series in $t_1^{1/2}$ and $t_2^{1/2}$

$$((f_1 \circ i * f_2)_{t_1^{1/2}} \circ j * f_3)_{t_2^{1/2}} = (f_1 \circ i * (f_2 \circ j * f_3)_{t_2^{1/2}})_{t_1^{1/2}}.$$

Remark 4.2. Note the similarity between Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 2.5. This similarity is due to the fact that both the sewing operation on the moduli space of $N = 1$ superspheres with tubes and the $t^{1/2}$ -contraction define the formal substitution maps for a partial operad structure (cf. [M], [HL1],[HL2]) on the moduli space of $N = 1$ superspheres with tubes and on the n -ary functions from V to $V[[t^{1/2}, t^{-1/2}]]$, respectively. The fact that the associativity of the contraction itself relies on a certain series being doubly absolutely convergent means that the contraction defines only a partial “pseudo-operad” (cf. [HL1], [HL2]) since operad associativity is not automatic.

In addition, an operad has an action of the permutation group which we define below for these n -ary functions.

Let $(k \ l) \in S_n$ be the permutation on n letters which switches the k -th and l -th letters, for $k, l = 1, \dots, n$, with $k < l$. We define the (right) action of the transposition $(k \ l)$ on $V^{\otimes n}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{k-1} \otimes v_k \otimes v_{k+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{l-1} \otimes v_l \otimes v_{l+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \cdot (k \ l) \\ &= (-1)^{\eta(k \ l)} (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{k-1} \otimes v_l \otimes v_{k+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{l-1} \otimes v_k \otimes v_{l+1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \end{aligned}$$

for v_j of homogeneous sign in V , where

$$\eta(k \ l) = \sum_{j=k+1}^{l-1} \eta(v_j)(\eta(v_k) + \eta(v_l)) + \eta(v_l)\eta(v_k).$$

Let $\sigma \in S_n$ be a permutation on n letters. Then σ is the product of transpositions $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_m$, $\sigma_i = (k_i \ l_i)$, for $k_i, l_i = 1, \dots, n$, with $k_i < l_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. Thus we have a right action of S_n on $V^{\otimes n}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \cdot \sigma &= (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \cdot \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_m \cdot \\ &= (-1)^{\eta(\sigma_1) + \dots + \eta(\sigma_m)} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)} \\ &= (-1)^{\eta(\sigma)} v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

This right action of S_n on n -tuples in V , induces a left action of S_n on $\mathcal{SF}_V(n)$ given by

$$(\sigma \cdot f)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) = f((v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \cdot \sigma),$$

for $f \in \mathcal{SF}_V(n)$.

Since V is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded, $\text{End } V$ has a natural $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading and a natural Lie superalgebra structure. If $P \in \text{End } V$, the corresponding adjoint operator on V' , if it

exists, is denoted by P' . The condition for the existence of P' is that the linear functional on V defined by the right-hand side of

$$\langle P'v', v \rangle = \langle v', Pv \rangle, \text{ for } v \in V, v' \in V'$$

should lie in V' . If there exists $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ such that P maps $V_{(k)}$ to $V_{(n+k)}$ for any $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, we say that P has *weight* n . Note that P has weight n if and only if its adjoint P' exists and has weight $-n$ as an operator on V' . In addition, P is even (resp., odd) if and only if its adjoint is even (resp., odd). In the case that V is a module for $\mathfrak{ns}$ graded by the eigenvalues of $L(0)$, the adjoint operator $L'(-n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to $L(-n)$ exists and is even with weight n , and the adjoint operator $G'(-n - 1/2)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to $G(-n - 1/2)$ exists and is odd with weight $n + 1/2$.

4.2. The notion of $N = 1$ supergeometric vertex operator superalgebra.

Definition 4.3. An $N = 1$ supergeometric vertex operator superalgebra over $\bigwedge_*$ ($N = 1$ SG-VOSA) is a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded (by weight) $\bigwedge_\infty$ -module which is also $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded (by sign)

$$V = \coprod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)} = \coprod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}^0 \oplus \coprod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}^1 = V^0 \oplus V^1$$

such that only $\bigwedge_* \subseteq \bigwedge_\infty$ acts nontrivially on V ,

$$\dim V_{(k)} < \infty \quad \text{for } k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z},$$

and, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a map

$$\nu_n : SK(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{SF}_V(n)$$

satisfying the following axioms:

(1) Positive energy axiom:

$$V_{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{for } k \text{ sufficiently small.}$$

(2) Grading axiom: Let $v' \in V'$, $v \in V_{(k)}$, and $a \in (\bigwedge_\infty^0)^\times$. Then

$$\langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (a, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle = a^{-2k} \langle v', v \rangle.$$

(3) Supermeromorphicity axiom: For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $v' \in V'$, and $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$, the function

$$Q \mapsto \langle v', \nu_n(Q)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle$$

on $SK(n)$ is a canonical supermeromorphic superfunction (in the sense of (2.13)), and if (z_i, θ_i) and (z_j, θ_j) , for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $i \neq j$, are the i -th and j -th punctures of $Q \in SK(n)$, respectively, then for any v_i and v_j in V , there exists $N(v_i, v_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for any $v' \in V'$ and $v_l \in V$, $l \neq i, j$, the order of the pole $(z_i, \theta_i) = (z_j, \theta_j)$ of $\langle v', \nu_n(Q)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle$ is less than $N(v_i, v_j)$.

(4) Permutation axiom: Let $\sigma \in S_n$. Then for any $Q \in SK(n)$

$$\sigma \cdot (\nu_n(Q)) = \nu_n(\sigma \cdot Q).$$

(5) Sewing axiom: There exists a unique complex number c (the *central charge* or *rank*) such that if $Q_1 \in SK(m)$ and $Q_2 \in SK(n)$ are given by

$$Q_1 = ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})),$$

and

$$Q_2 = ((z'_1, \theta'_1), \dots, (z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1}); (B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}), \dots, (b^{(n)}, B^{(n)}, N^{(n)})),$$

and if the i -th tube of Q_1 can be sewn with the 0-th tube of Q_2 , then for any $v' \in V'$ and $v_1, \dots, v_{m+n-1} \in V$,

$$\langle v', (\nu_m(Q_1) \underset{i}{*}_0 \nu_n(Q_2))_{t^{1/2}} (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{m+n-1}) \rangle$$

is absolutely convergent when $t^{1/2} = 1$, and

$$\nu_{m+n-1}(Q_1 \underset{i}{\infty}_0 Q_2) = (\nu_m(Q_1) \underset{i}{*}_0 \nu_n(Q_2)) e^{-\Gamma(a^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})c}.$$

Note that in this definition, we assume that Conjecture 3.5 is true.

We denote the $N = 1$ SG-VOSA defined above by

$$(V, \nu = \{\nu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$$

or just by (V, ν) .

We can extend this definition to Grassmann algebras over an arbitrary field $\mathbb{F}$ of characteristic zero. But in this case, we have to first introduce the notion of formal superspheres with tubes over the Grassmann algebra over $\mathbb{F}$, and the sewing axiom will become a statement for certain formal series in even and odd variables with coefficients in this Grassmann algebra.

Let (V_1, ν) and (V_2, μ) be two $N = 1$ SG-VOSAs over Λ_{*1} and Λ_{*2} , respectively. A *homomorphism of $N = 1$ SG-VOSAs* is a doubly graded Λ_∞ -module map $\gamma : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that if $\bar{\gamma} : \bar{V}_1 \rightarrow \bar{V}_2$ is the unique extension of γ , then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $Q \in SK(n)$

$$\bar{\gamma} \circ \nu(Q) = \mu(Q) \circ \gamma^{\otimes n}.$$

Note that an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA over some subalgebra of the underlying Grassmann algebra Λ_∞ is isomorphic to the same $N = 1$ SG-VOSA over a different subalgebra.

5. (ALGEBRAIC) $N = 1$ NEVEU-SCHWARZ VERTEX OPERATOR SUPERALGEBRAS

In this section, we recall the notion of $N = 1$ *Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebra over a Grassmann algebra and with odd formal variables* ($N = 1$ NS-VOSA) given in [B2] and [B3] and recall some of the consequences of this notion. In addition, in this section we give the formulations of supercommutativity and associativity for an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd formal variables.

5.1. Delta functions with odd formal variables. Let x, x_0, x_1 and x_2 be even formal variables, and let $\varphi, \varphi_0, \varphi_1$ and φ_2 be odd formal variables. For any formal Laurent series $f(x) \in \Lambda_\infty[[x, x^{-1}]]$, we can define

$$(5.1) \quad f(x + \varphi_1 \varphi_2) = f(x) + \varphi_1 \varphi_2 f'(x) \in \Lambda_\infty[[x, x^{-1}]][\varphi_1][\varphi_2].$$

Recall (cf. [FLM]) the *formal δ -function at $x = 1$* given by $\delta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x^n$. As developed in [B3], we have the following δ -function of expressions involving three even formal variables and two odd formal variables

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{x_0}\right) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (x_1 - x_2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2)^n x_0^{-n} \\ &= \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) - \varphi_1 \varphi_2 x_0^{-1} \delta'\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta'(x) = d/dx \delta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} nx^{n-1}$, and we use the conventions that a function of even and odd variables should be expanded about the even variables and any expression in two even variables (such as $(x_1 - x_2)^n$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$) should be expanded in positive powers of the second variable, (in this case x_2).

From [B3], we have the following two δ -function identities

$$(5.2) \quad x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0 + \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{x_1}\right) = x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{x_2}\right)$$

$$(5.3) \quad x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{x_0}\right) - x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1 + \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{-x_0}\right) \\ = x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{x_2}\right).$$

The expressions on both sides of (5.2) and the three terms occurring in (5.3) all correspond to the same formal substitution $x_0 = x_1 - x_2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2$. Letting V be any Λ_∞ -module and for

$$X(x_0, \varphi_0, x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2) \in (\text{End } V)[[x_0, x_0^{-1}, x_1, x_1^{-1}, x_2, x_2^{-1}]][\varphi_1, \varphi_2],$$

a formal substitution corresponding to $x_0 = x_1 - x_2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2$ can be made as long as the resulting expression is well defined, e.g., if

$$X(x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2) \in (\text{End } V)[[x_1, x_1^{-1}]]((x_2))[\varphi_1, \varphi_2],$$

then

$$(5.4) \quad \delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0 + \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{x_1}\right) X(x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2) \\ = \delta\left(\frac{x_2 + x_0 + \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{x_1}\right) X(x_2 + x_0 + \varphi_1 \varphi_2, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2),$$

and (5.4) holds for more general $X(x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2)$ as long as the expression $X(x_2 + x_0 + \varphi_1 \varphi_2, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2)$ is well defined. Any such substitution corresponding to $x_0 = x_1 - x_2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2$ can be thought of as the even part of a superconformal shift of (x_1, φ_1) by (x_2, φ_2) .

5.2. The notion of $N = 1$ NS-VOSA over Λ_* and with odd formal variables.

Definition 5.1. An $N = 1$ NS-VOSA over Λ_* and with odd variables is a $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded (by weight) Λ_∞ -module which is also $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded (by sign)

$$(5.5) \quad V = \coprod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)} = \coprod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}^0 \oplus \coprod_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_{(k)}^1 = V^0 \oplus V^1$$

such that only $\Lambda_* \subseteq \Lambda_\infty$ acts nontrivially,

$$(5.6) \quad \dim V_{(k)} < \infty \quad \text{for } k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z},$$

$$(5.7) \quad V_{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{for } k \text{ sufficiently small},$$

equipped with a linear map $V \otimes V \longrightarrow V[[x, x^{-1}]][\varphi]$, or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} V &\longrightarrow (\text{End } V)[[x, x^{-1}]][\varphi] \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, (x, \varphi)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n x^{-n-1} + \varphi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_{n-\frac{1}{2}} x^{-n-1} \end{aligned}$$

where $v_n \in (\text{End } V)^{\eta(v)}$ and $v_{n-1/2} \in (\text{End } V)^{(\eta(v)+1) \bmod 2}$ for v of homogeneous sign in V , x is an even formal variable, and φ is an odd formal variable, and where $Y(v, (x, \varphi))$ denotes the *vertex operator associated with* v , and equipped also with two distinguished homogeneous vectors $\mathbf{1} \in V_{(0)}^0$ (the *vacuum*) and $\tau \in V_{(3/2)}^1$ (the *Neveu-Schwarz element*). The following conditions are assumed for $u, v \in V$:

$$(5.8) \quad u_n v = 0 \quad \text{for } n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \text{ sufficiently large;}$$

$$(5.9) \quad Y(\mathbf{1}, (x, \varphi)) = 1 \quad (1 \text{ on the right being the identity operator});$$

the *creation property* holds:

$$Y(v, (x, \varphi))\mathbf{1} \in V[[x]][\varphi] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{(x, \varphi) \rightarrow 0} Y(v, (x, \varphi))\mathbf{1} = v;$$

the *Jacobi identity* holds:

$$\begin{aligned} &x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{x_0}\right) Y(u, (x_1, \varphi_1)) Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2)) \\ &\quad - (-1)^{\eta(u)\eta(v)} x_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1 + \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{-x_0}\right) Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2)) Y(u, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \\ &\quad = x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{x_2}\right) Y(Y(u, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2))v, (x_2, \varphi_2)), \end{aligned}$$

for u, v of homogeneous sign in V ; the $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz algebra relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} [L(m), L(n)] &= (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{1}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0}(\text{rank } V), \\ \left[G(m + \frac{1}{2}), L(n)\right] &= (m - \frac{n-1}{2})G(m + n + \frac{1}{2}), \\ \left[G(m + \frac{1}{2}), G(n - \frac{1}{2})\right] &= 2L(m + n) + \frac{1}{3}(m^2 + m)\delta_{m+n,0}(\text{rank } V), \end{aligned}$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$G(n + \frac{1}{2}) = \tau_{n+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad 2L(n) = \tau_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

i.e.,

$$(5.10) \quad Y(\tau, (x, \varphi)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G(n + \frac{1}{2}) x^{-n-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{2}} + 2\varphi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) x^{-n-2},$$

and $\text{rank } V \in \mathbb{C}$;

$$(5.11) \quad L(0)v = kv \quad \text{for } k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad v \in V_{(k)};$$

and the $G(-1/2)$ -*derivative property* holds:

$$(5.12) \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) Y(v, (x, \varphi)) = Y(G(-\frac{1}{2})v, (x, \varphi)).$$

The $N = 1$ NS-VOSA just defined is denoted by $(V, Y(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}, \tau)$, or for simplicity by V .

We have the following consequences of the definition of $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd formal variables. We have

$$L(n)\mathbf{1} = G(n + \frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1} = 0, \quad \text{for } n \geq -1.$$

There exists $\omega = (1/2)G(-1/2)\tau \in V_{(2)}$ such that

$$(5.13) \quad Y(\omega, (x, \varphi)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)x^{-n-2} - \frac{\varphi}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (n+1)G(n - \frac{1}{2})x^{-n-2}.$$

The supercommutator formula is given by

$$(5.14) \quad [Y(u, (x_1, \varphi_1)), Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2))] \\ = \text{Res}_{x_0} x_2^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2}{x_2}\right) Y(Y(u, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2))v, (x_2, \varphi_2))$$

where Res_{x_0} of a power series in x_0 is the coefficient of x_0^{-1} . We have

$$(5.15) \quad x_0^{2L(0)} Y(v, (x, \varphi)) x_0^{-2L(0)} = Y(x_0^{2L(0)} v, (x_0^2 x, x_0 \varphi)),$$

$$(5.16) \quad Y(e^{x_0 L(-1) + \varphi_0 G(-\frac{1}{2})} v, (x, \varphi)) = e^{x_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \varphi_0 (\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial x})} Y(v, (x, \varphi))$$

$$(5.17) \quad = Y(v, (x_0 + x + \varphi_0 \varphi, \varphi_0 + \varphi))$$

and

$$(5.18) \quad e^{x_0 L(-1) + \varphi_0 G(-\frac{1}{2})} Y(v, (x, \varphi)) e^{-x_0 L(-1) - \varphi_0 G(-\frac{1}{2})} = \\ = Y(e^{x_0 L(-1) + \varphi_0 G(-\frac{1}{2}) - 2\varphi_0 \varphi L(-1)} v, (x, \varphi))$$

$$(5.19) \quad = Y(v, (x + x_0 + \varphi \varphi_0, \varphi + \varphi_0)).$$

And finally, we have the property of *skew-supersymmetry*: for u, v of homogeneous sign in V

$$(5.20) \quad e^{xL(-1) + \varphi G(-\frac{1}{2})} Y(v, (-x, -\varphi)) u = (-1)^{\eta(v)\eta(u)} Y(u, (x, \varphi)) v.$$

Let $(V_1, Y_1(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}_1, \tau_1)$ and $(V_2, Y_2(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}_2, \tau_2)$ be two $N = 1$ NS-VOSAs over $\bigwedge_{*1}$ and $\bigwedge_{*2}$, respectively. A *homomorphism of $N = 1$ NS-VOSAs* is a doubly graded $\bigwedge_\infty$ -module homomorphism $\gamma : V_1 \longrightarrow V_2$ such that

$$\gamma(Y_1(u, (x, \varphi))v) = Y_2(\gamma(u), (x, \varphi))\gamma(v) \quad \text{for } u, v \in V_1,$$

$\gamma(\mathbf{1}_1) = \mathbf{1}_2$, and $\gamma(\tau_1) = \tau_2$.

Of course an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA over $\bigwedge_*$ is isomorphic to the same $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with coefficients in any other $\bigwedge_{*'}.$

Remark 5.2. Let $(V, Y(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}, \tau)$ be an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA. In [B3], we also study the notion of $N = 1$ NS-VOSA over a Grassmann algebra without odd formal variables and show that $(V, Y(\cdot, (x, 0)), \mathbf{1}, \tau)$ is such an algebra. Conversely, given an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA without odd formal variables $(V, Y(\cdot, x), \mathbf{1}, \tau)$, we can define $\tilde{Y}(v, (x, \varphi)) = Y(v, x) + \varphi Y(G(-1/2)v, x)$, and then $(V, \tilde{Y}(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}, \tau)$ is an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd formal variables. Using this correspondence, in [B3] we prove that the category of $N = 1$ NS-VOSAs over $\bigwedge_*$ with odd formal variables and with central charge, i.e., rank, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, is isomorphic to the category of $N = 1$ NS-VOSAs over $\bigwedge_{*}$ without odd formal variables and with central charge

$c \in \mathbb{C}$. However, in including the odd formal variables the correspondence with the geometry and the role of the operator $G(-1/2)$ as in the $G(-1/2)$ -derivative property is more explicit.

5.3. Expansions of rational superfunctions. Let $\bigwedge_\infty[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_S$ be the ring of rational functions obtained by inverting (localizing with respect to) the set

$$S = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \mid a_i \in \bigwedge_\infty^0, \text{ not all } (a_i)_B = 0 \right\}.$$

Recall the map $\iota_{i_1 \dots i_n} : \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_S \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}[[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]]$ defined in [FLM] where coefficients of elements in S are restricted to the field $\mathbb{F}$. We extend this map to $\bigwedge_\infty[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]_S[\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_n] = \bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2, \dots, x_n, \varphi_n]_S$ in the obvious way obtaining

$$\iota_{i_1 \dots i_n} : \bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, \dots, x_n, \varphi_n]_S \longrightarrow \bigwedge_\infty[[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]][\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n].$$

Let $\bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2, \dots, x_n, \varphi_n]_{S'}$ be the ring of rational functions obtained by inverting the set

$$S' = \left\{ \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^n (a_i x_i + a_{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j) \mid a_i, a_{ij} \in \bigwedge_\infty^0, \text{ not all } (a_i)_B = 0 \right\}.$$

Since we use the convention that a function of even and odd variables should be expanded about the even variables, we have

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^n (a_i x_i + a_{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j)} = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i} - \frac{\sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^n a_{ij} \varphi_i \varphi_j}{(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i)^2}.$$

Thus $\bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2, \dots, x_n, \varphi_n]_{S'} \subseteq \bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2, \dots, x_n, \varphi_n]_S$, and $\iota_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ is well defined on $\bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2, \dots, x_n, \varphi_n]_{S'}$.

In the case $n = 2$, the map $\iota_{12} : \bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2]_{S'} \longrightarrow \bigwedge_\infty[[x_1, x_2]][\varphi_1, \varphi_2]$ is given by first expanding an element of $\bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2]_{S'}$ as a formal series in $\bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2]_S$ and then expanding each term as a series in $\bigwedge_\infty[[x_1, x_2]][\varphi_1, \varphi_2]$ containing at most finitely many negative powers of x_2 (using binomial expansions for negative powers of linear polynomials involving both x_1 and x_2).

5.4. Duality for vertex operator superalgebras. In Section 4.2, we formulated the notion of $N = 1$ SG-VOSA. In Section 6 we will show that any such object defines an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd formal variables. To show that the alleged $N = 1$ NS-VOSA satisfies the Jacobi identity, we need the notions of associativity and supercommutativity for an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd formal variables as formulated in [B2] and [B3]. Together, these notions of associativity and (super)commutativity are known as “duality”, a term which arose from physics.

Let $(V, Y(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}, \tau)$ be an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA. As in Section 4.1, let V' denote the graded dual space of V , let $\bar{V}$ denote the algebraic completion of V , and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the natural pairing between V' and $\bar{V}$.

Proposition 5.3. ([B3]) **(a) (rationality of products)** For $u, v, w \in V$, with u , and v of homogeneous sign, and $v' \in V'$, the formal series

$$\langle v', Y(u, (x_1, \varphi_1)) Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2)) w \rangle,$$

which involves only finitely many negative powers of x_2 and only finitely many positive powers of x_1 , lies in the image of the map ι_{12} :

$$\langle v', Y(u, (x_1, \varphi_1))Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2))w \rangle = \iota_{12}f(x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2),$$

where the (uniquely determined) element $f \in \bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2]_{S'}$ is of the form

$$f(x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2) = \frac{g(x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2)}{x_1^r x_2^s (x_1 - x_2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2)^t}$$

for some $g \in \bigwedge_\infty[x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2]$ and $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) (supercommutativity) We also have

$$\langle v', Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2))Y(u, (x_1, \varphi_1))w \rangle = (-1)^{\eta(u)\eta(v)} \iota_{21}f(x_1, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2),$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{12}^{-1} \langle v', Y(u, (x_1, \varphi_1))Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2))w \rangle \\ = (-1)^{\eta(u)\eta(v)} \iota_{21}^{-1} \langle v', Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2))Y(u, (x_1, \varphi_1))w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.4. ([B3]) **(a) (rationality of iterates)** For $u, v, w \in V$, and $v' \in V'$, the formal series $\langle v', Y(Y(u, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2))v, (x_2, \varphi_2))w \rangle$, which involves only finitely many negative powers of x_0 and only finitely many positive powers of x_2 , lies in the image of the map ι_{20} :

$$\langle v', Y(Y(u, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2))v, (x_2, \varphi_2))w \rangle = \iota_{20}h(x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2, x_2, \varphi_2),$$

where the (uniquely determined) element $h \in \bigwedge_\infty[x_0, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2]_{S'}$ is of the form

$$h(x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2, x_2, \varphi_2) = \frac{k(x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2, x_2, \varphi_2)}{x_0^r x_2^s (x_0 + x_2 - \varphi_1 \varphi_2)^t}$$

for some $k \in \bigwedge_\infty[x_0, \varphi_1, x_2, \varphi_2]$ and $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(b) The formal series $\langle v', Y(u, (x_0 + x_2 + \varphi_1 \varphi_2, \varphi_1))Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2))w \rangle$, which involves only finitely many negative powers of x_2 and only finitely many positive powers of x_0 , lies in the image of ι_{02} , and in fact

$$\langle v', Y(u, (x_0 + x_2 + \varphi_1 \varphi_2, \varphi_1))Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2))w \rangle = \iota_{02}h(x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2, x_2, \varphi_2).$$

Proposition 5.5. ([B3]) **(associativity)** We have the following equality of rational functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{12}^{-1} \langle v', Y(u, (x_1, \varphi_1))Y(v, (x_2, \varphi_2))w \rangle \\ = (\iota_{20}^{-1} \langle v', Y(Y(u, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2))v, (x_2, \varphi_2))w \rangle) \Big|_{x_0=x_1-x_2-\varphi_1\varphi_2} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.6. ([B3]) In the presence of the other axioms in the definition of $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd variables, the Jacobi identity follows from the rationality of products and iterates and supercommutativity and associativity. In particular, the Jacobi identity may be replaced by these properties.

6. $N = 1$ NS-VOSAs FROM $N = 1$ SG-VOSAs

In this section, given an $N = 1$ supergeometric VOSA, we construct an (algebraic) $N = 1$ NS-VOSA. Since $N = 1$ NS- (resp., SG-) VOSAs are isomorphic if taken over different subalgebras of the underlying Grassmann algebra $\bigwedge_\infty$, we suppress the details of which subalgebras are actually acting non-trivially.

Let (V, ν) be an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA, we define the vacuum $\mathbf{1}_\nu \in \bar{V}$ by

$$\mathbf{1}_\nu = \nu_0(\mathbf{0});$$

an element $\tau_\nu \in \bar{V}$ by

$$\tau_\nu = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \nu_0(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2})),$$

(recall (2.34)); and the vertex operator

$$Y_\nu(v_1, (x, \varphi)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (v_1)_n x^{-n-1} + \varphi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (v_1)_{n-1/2} x^{-n-1}$$

associated with $v_1 \in V$ by

$$(6.1) \quad (v_1)_n v_2 + \theta(v_1)_{n-\frac{1}{2}} v_2 = \text{Res}_z (z^n \nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v' \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2)),$$

where Res_z means taking the residue at the singularity $z = 0$, i.e., taking the coefficient of z^{-1} .

Proposition 6.1. *The elements $\mathbf{1}_\nu$ and τ_ν of $\bar{V}$ are in fact in $V_{(0)}$ and $V_{(\frac{3}{2})}$, respectively. If the rank of (V, ν) is c , then $(V, Y_\nu(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}_\nu, \tau_\nu)$ is an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd formal variables and with rank c .*

Proof. To see that $\mathbf{1}_\nu \in V_{(0)}$, we use the grading and sewing axioms for (V, ν) to note that for all $a \in (\bigwedge_\infty^0)^\times$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v', \mathbf{1}_\nu \rangle &= \langle v', \nu_0(\mathbf{0}) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_0((\mathbf{0}, (a, \mathbf{0}))_1 \infty_0 (\mathbf{0})) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', (\nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (a, \mathbf{0}))_1 *_0 \nu_0(\mathbf{0})) \rangle e^{-\Gamma(a, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})c} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (a, \mathbf{0}))(P(k)(\mathbf{1}_\nu)) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} a^{-2k} \langle v', P(k)(\mathbf{1}_\nu) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathbf{1}_\nu \in V_{(0)}$. Similarly for τ_ν , for all $a \in (\bigwedge_\infty^0)^\times$,

$$\begin{aligned} a^{-3} \langle v', \tau_\nu \rangle &= -\langle v', \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \nu_0(\mathbf{0}, M(a^{-3}\epsilon, \frac{3}{2})) \rangle \\ &= -\langle v', \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \nu_0((\mathbf{0}, (a, \mathbf{0}))_1 \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2}))) \rangle \\ &= -\langle v', \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} (\nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (a, \mathbf{0}))_1 *_0 \nu_0(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2}))) \rangle e^{-\Gamma(a, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2}))c} \\ &= -\sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (a, \mathbf{0}))(P(k)(\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \nu_0(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2}))) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} a^{-2k} \langle v', P(k)(\tau_\nu) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\tau_\nu \in V_{(\frac{3}{2})}$.

The axioms (5.5), (5.6), and (5.7) are obvious. Since for any $v' \in V'$ and $v_1, v_2 \in V$, the superfunction $\langle v', \nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle$ is a canonical

supermeromorphic function in (z, θ) with poles only at 0 and ∞ , there exists formal Laurent series $f_0(x)$ and $f_1(x)$ such that

$$(f_0(x) + \varphi f_1(x))|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} = \langle v', \nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle.$$

From this equality and (6.1), we see that

$$Y_\nu(v_1, (x, \varphi)) \in (\text{End } V)[[x, x^{-1}]][\varphi].$$

By the supermeromorphicity axiom, $\langle v', \nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(u \otimes v) \rangle$ is supermeromorphic in (z, θ) , and there exists $N(u, v) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for any $v' \in V'$ the order of the pole at 0 is less than $N(u, v)$. Thus by (6.1), we must have $u_n v = 0$ for $n \geq N(u, v)$, and $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$.

For any $(z, \theta) \in (\bigwedge_\infty^0)^\times \oplus \bigwedge_\infty^1$, using the sewing and grading axioms,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v', Y_\nu(\mathbf{1}_\nu, (x, \varphi))v \rangle|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', Y_\nu(P(k)(\mathbf{1}_\nu), (x, \varphi))v \rangle|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} \\ &= \langle v', (\nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{1} *_{\mathbf{0}} \nu_0(\mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_1(((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{1} \infty_{\mathbf{0}} (\mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $Y_\nu(\mathbf{1}_\nu, (x, \varphi)) = 1$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v', Y_\nu(v, (x, \varphi))\mathbf{1}_\nu \rangle|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', Y_\nu(v, (x, \varphi))P(k)(\mathbf{1}_\nu) \rangle|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} \\ &= \langle v', (\nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{2} *_{\mathbf{0}} \nu_0(\mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_1(((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{2} \infty_{\mathbf{0}} (\mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_1((A(-z, 1), M(-\theta, \frac{1}{2})), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By the supermeromorphicity axiom, we know that $\langle v', \nu_1(\cdot)(v) \rangle$ is a canonical supermeromorphic function on $SK(2)$. Thus $\langle v', \nu_1((A(-z, 1), M(-\theta, 1/2)), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle$ is a polynomial in z and θ , and by the grading axiom,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{(z, \theta) \rightarrow 0} \langle v', \nu_1((A(-z, 1), M(-\theta, \frac{1}{2})), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle &= \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lim_{(x, \varphi) \rightarrow 0} Y_\nu(v, (x, \varphi))\mathbf{1}_\nu = v$ which proves the creation property.

We next prove the axioms involving the $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz algebra. Using the notation $AM^{(01)} = ((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})) \in SK(1)$, we define operators

$L(n)$ and $G(n - 1/2)$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, from V to $(V')^*$ by

$$(6.2) \quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{-n}^{(0)}} \langle v', \nu_1(AM^{(01)})(v) \rangle \Big|_{AM^{(01)}=e} = \langle v', L(n)v \rangle, \quad n < 0,$$

$$(6.3) \quad -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a^{(1)}} \langle v', \nu_1(AM^{(01)})(v) \rangle \Big|_{AM^{(01)}=e} = \langle v', L(0)v \rangle,$$

$$(6.4) \quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial A_n^{(1)}} \langle v', \nu_1(AM^{(01)})(v) \rangle \Big|_{AM^{(01)}=e} = \langle v', L(n)v \rangle, \quad n > 0,$$

$$(6.5) \quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial M_{-n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}} \langle v', \nu_1(AM^{(01)})(v) \rangle \Big|_{AM^{(01)}=e} = \langle v', G(n + \frac{1}{2})v \rangle, \quad n < 0,$$

$$(6.6) \quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial M_{n-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}} \langle v', \nu_1(AM^{(01)})(v) \rangle \Big|_{AM^{(01)}=e} = \langle v', G(n - \frac{1}{2})v \rangle, \quad n > 0.$$

From the grading axiom, when $v \in V_{(k)}$, for $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v', L(0)v \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a^{(1)}} \langle v', \nu_1(AM^{(01)})(v) \rangle \Big|_{AM^{(01)}=e} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a^{(1)}} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \Big|_{a^{(1)}=1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a^{(1)}} ((a^{(1)})^{-2k} \langle v', v \rangle) \Big|_{a^{(1)}=1} \\ &= k \langle v', v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $v' \in V'$. Thus $L(0)v = kv$ for $v \in V_{(k)}$.

By the sewing axiom

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v', \nu_1(AM^{(01)} \circ_0 BN^{(01)})(v) \rangle \\ = \langle v', (\nu_1(AM^{(01)}) \circ_1 \nu_1(BN^{(01)}))(v) \rangle e^{-\Gamma(a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})c}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this, Proposition 2.12, the bracket operation on $\hat{T}_e SK(1)$ (the subspace of the supermeromorphic tangent space at the identity of $SK(1)$ defined in Section 2.6), the definitions for $L(n)$ and $G(n - 1/2)$ given above, and Proposition 3.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (6.7) \quad \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', L(m)P(k)(L(n)v) \rangle - \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', L(n)P(k)(L(m)v) \rangle \\ = \langle v', (m - n)L(m + n)v \rangle + \langle v', \frac{m^3 - m}{12} c \delta_{m+n,0} v \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (6.8) \quad \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', G(m + \frac{1}{2})P(k)(L(n)v) \rangle - \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', L(n)P(k)(G(m + \frac{1}{2})v) \rangle \\ = \langle v', (m - \frac{n-1}{2})G(m + n + \frac{1}{2})v \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.9) \quad & \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', G(m + \frac{1}{2})P(k)(G(n - \frac{1}{2})v) \rangle - \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', G(n - \frac{1}{2})P(k)(G(m + \frac{1}{2})v) \rangle \\
& = \langle v', 2L(m + n)v \rangle + \langle v', \frac{m^2 + m}{3}c\delta_{m+n,0}v \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Taking $m = 0$, $n \neq 0$ and $v \in V_{(j)}$ in (6.7), we obtain

$$\langle v', L(0)L(n)v \rangle = \langle v', (j - n)L(n)v \rangle.$$

Thus $L(0)L(n)v = (j - n)L(n)v$ which implies that $L(n)$ is an operator mapping $V_{(j)}$ to $V_{(j-n)}$. In particular, $L(n)$ is an operator from V to itself. Taking $n = 0$, and $v \in V_{(j)}$ in (6.8), we obtain

$$\langle v', L(0)G(m + \frac{1}{2})v \rangle = \langle v', (j - (m + \frac{1}{2}))G(m + \frac{1}{2})v \rangle.$$

Thus $L(0)G(m + 1/2)v = (j - (m + 1/2))G(m + 1/2)v$ which implies that $G(m + 1/2)$ is an operator mapping $V_{(j)}$ to $V_{(j-(m+1/2))}$. In particular, $G(m + 1/2)$ is an operator from V to itself. Thus (6.7), (6.8) and (6.9) are equivalent to the $\mathfrak{ns}$ relations.

By the definition of $\mathcal{G}_e(z, \theta)$ (see (2.35)), the definition of τ_ν , and the sewing axiom,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}_e(z, \theta)(\langle v', \nu_1(\cdot)(v) \rangle) \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \langle v', \nu_1(((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))_1 \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2}))(v)) \rangle \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \\
& = \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \langle v', (\nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))_1 *_0 \nu_0(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2}))(v)) \rangle \cdot \\
& \quad e^{-\Gamma(1, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2}))c} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \\
& = (-1)^{\eta(v')} \langle v', (\nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))_1 *_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \nu_0(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2})) \Big|_{\epsilon=0})(v) \rangle \\
& = -(-1)^{\eta(v')} \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', Y_\nu(P(k)(\tau_\nu), (x, \varphi))v \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} \\
& = -(-1)^{\eta(v')} \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', Y_\nu(\tau_\nu, (x, \varphi))v \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.11

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{G}_e(z, \theta)(\langle v', \nu_1(\cdot)(v) \rangle) \\
&= \left(\sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} z^{-(2k-1)j-2+k} \frac{\partial}{\partial M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(k)}} \Big|_e \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\theta \left(z^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a_0^{(1)}} \Big|_e + \sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} z^{-(2k-1)j-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial A_j^{(k)}} \Big|_e \right) \right) (\langle v', \nu_1(\cdot)(v) \rangle) \\
&= -(-1)^{\eta(v')} \sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \langle v', z^{-(2k-1)j-2+k} G((2k-1)(j-\frac{1}{2}))v \rangle \\
&\quad + 2\theta \langle v', z^{-2} L(0)v \rangle + 2\theta \sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \langle v', z^{-(2k-1)j-2} L((2k-1)j)v \rangle \\
&= -(-1)^{\eta(v')} \langle v', \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G(n+\frac{1}{2})x^{-n-2} + 2\varphi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)x^{-n-2} \right) v \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $Y_\nu(\tau_\nu, (x, \varphi)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} G(n+1/2)x^{-n-1/2-3/2} + 2\varphi \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)x^{-n-2}$.

By Proposition 2.10 and the sewing axiom,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v', Y_\nu(v_1, (x, \varphi))v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z_0+z+\theta_0\theta, \theta+\theta_0)} \\
&= \langle v', \nu_2((z_0+z+\theta_0\theta, \theta+\theta_0); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle \\
(6.10) \quad &= \langle v', (\nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{\Gamma}_1 * \mathbf{0} \nu_1((A(-z_0, 1), M(-\theta_0, \frac{1}{2})), (1, \mathbf{0}))) \\
&\quad (v_1 \otimes v_2) \cdot e^{-\Gamma(1, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, A(-z_0, 1), M(-\theta_0, \frac{1}{2}))^c} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By taking $\partial/\partial\theta_0 + \theta_0\partial/\partial z_0$ of (6.10) and setting $(z_0, \theta_0) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_0} + \theta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} \right) \langle v', Y_\nu(v_1, (x, \varphi))v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z_0+z+\theta_0\theta, \theta+\theta_0)} \right) \Big|_{(z_0, \theta_0)=0} \\
&= (-1)^{\eta(v')} \langle v', (\nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{\Gamma}_1 * \mathbf{0} \\
&\quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_0} + \theta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} \right) \nu_1((A(-z_0, 1), M(-\theta_0, \frac{1}{2})), (1, \mathbf{0})) \Big|_{(z_0, \theta_0)=0} \rangle (v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle \\
&= (-1)^{\eta(v')} \langle v', (\nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{\Gamma}_1 * \mathbf{0} \\
&\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_0} \nu_1((\mathbf{0}, M(-\theta_0, \frac{1}{2})), (1, \mathbf{0})) \Big|_{\theta_0=0} \rangle (v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since for any $f(x) + \varphi g(x) \in \bigwedge_\infty[[x, x^{-1}]][\varphi]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) (f(x) + \varphi g(x)) \Big|_{(x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)} \\
&= \theta f'(z) + g(z) \\
&= (\theta f'(z_0 + z) + g(z_0 + z))|_{z_0=0} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} (f(z_0 + z) + \theta_0 \theta f'(z_0 + z) + (\theta + \theta_0)g(z_0 + z)) \Big|_{(z_0, \theta_0)=0} \\
&= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} + \theta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) (f(z_0 + z + \theta_0 \theta) + (\theta + \theta_0)g(z_0 + z + \theta_0 \theta)) \Big|_{(z_0, \theta_0)=0},
\end{aligned}$$

by the definition of $G(-1/2)$ given by (6.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v', \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) Y_\nu(v_1, (x, \varphi)) v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)} \\
&= (-1)^{\eta(v')} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \langle v', Y_\nu(v_1, (x, \varphi)) v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)} \\
&= (-1)^{\eta(v')} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} + \theta_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0} \right) \left(\langle v', Y_\nu(v_1, (x, \varphi)) v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi) = (z_0 + z + \theta_0 \theta, \theta + \theta_0)} \right) \Big|_{(z_0, \theta_0)=0} \\
&= \langle v', (\nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{1} * \mathbf{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_0} \nu_1((\mathbf{0}, M(-\theta_0, \frac{1}{2})), (1, \mathbf{0})) \Big|_{\theta_0=0}) (v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', Y_\nu(P(k)(G(-\frac{1}{2})v_1), (x, \varphi)) v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)} \\
&= \langle v', Y_\nu(G(-\frac{1}{2})v_1, (x, \varphi)) v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)},
\end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} + \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) Y_\nu(v_1, (x, \varphi)) = Y_\nu(G(-\frac{1}{2})v_1, (x, \varphi)).$$

Finally, we prove the Jacobi identity by proving duality. Using the sewing axiom and Proposition 2.8 and assuming that $|(z_1)_B| > |(z_2)_B| > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v', Y_\nu(v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) Y_\nu(v_2, (x_2, \varphi_2)) v \rangle \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)} \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', Y_\nu(v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) P(k)(Y_\nu(v_2, (x_2, \varphi_2)) v) \rangle \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)} \\
&= \langle v', (\nu_2((z_1, \theta_1); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{2} * \mathbf{0} \nu_2((z_2, \theta_2); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', \nu_3(((z_1, \theta_1); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{2} \infty_0 ((z_2, \theta_2); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', \nu_3((z_1, \theta_1), (z_2, \theta_2); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, when $0 < |(z_1)_B| < |(z_2)_B|$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v', Y_\nu(v_2, (x_2, \varphi_2)) Y_\nu(v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) v \rangle \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)} \\
&= \langle v', \nu_3((z_2, \theta_2), (z_1, \theta_1); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) (v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By the permutation axiom,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle v', \nu_3((z_1, \theta_1), (z_2, \theta_2); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v) \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{\eta(v_1)\eta(v_2)} \langle v', \nu_3((z_2, \theta_2), (z_1, \theta_1); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v_2 \otimes v_1 \otimes v) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for any $(z_1, \theta_1), (z_2, \theta_2) \in \bigwedge_\infty$ satisfying $(z_1)_B \neq (z_2)_B$, and $(z_1)_B, (z_2)_B \neq 0$. By the supermeromorphicity axiom, both the right-hand side and the left-hand side of the above equality are rational superfunctions of the form

$$\frac{g((z_1, \theta_1), (z_2, \theta_2))}{z_1^{s_1} z_2^{s_2} (z_1 - z_2 - \theta_1 \theta_2)^{s_{12}}}$$

where $g((z_1, \theta_1), (z_2, \theta_2)) \in \bigwedge_\infty[z_1, \theta_1, z_2, \theta_2]$ and $s_1, s_2, s_{12} \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we have proved the rationality and supercommutativity.

To prove associativity, we use the sewing axiom and Proposition 2.8 to see that for $|(z_1)_B| > |(z_2)_B| > |(z_1)_B - (z_2)_B| > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle v', Y_\nu(Y_\nu(v_1, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2))v_2, (x_2, \varphi_2))v_3 \rangle \Big|_{\substack{(x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) = (z_1 - z_2 - \theta_1 \theta_2, \theta_1 - \theta_2), \\ (x_2, \varphi_2) = (z_2, \theta_2)}} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \langle v', Y_\nu(P(k)(Y_\nu(v_1, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2))v_2), \\ & \quad (x_2, \varphi_2))v_3 \rangle \Big|_{\substack{(x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi_2) = (z_1 - z_2 - \theta_1 \theta_2, \theta_1 - \theta_2), \\ (x_2, \varphi_2) = (z_2, \theta_2)}} \\ &= \langle v', (\nu_2((z_2, \theta_2); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot \nu_2((z_1 - z_2 - \theta_1 \theta_2, \theta_1 - \theta_2); \\ & \quad \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_3(((z_2, \theta_2); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot ((z_1 - z_2 - \theta_1 \theta_2, \theta_1 - \theta_2); \\ & \quad \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_3((z_1, \theta_1), (z_2, \theta_2); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes v_3) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', Y_\nu(v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1))Y_\nu(v_2, (x_2, \varphi_2))v_3 \rangle|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

From the rationality, supercommutativity, associativity and Proposition 5.6, we obtain the Jacobi identity.

This finishes the proof that $(V, Y_\nu, \mathbf{1}_\nu, \tau_\nu)$ is an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA. $\square$

7. $N = 1$ SG-VOSAS FROM $N = 1$ NS-VOSAS

In this section, given an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA, we construct an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA, assuming that Conjecture 3.5 is true. Let $(V, Y(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}, \tau)$, be an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd formal variables and rank $c \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a sequence of maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_n^Y : SK(n) &\rightarrow SF_V(n) \\ Q &\mapsto \nu_n^Y(Q) \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v', \nu_n^Y \left((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots \right. \\ \left. \dots (a^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)}) \right) (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.1) \quad &= \iota_{1\dots n-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \cdots \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{n-1}, (x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})) \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_n \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)}
\end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and

$$\langle v', \nu_0^Y(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}) \rangle = \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \mathbf{1} \rangle$$

where $\iota_{1\dots n-1}^{-1}$ is defined in Section 5.3.

To prove that (V, ν^Y) is an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA, and in particular, that it satisfies the sewing axiom, we will need the following proposition.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $l + m - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and let $Q_1 \in SK(l)$, $Q_2 \in SK(m)$, $Q_3 \in SK(n)$, and $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $1 \leq i \leq l$, and $1 \leq j \leq l + m - 1$. If the sewings $(Q_1 \circ_{i\infty 0} Q_2) \circ_{j\infty 0} Q_3$ exist, then for any $v' \in V'$, $v_1, \dots, v_{l+m+n-2} \in V$, the series*

$$(7.2) \quad \langle v', (\nu_l^Y(Q_1) \circ_i \nu_m^Y(Q_2))_{t_1^{1/2}} \circ_j \nu_n^Y(Q_3))_{t_2^{1/2}} (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{l+m+n-2}) \rangle$$

is doubly absolutely convergent at $t_1^{1/2} = t_2^{1/2} = 1$.

Similarly, for $1 \leq i \leq l$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, if the sewings $Q_1 \circ_{i\infty 0} (Q_2 \circ_{j\infty 0} Q_3)$ exist, then for any $v' \in V'$, $v_1, \dots, v_{l+m+n-2} \in V$, the series

$$(7.3) \quad \langle v', (\nu_l^Y(Q_1) \circ_i (\nu_m^Y(Q_2) \circ_j \nu_n^Y(Q_3)))_{t_1^{1/2}} \circ_{t_2^{1/2}} (v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{l+m+n-2}) \rangle$$

is doubly absolutely convergent at $t_1^{1/2} = t_2^{1/2} = 1$.

Proof. We prove the first statement. The second statement is proved similarly. Let $t_1^{1/2}, t_2^{1/2} \in \mathbb{C}^\times$. Let $Q_2(t_1^{1/2})$ and $Q_3(t_2^{1/2})$ be the elements of $SK(m)$ and $SK(n)$, respectively, obtained from Q_2 and Q_3 , respectively, by multiplying the local coordinate maps at the i -th puncture of Q_2 and the j -th puncture of Q_3 by t_1 and t_2 , respectively, in the even coordinate, and by $t_1^{1/2}$ and $t_2^{1/2}$, respectively, in the odd coordinate. Then there exist two neighborhoods Δ_1 and Δ_2 of $1 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for any $(t_1^{1/2}, t_2^{1/2}) \in \Delta_1 \times \Delta_2$, the sewings $(Q_1 \circ_{i\infty 0} Q_2(t_1^{1/2})) \circ_{j\infty 0} Q_3(t_2^{1/2})$ exist.

This gives a two-parameter family of superspheres

$$\{(Q_1 \circ_{i\infty 0} Q_2(t_1^{1/2})) \circ_{j\infty 0} Q_3(t_2^{1/2}) \mid (t_1^{1/2}, t_2^{1/2}) \in \Delta_1 \times \Delta_2\}.$$

Then as in the proof of Proposition 4.19 in [B4], we can take the fiber bundle structure of these superspheres and define global sections to obtain a complex analytic family of compact complex manifolds. Then using the definition of ν^Y and the contraction $*$, the proof that (7.2) is doubly absolutely convergent at $t_1^{1/2} = t_2^{1/2} = 1$, is completely analogous to the proof of the convergence of the series $\Psi_j(t^{1/2})$ in Proposition 4.19 in [B3], and similarly for (7.3). $\square$

Proposition 7.2. *The pair (V, ν^Y) is an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA.*

Proof. We must check that (V, ν^Y) satisfies the five axioms in the definition of an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA.

(1) Positive energy axiom: This is obvious.

(2) Grading axiom: For any $v' \in V'$, $v \in V_{(k)}$, for $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and $a \in (\bigwedge_{\infty}^0)^{\times}$,

$$\langle v', \nu_1^Y(\mathbf{0}, (a, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle = \langle v', a^{-2L(0)}v \rangle = a^{-2k} \langle v', v \rangle.$$

(3) Supermeromorphicity axiom: The fact that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $v' \in V'$, $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$, and $Q \in SK(n)$, the function $Q \mapsto \langle v', \nu_n^Y(Q)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle$ is a canonical supermeromorphic function follows from the rationality of $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \tau)$ and the fact that V is a positive energy module for $\mathfrak{ns}$. To prove the rest of the supermeromorphicity axiom, without loss of generality, we only discuss those Q of the form $((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), \dots, (1, \mathbf{0}))$.

Take $N(v_i, v_j) \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $(v_i)_k v_j = 0$ for any $k \geq N(v_i, v_j)$. If $i < j$, then by supercommutativity and associativity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle v', \nu_1^Y(Q)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle \\ &= \iota_{1 \dots n-1}^{-1} (\langle v', Y(v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots Y(v_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1}))v_n \rangle \Big|_{(x_l, \varphi_l) = (z_l, \varphi_l)} \\ &= (-1)^{\sum_{m=1}^{j-i-1} \eta(v_{j-m})\eta(v_j)} \iota_{1 \dots (i-1)ij(i+1) \dots (j-1)(j+1) \dots n-1}^{-1} (\langle v', Y(v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots \\ & \quad Y(v_{i-1}, (x_{i-1}, \varphi_{i-1}))Y(v_i, (x_i, \varphi_i))Y(v_j, (x_j, \varphi_j))Y(v_{i+1}, (x_{i+1}, \varphi_{i+1})) \dots \\ & \quad Y(v_{j-1}, (x_{j-1}, \varphi_{j-1}))Y(v_{j+1}, (x_{j+1}, \varphi_{j+1})) \dots \\ & \quad Y(v_{n-1}, (x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1}))v_n \rangle) \Big|_{(x_l, \varphi_l) = (z_l, \theta_l)} \\ &= (-1)^{\sum_{m=1}^{j-i-1} \eta(v_{j-m})\eta(v_j)} \iota_{1 \dots (i-1)j(i+1) \dots (j-1)(j+1) \dots (n-1)0}^{-1} (\langle v', Y(v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots \\ & \quad Y(v_{i-1}, (x_{i-1}, \varphi_{i-1}))Y(Y(v_i, (x_0, \varphi_i - \varphi_j))v_j, (x_j, \varphi_j))Y(v_{i+1}, (x_{i+1}, \varphi_{i+1})) \\ & \quad \dots Y(v_{j-1}, (x_{j-1}, \varphi_{j-1}))Y(v_{j+1}, (x_{j+1}, \varphi_{j+1})) \dots \\ & \quad Y(v_{n-1}, (x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1}))v_n \rangle) \Big|_{\substack{(x_l, \varphi_l) = (z_l, \varphi_l), \text{ for } l \neq i, \\ x_0 = z_i - z_j - \varphi_i \varphi_j.}} \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $N(v_i, v_j)$, we see that the order of the pole $(z_i, \theta_i) = (z_j, \theta_j)$ of $\langle v', \nu_n^Y(Q)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle$ is less than $N(v_i, v_j)$. The result for $i > j$ is proved similarly.

(4) Permutation axiom: If $\sigma \in S_{n-1} \subset S_n$ is a permutation on the first $n-1$ letters, then from supercommutativity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle v', \nu_n^Y(\sigma \cdot Q)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_n^Y \left(\sigma \cdot ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, \right. \\ & \quad \left. (a^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)})) \right) (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_n^Y ((z_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \theta_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}), \dots, (z_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)}, \theta_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), \\ & \quad (a^{(\sigma^{-1}(1))}, A^{(\sigma^{-1}(1))}, M^{(\sigma^{-1}(1))}), \dots, (a^{(\sigma^{-1}(n-1))}, A^{(\sigma^{-1}(n-1))}, M^{(\sigma^{-1}(n-1))}), \\ & \quad (a^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)})) (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \iota_{\sigma^{-1}(1) \dots \sigma^{-1}(n-1)}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(\sigma^{-1}(1))} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(\sigma^{-1}(1))} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(\sigma^{-1}(1))})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, \\
&\quad (x_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \varphi_{\sigma^{-1}(1)})) \dots Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(\sigma^{-1}(n-1))} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(\sigma^{-1}(n-1))} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot \\
&\quad (a^{(\sigma^{-1}(n-1))})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{n-1}, (x_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)}, \varphi_{\sigma^{-1}(n-1)})) \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_n \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)} \\
\\
&= (-1)^{\eta(\sigma)} \iota_{1 \dots n-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{\sigma(1)}, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{\sigma(n-1)}, \\
&\quad (x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})) e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot \\
&\quad (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_n \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)} \\
\\
&= (-1)^{\eta(\sigma)} \langle v', \nu_n^Y((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, \\
&\quad (a^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)}))(v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\sigma(n-1)} \otimes v_n) \rangle \\
\\
&= \langle v', \nu_n^Y((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, \\
&\quad (a^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)}))((v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \cdot \sigma) \rangle \\
\\
&= \langle v', \sigma \cdot (\nu_n^Y(Q))(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the permutation axiom for $\sigma \in S_{n-1} \subset S_n$. For the transposition switching n and $n-1$, i.e., for $(n \ n-1) \in S_n$, using skew-supersymmetry (5.20),

$$Y(u, (x, \varphi))v = (-1)^{\eta(u)\eta(v)} e^{xL(-1) + \varphi G(-\frac{1}{2})} Y(v, (-x, -\varphi))u,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle v', (n \ n-1) \cdot (\nu_n^Y(Q))(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle \\
&= (-1)^{\eta(v_n)\eta(v_{n-1})} \langle v', \nu_n^Y(Q)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{n-2} \otimes v_n \otimes v_{n-1}) \rangle \\
\\
&= (-1)^{\eta(v_n)\eta(v_{n-1})} \langle v', \nu_n^Y \left(((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), \right. \\
&\quad \left. (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)})) \right) (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{n-2} \otimes v_n \otimes v_{n-1}) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^{\eta(v_n)\eta(v_{n-1})} \iota_{1\dots n-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \cdots \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_n, (x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})) \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{n-1} \rangle \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)} \\
(7.4) \quad &= \iota_{1\dots n-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \cdots \\
&\quad e^{x_{n-1}L(-1) + \varphi_{n-1}G(-\frac{1}{2})} Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot \\
&\quad v_{n-1}, (-x_{n-1}, -\varphi_{n-1})) \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot \\
&\quad (a^{(n-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_n \rangle \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using equations (5.18) and (5.19), the right-hand side of (7.4) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iota_{1\dots n-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', e^{x_{n-1}L(-1) + \varphi_{n-1}G(-\frac{1}{2})} \cdot \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, s_{(x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})}(x_1, \varphi_1)) \cdot \\
&\quad \dots Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-2)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-2)})^{-2L(0)} v_{n-2}, \\
&\quad s_{(x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})}(x_{n-2}, \varphi_{n-2})) \cdot \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{n-1}, (-x_{n-1}, -\varphi_{n-1})) \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_n \rangle \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)} \\
(7.5) \quad &= \iota_{1\dots n-1}^{-1} \langle e^{x_{n-1}L'(1) + \varphi_{n-1}G'(\frac{1}{2})} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, s_{(x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})}(x_1, \varphi_1)) \cdot \\
&\quad \dots Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-2)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-2)})^{-2L(0)} v_{n-2}, \\
&\quad s_{(x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})}(x_{n-2}, \varphi_{n-2})) \cdot \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{n-1}, (-x_{n-1}, -\varphi_{n-1})) \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_n \rangle \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (2.12) and the definition of ν^Y , the right-hand side of (7.5) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iota_{1 \dots n-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\tilde{A}_j^{(0)} L'(j) + \tilde{M}_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \\
& (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, s_{(x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})}(x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-2)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \\
& \cdot (a^{(n-2)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{n-2}, s_{(x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})}(x_{n-2}, \varphi_{n-2})) \cdot \\
& Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{n-1}, (-x_{n-1}, -\varphi_{n-1})) \cdot \\
& e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_n \rangle \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)} \\
& = \langle v', \nu_n^Y(s_{(z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1})}(z_1, \theta_1), s_{(z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1})}(z_2, \theta_2), \dots, s_{(z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1})}(z_{n-2}, \theta_{n-2}), \\
& s_{(z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1})}(0); (\tilde{A}^{(0)}, \tilde{M}^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a^{(n-2)}, A^{(n-2)}, M^{(n-2)}), \\
& (a^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)}), (a^{(n-1)}, A^{(n-1)}, M^{(n-1)})) (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle \\
& = \langle v', \nu_n^Y \left((n \ n-1) \cdot ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \right. \\
& \left. \dots, (a^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)})) \right) (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$(7.6) = \langle v', \nu_n^Y((n \ n-1) \cdot Q)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle.$$

Combining (7.4), (7.5), and (7.6), we see that the permutation axiom is true for the transposition $(n \ n-1) \in S_n$. Since S_n is generated by S_{n-1} and $(n \ n-1)$, the permutation axiom holds for arbitrary $\sigma \in S_n$.

(5) Sewing axiom: Suppose $Q_1 \in SK(m)$ and $Q_2 \in SK(n)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \\
& \dots, (a^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})), \\
Q_2 &= ((z'_1, \theta'_1), \dots, (z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1}); (B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}), \\
& \dots, (b^{(n)}, B^{(n)}, N^{(n)}))
\end{aligned}$$

and the i -th tube of Q_1 , for some $1 \leq i \leq m$, can be sewn with the 0-th tube of Q_2 . We show by induction on m and n that the sewing axiom holds for Q_1 and Q_2 . The proof is in eight steps:

- (a) The case $m = 1, n = 0, 1$.
- (b) - (f) Several special cases needed for the induction.
- (g) Induction on n .
- (h) Induction on m .

We start with step (a): We prove the case $m = 1, n = 0, 1$ by considering

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= ((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})) \in SK(1) \\
Q_2 &= ((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})) \in SK(1)
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q_1 \circ_0 Q_2$ exists. Using the bases (4.2) and (4.3), we have

$$\langle v', (\nu_1^Y(Q_1) \circ_0 \nu_1^Y(Q_2))_{t^{1/2}}(v) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \cdot \\
&\quad \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(0)} L'(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v \rangle t^k \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \cdot \\
&\quad \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(0)} L'(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot t^{L'(0)} (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \cdot \\
&\quad \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, t^{L(0)} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \rangle \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v \rangle \\
(7.7) \quad &= \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot \\
&\quad (t^{\frac{1}{2}} a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \rangle \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Psi_j(t^{1/2}) = \Psi_j(t^{-1/2}a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, and let $\Gamma(t^{1/2}) = \Gamma(t^{-1/2}a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})$. From Corollary 3.4, equation (7.7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Psi_{-j}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) L(-j) + \Psi_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \\
&\quad e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Psi_j(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) L(j) + \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} e^{2\Psi_0(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) L(0)} (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} e^{\Gamma(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) c} \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Psi_{-j}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})L'(j) + \Psi_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)}L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \rangle_{v'}, \\
&\quad e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Psi_j(t^{\frac{1}{2}})L(j) + \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} e^{2\Psi_0(t^{\frac{1}{2}})L(0)} (t^{-\frac{1}{2}}a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)}. \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)}L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v \rangle e^{\Gamma(t^{\frac{1}{2}})c}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\langle v', (\nu_1^Y(Q_1) \cdot \nu_1^Y(Q_2))_{t^{1/2}}(v) \rangle e^{-\Gamma(t^{\frac{1}{2}})c}$$

(7.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \langle e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Psi_{-j}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})L'(j) + \Psi_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)}L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \rangle_{v'}, \\
&\quad e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Psi_j(t^{\frac{1}{2}})L(j) + \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} e^{2\Psi_0(t^{\frac{1}{2}})L(0)} (t^{-\frac{1}{2}}a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)}. \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)}L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Since V is a positive energy representation of $\mathfrak{ns}$, the right-hand side of (7.8) is a polynomial in $\Psi_j(t^{1/2})$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, $j \neq 0$, and $e^{\pm 2\Psi_0(t^{1/2})}$ with coefficients in $\Lambda_\infty[t^{1/2}, t^{-1/2}]$. By Theorem 2.3, the series $\Psi_j(t^{1/2})$ and $e^{\pm 2\tilde{\Psi}_0(t^{1/2})}$ converge to $\tilde{\Psi}_j(a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})$ and $e^{\pm 2\tilde{\Psi}_0(a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})}$, respectively, at $t^{1/2} = 1$. Let $\Psi_j = \Psi_j(a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})$ for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. From equations (2.22) and (2.23), Proposition 3.21 of [B4], and Theorem 2.3, the local coordinate at ∞ of the canonical supersphere with $1+1$ tubes representing $Q_1 \cdot 1_{\infty} Q_2$, in terms of the superderivation representation of $\mathfrak{ns}$, (2.1) and (2.2), is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Psi_{-j}L_{-j}(w, \rho) + \Psi_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho) \right)\right) \cdot \\
&\quad \exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)}L_{-j}(w, \rho) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho) \right)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{w}, \frac{i\rho}{w}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and the local coordinate at zero is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Psi_jL_j(w, \rho) + \Psi_{j-\frac{1}{2}}G_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho) \right)\right) \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-L_0(w, \rho)} \cdot e^{\Psi_0L_0(w, \rho)}. \\
&\quad \exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)}L_j(w, \rho) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}G_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho) \right)\right) \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-L_0(w, \rho)} \cdot (w, \rho).
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.17 of [B4] and by Proposition 2.1, there exist series $(C^{(0)}, O^{(0)})$, $(C^{(1)}, O^{(1)}) \in \Lambda_\infty^\infty$ and $c^{(1)} \in (\Lambda_\infty^0)^\times$ such that these local coordinates can be written as

$$\exp\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(C_j^{(0)}L_{-j}(w, \rho) + O_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho) \right)\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{w}, \frac{i\rho}{w}\right)$$

and

$$\exp\left(-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(C_j^{(1)}L_j(w, \rho) + O_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}G_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(w, \rho) \right)\right) \cdot (c^{(1)})^{-L_0(w, \rho)} \cdot (w, \rho),$$

respectively. Since V is a positive-energy representation of $\mathfrak{ns}$ and by Proposition 3.5, when $t^{1/2} = 1$ the series $\Gamma(t^{-1/2}a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})$ converges to $\Gamma(a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle v', (\nu_1^Y(Q_1) \cdot_1 \nu_2^Y(Q_1))(v) \rangle e^{-\Gamma(a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})c} \\ &= \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(C_j^{(0)} L'(j) + O_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\ & \quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(C_j^{(1)} L(j) + O_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (c^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} v \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_1^Y(Q_1 \cdot_1 \infty_0 Q_2)(v) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

which proves the sewing axiom for $n = 1$, $m = 1$. The case $m = 1$, $n = 0$ is then given by letting $(b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}) = (1, \mathbf{0})$ and $v = \mathbf{1}$ above.

(b) We prove the sewing axiom for the special case $Q_1 \cdot_2 \infty_0 Q_2$ when

$$Q_1 = ((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \in SK(2)$$

and

$$Q_2 = ((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})) \in SK(1).$$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle v', (\nu_2^Y(Q_1) \cdot_2 \nu_1^Y(Q_2))_{t^{1/2}}(v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, \\ & \quad (x, \varphi)) e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \cdot \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(0)} L'(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, \\ & \quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_2 \rangle t^k \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, \\ & \quad (x, \varphi)) e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \cdot \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(0)} L'(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot t^{L'(0)} (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, \\ & \quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, \\ & \quad (x, \varphi)) e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \cdot \langle t^{L'(0)} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L'(j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, \\ & \quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, \\
&\quad (x, \varphi)) e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \cdot \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot t^{L(0)} \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} \\
(7.9) \quad &= \langle v', Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x, \varphi)) \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot t^{L(0)} \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.10) \quad &= \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L'(j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot v', \\
&\quad e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x, \varphi)) \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot t^{L(0)} \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of (7.10) is a Laurent polynomial in $t^{1/2}$. If we can prove

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} Y(u, (x, \varphi)) \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$(7.11) \quad = Y \left(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(2)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} e^{-2\Theta_0^{(2)} L(0)} u, H_t^{-1} \circ I(x, \varphi) \right)$$

where

$$(7.12) \quad \Theta_j^{(2)} = \Theta_j^{(2)}(\{t^k B_k^{(0)}, t^{k-\frac{1}{2}} N_{k-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_+}, (x, \varphi))$$

is given by (3.8) and

$$(7.13) \quad H_{t^{1/2}}^{-1}(x, \varphi) = \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L_{-j}(x, \varphi) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G_{-j+\frac{1}{2}}(x, \varphi) \right) \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{i\varphi}{x} \right)$$

then when $t^{1/2} = 1$, the right-hand side of (7.10) is equal to

$$\langle v', \nu_2^Y(Q_1 \ 2 \infty_0 \ Q_2)(v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle.$$

Thus in this case, the proof of the sewing axiom is reduced to the proof of (7.11).
Letting

$$H_{t^{1/2}}^{-1} \circ I(x, \varphi) = (\tilde{x}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}), \tilde{\varphi}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})),$$

by (5.17) and Corollary 3.9,

$$\begin{aligned} & Y\left(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(2)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2})\right)} e^{-2\Theta_0^{(2)} L(0)} u, H_{t^{1/2}}^{-1} \circ I(x, \varphi)\right) \\ &= Y\left(e^{(\tilde{x}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) - x)L(-1) + (\tilde{\varphi}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \varphi)G(-\frac{1}{2})} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(2)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2})\right)} \cdot e^{-2\Theta_0^{(2)} L(0)} u, (x, \varphi)\right) \\ &= Y\left(\exp\left(\sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{-j+1}{m+1} x^{-j-m} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} + 2\varphi t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}\right) L(m) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left(t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} + \varphi x^{-1} \frac{(-j-m)}{2} t^j B_j^{(0)}\right) G(m + \frac{1}{2})\right)\right) u, (x, \varphi)\right). \end{aligned}$$

By this equality, the proof of (7.11) is reduced to the proof of the following bracket formula

$$\begin{aligned} (7.14) \quad & \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j + \frac{1}{2}) \right), Y(u, (x, \varphi)) \right] \\ &= Y\left(\sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{-j+1}{m+1} x^{-j-m} \left(\left(t^j B_j^{(0)} + 2\varphi t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} \right) L(m) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left(t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} + \varphi x^{-1} \frac{(-j-m)}{2} t^j B_j^{(0)} \right) G(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right) u, (x, \varphi)\right). \end{aligned}$$

From (5.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [Y(\tau, (x_1, \varphi_1)), Y(u, (x, \varphi))] \\ &= \text{Res}_{x_0} x^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi}{x}\right) Y(Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi)) u, (x, \varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$l_t(x_1) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^j B_j^{(0)} x_1^{-j+1} \quad \text{and} \quad g_t(x_1) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} x_1^{-j+1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} l_t(x_1) Y(\omega, (x_1, \varphi_1)) &= \varphi_1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) \\ \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} g_t(x_1) Y(\tau, (x_1, \varphi_1)) &= \varphi_1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j + \frac{1}{2}), \end{aligned}$$

and by the $G(-1/2)$ -derivative property (5.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\omega, (x_1, \varphi_1)) &= Y\left(\frac{1}{2}G\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)\tau, (x_1, \varphi_1)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1} + \varphi_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)Y(\tau, (x_1, \varphi_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_1 \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G\left(-j + \frac{1}{2}\right) \right), Y(u, (x, \varphi)) \right] \\ &= \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + g_t(x_1) \right) Y(\tau, (x_1, \varphi_1)), Y(u, (x, \varphi)) \right] \\ &= \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + g_t(x_1) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{Res}_{x_0} x^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi}{x}\right) Y(Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi))u, (x, \varphi)) \right) \\ &= Y \left(\varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + g_t(x_1) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. x^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi}{x}\right) Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi))u, (x, \varphi) \right). \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + g_t(x_1) \right) \\ &\quad x^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi}{x}\right) Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi)) \\ &= \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + g_t(x_1) \right) \\ &\quad x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x + x_0 + \varphi_1 \varphi}{x_1}\right) Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi)) \\ &= \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1} x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x + x_0 + \varphi_1 \varphi}{x_1}\right) \right) Y(\tau, (x_0, -\varphi)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi_1} Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g_t(x_1) x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1}\right) Y(\tau, (x_0, -\varphi)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n(x+x_0)^{n-1} \varphi x_1^{-n-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^j B_j^{(0)} x_1^{-j+1} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G(k + \frac{1}{2}) x_0^{-k-2} \right) + \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (x+x_0)^n x_1^{-n-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^j B_j^{(0)} x_1^{-j+1} \right) \\
&\quad \left(2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} L(k) x_0^{-k-2} \right) + \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (x+x_0)^n x_1^{-n-1} \right) \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} x_1^{-j+1} \right) \\
&\quad \left. \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G(k + \frac{1}{2}) x_0^{-k-2} - 2\varphi L(k) x_0^{-k-2} \right) \right) \\
&= \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left((-j+1) \binom{-j}{l} x^{-j-l} x_0^l \frac{\varphi}{2} t^j B_j^{(0)} G(k + \frac{1}{2}) x_0^{-k-2} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \binom{-j+1}{l} x^{-j-l+1} x_0^l t^j B_j^{(0)} L(k) x_0^{-k-2} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \binom{-j+1}{l} x^{-j-l+1} x_0^l t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} \left(G(k + \frac{1}{2}) - 2\varphi L(k) \right) x_0^{-k-2} \right) \right) \\
&= \varphi_1 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\frac{(-j+1)}{2} \binom{-j}{l} x^{-j-l} \varphi t^j B_j^{(0)} G(l - \frac{1}{2}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \binom{-j+1}{l} x^{-j-l+1} t^j B_j^{(0)} L(l-1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \binom{-j+1}{l} x^{-j-l+1} t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} \left(G(l - \frac{1}{2}) - 2\varphi L(l-1) \right) \right) \\
&= \varphi_1 \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\binom{-j+1}{m+1} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(m) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} \left(G(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right) \right) x^{-j-m} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \varphi \binom{-j+1}{m+1} \left(\frac{(-j-m)}{2} x^{-1} t^j B_j^{(0)} G(m + \frac{1}{2}) + 2t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} L(m) \right) x^{-j-m} \right) \\
&= \varphi_1 \sum_{m=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{-j+1}{m+1} x^{-j-m} \left(\left(t^j B_j^{(0)} + 2\varphi t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} \right) L(m) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} + \varphi x^{-1} \frac{(-j-m)}{2} t^j B_j^{(0)} \right) G(m + \frac{1}{2}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of (7.14) thus concluding the proof of the sewing axiom for case (b).

(c) We prove the sewing axiom for the special case $Q_1 \text{ } 2\infty_0 \text{ } Q_2$ when

$$Q_1 = ((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)})) \in SK(2)$$

and

$$Q_2 = ((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})) \in SK(1).$$

Using steps (a) and (b) and Propositions 2.5, 4.1 and 7.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\nu_2^Y(Q_1) \mathbf{\Sigma} \nu_1^Y(Q_2)) e^{-\Gamma(a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})_c} \\
&= (\nu_2^Y(((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} \nu_1^Y(Q_2)) e^{-\Gamma(a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})_c} \\
&= ((\nu_2^Y((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} \nu_1^Y(\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} \nu_1^Y(Q_2)) e^{-\Gamma(a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})_c} \\
&= (\nu_2^Y((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} (\nu_1^Y(\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} \nu_1^Y(Q_2)) e^{-\Gamma(a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})_c} \\
&= \nu_2^Y((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} \nu_1^Y((\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} Q_2) \\
&= \nu_2^Y(((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} ((\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} Q_2)) \\
&= \nu_2^Y(((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} ((\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \mathbf{\Sigma} Q_2)) \\
&= \nu_2^Y(Q_1 \mathbf{\Sigma} Q_2)
\end{aligned}$$

(d) We prove the sewing axiom for the special case $Q_1 \mathbf{\Sigma} Q_2$ when

$$Q_1 = ((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \in SK(2)$$

and

$$Q_2((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})) \in SK(1).$$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v', (\nu_2^Y(Q_1) \mathbf{\Sigma} \nu_1^Y(Q_2))_{t^{1/2}}(v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', Y(e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)}, (x, \varphi))v_2 \rangle \cdot \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(0)} L'(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1 \rangle t^k \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', Y(e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)}, (x, \varphi))v_2 \rangle \cdot \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} t^{L(0)} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} \\
&= \langle v', Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} t^{L(0)} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x, \varphi)) \cdot v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} \\
&= (-1)^{\eta(v_1)\eta(v_2)} \langle v', e^{xL(-1) + \varphi G(-\frac{1}{2})} Y(v_2, (-x, -\varphi)) e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} t^{L(0)} \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1 \rangle \Big|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.15) \quad &= (-1)^{\eta(v_1)\eta(v_2)} \langle e^{xL'(1)+\varphi G'(\frac{1}{2})} v', Y(v_2, (-x, -\varphi)) \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L(-j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j+\frac{1}{2}) \right)} t^{L(0)} \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1 \rangle \Big|_{(x,\varphi)=(z,\theta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (7.9) in case (b), the right-hand side of (7.15) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^{\eta(v_1)\eta(v_2)} (\nu_2^Y((-z, -\theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \\
&\quad {}_2 *_0 \nu_1^Y((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})))_{t^{1/2}} (e^{zL'(1)+\theta G'(\frac{1}{2})} v' \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Theta_j^{(2)}$, for $j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_+$, be defined by (7.12), and let $H_{t^{1/2}}(x, \varphi) = (H_{t^{1/2}}^0(x, \varphi), H_{t^{1/2}}^1(x, \varphi))$ be defined by (7.13). Then by case (b), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle v', (\nu_2^Y(Q_1) {}_1 *_0 \nu_1^Y(Q_2))_{t^{1/2}} (v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle \\
&= (-1)^{\eta(v_1)\eta(v_2)} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L'(j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} e^{-xL'(1)-\varphi G'(\frac{1}{2})} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(2)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} e^{-2\Theta_0^{(2)} L(0)} v_2, H_{t^{1/2}}^{-1} \circ (x, \varphi)) \\
&\quad t^{L(0)} e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} (b_0^{(1)})^{-L(0)} \cdot v_1 \rangle \Big|_{(x,\varphi)=(-z,-\theta)} \\
&= \langle e^{\left(H_{t^{1/2}}^0(x, \varphi) L'(1) + H_{t^{1/2}}^1(x, \varphi) G'(\frac{1}{2}) \right)} e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j B_j^{(0)} L'(j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \\
&\quad e^{-xL'(1)-\varphi G'(\frac{1}{2})} v', Y(t^{L(0)} e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, \\
&\quad -H_{t^{1/2}}^{-1} \circ I(x, \varphi)) e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(2)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} e^{-2\Theta_0^{(2)} L(0)} v_2 \rangle \Big|_{(x,\varphi)=(-z,-\theta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of the above expression converges when $t^{1/2} = 1$ and, by the definition of sewing, is equal to

$$\langle v', \nu_2^Y(Q_1 {}_1 \infty_0 Q_2)(v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle.$$

(e) We prove the sewing axiom for the special case $Q_1 {}_1 \infty_0 Q_2$ when

$$Q_1 = ((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)})) \in SK(2)$$

and

$$Q_2((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})) \in SK(1).$$

Using cases (a), (c) and (d) and Propositions 2.5, 4.1 and 7.1, and letting

$$e^{-\Gamma(a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})c} = e^{-\Gamma c},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\nu_2^Y(Q_1) \cdot_1 \ast_0 \nu_1^Y(Q_2) e^{-\Gamma(a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})c}) \\
&= (\nu_2^Y(((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \cdot_2 \infty_0 \\
&\quad (\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \cdot_1 \ast_0 \nu_1^Y((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}))) e^{-\Gamma c} \\
&= (((\nu_2^Y((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \ast_0 \nu_1^Y(\mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \cdot_2 \ast_0 \\
&\quad \nu_1^Y(\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \cdot_1 \ast_0 \nu_1^Y((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}))) e^{-\Gamma c} \\
&= ((\nu_2^Y((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \ast_0 (\nu_1^Y(\mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \cdot_1 \ast_0 \\
&\quad \nu_1^Y((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})))) \cdot_2 \ast_0 \nu_1^Y(\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) e^{-\Gamma c} \\
&= (\nu_2^Y((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \ast_0 \nu_1^Y((\mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \cdot_1 \infty_0 \\
&\quad ((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})))) \cdot_2 \ast_0 \nu_1^Y(\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \\
&= \nu_2^Y(((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \infty_0 ((\mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \cdot_1 \infty_0 \\
&\quad ((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})))) \cdot_2 \ast_0 \nu_1^Y(\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \\
&= \nu_2^Y((((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \infty_0 ((\mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \cdot_1 \infty_0 \\
&\quad ((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)})))) \cdot_2 \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \\
&= \nu_2^Y((((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \cdot_2 \infty_0 \\
&\quad (\mathbf{0}, (a^{(2)}, A^{(2)}, M^{(2)}))) \cdot_1 \infty_0 ((B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}))) \\
&= \nu_2^Y(Q_1 \cdot_1 \infty_0 Q_2)
\end{aligned}$$

(f) We prove the sewing axiom for the special case $Q_1 \cdot_m \infty_0 Q_2$ when

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 = & ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, \\
& (a^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})) \in SK(m),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q_2 = ((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}), (b^{(2)}, B^{(2)}, N^{(2)})) \in SK(2).$$

In this case,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v', (\nu_m^Y(Q_1) \cdot_m \ast_0 \nu_2^Y(Q_2))_{t^{1/2}} (v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_{m+1}) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} t_{1 \dots m-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(m-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{m-1}, \\
&\quad (x_{m-1}, \varphi_{m-1})) \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(m)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle. \\
&\quad \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_m, (x, \varphi)) \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(2)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(2)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{m+1}) t^k \Big|_{\substack{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i), \\ (x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \iota_{1 \dots m-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(m-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{m-1}, \\
&\quad (x_{m-1}, \varphi_{m-1})) \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(m)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \cdot \\
&\quad \langle t^{L'(0)} (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_m, \\
&\quad (x, \varphi)) \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(2)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(2)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{m+1} \rangle \Big|_{\substack{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i), \\ (x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)}} \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \iota_{1 \dots m-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(m-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{m-1}, \\
&\quad (x_{m-1}, \varphi_{m-1})) \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(m)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \cdot \\
&\quad \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, t^{L(0)} Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_m, \\
&\quad (x, \varphi)) \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(2)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(2)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{m+1} \rangle \Big|_{\substack{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i), \\ (x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)}} \\
(7.16) \quad &= \iota_{1 \dots m}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(m-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{m-1}, \\
&\quad (x_{m-1}, \varphi_{m-1})) \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot \\
&\quad Y(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(1)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_m, (x, \varphi)) \cdot \\
&\quad e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(B_j^{(2)} L(j) + N_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (b^{(2)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{m+1} \rangle \Big|_{\substack{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i), \\ (x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)}} \cdot
\end{aligned}$$

Since V is a positive energy representation for $\mathfrak{ns}$ and by the truncation condition (5.8) for $Y(\cdot, (x, \varphi))$, the right-hand side of (7.16) is a polynomial in $t^{1/2}$ and thus convergent when $t^{1/2} = 1$. If we can prove

$$(7.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{-2L(0)} Y(u, (x, \varphi)) \cdot (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{2L(0)} \\ & e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \\ & = Y \left((t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{-2L(0)} e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(1)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot e^{-2\Theta_0^{(1)} L(0)} u, H_{t^{1/2}}^{-1}(x, \varphi) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{t^{1/2}}^{-1}(x, \varphi) \\ & = (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{2L_0(x, \varphi)} \cdot \exp \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(m)} L_j(x, \varphi) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G_{j-\frac{1}{2}}(x, \varphi) \right) \right) \cdot (x, \varphi) \end{aligned}$$

and the $\Theta_j^{(1)} = \Theta_j^{(1)}(t^{-1/2} a_{\square}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)}, (x, \varphi))$ are defined by (3.5), then since $e^{\Gamma(a^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)}, 0)c} = 1$, when $t^{1/2} = 1$, the right-hand side of (7.16) is equal to

$$\langle v', \nu_{m+1}^Y(Q_1 \ m \infty_0 \ Q_2)(v_1 \otimes \cdots v_{m+1}) \rangle.$$

Thus in this case, the proof of the sewing axiom is reduced to the proof of (7.17).

Let

$$H_{t^{1/2}}^{-1}(x, \varphi) = (\tilde{x}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}), \tilde{\varphi}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})).$$

Then by (5.15), (5.17) and Corollary 3.7, the right-hand side of (7.17) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} (7.18) \quad & (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{-2L(0)} Y \left(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(1)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot e^{-2\Theta_0^{(1)} L(0)} u, \right. \\ & \left. ((t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^2 \tilde{x}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}), (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)}) \tilde{\varphi}(t^{\frac{1}{2}})) \right) (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{2L(0)} \\ & = (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{-2L(0)} Y \left(e^{((t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^2 \tilde{x}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) - x) L(-1) + ((t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)}) \tilde{\varphi}(t^{\frac{1}{2}}) - \varphi) G(-\frac{1}{2})} \cdot \right. \\ & \quad \left. e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\Theta_j^{(2)} L(j) + \Theta_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(2)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot e^{-2\Theta_0^{(2)} L(0)} u, (x, \varphi) \right) (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{2L(0)} \\ & = (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{-2L(0)} Y \left(\exp \left(- \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{j+1}{k+1} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} x^{j-k} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left(\left(A_j^{(m)} + 2 \binom{j-k}{j+1} \right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)} x^{-1} \varphi M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} \right) L(k) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + x^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{j-k}{j+1} \right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} + \varphi \frac{(j-k)}{2} A_j^{(m)} \right) G(k + \frac{1}{2}) \right) \right) u, (x, \varphi) \right) \cdot \\ & \quad (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)})^{2L(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

But since

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} (A_j L(j) + AMj - \frac{1}{2} G(j - \frac{1}{2}))} (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a)^{-2L(0)} \\ = (t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a)^{-2L(0)} e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j a^{-2j} A_j L(j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} a^{-2j+1} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}} G(j - \frac{1}{2}) \right)}, \end{aligned}$$

proving (7.17) is equivalent to proving

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} L(j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (a^{(m)})^{-2j+1} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j - \frac{1}{2}) \right)} Y(u, (x, \varphi)) \\ e^{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} L(j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (a^{(m)})^{-2j+1} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j - \frac{1}{2}) \right)} \\ = Y \left(\exp \left(- \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{j+1}{k+1} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} x^{j-k} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left(A_j^{(m)} + 2 \left(\frac{j-k}{j+1} \right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)} x^{-1} \varphi M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} \right) L(k) \right. \\ \left. \left. + x^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{j-k}{j+1} \right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} + \varphi \frac{(j-k)}{2} A_j^{(m)} \right) G(k + \frac{1}{2}) \right) \right) u, (x, \varphi) \right) \end{aligned}$$

By this equality, the proof of (7.11) is reduced to the proof of the following bracket formula

$$\begin{aligned} (7.19) \quad & \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} L(j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (a^{(m)})^{-2j+1} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j - \frac{1}{2}) \right), Y(u, (x, \varphi)) \right] \\ & = Y \left(\sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \binom{j+1}{k+1} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} x^{j-k} \right. \\ & \quad \left(A_j^{(m)} + 2 \left(\frac{j-k}{j+1} \right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)} x^{-1} \varphi M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} \right) L(k) \\ & \quad \left. + x^{-1} \left(\left(\frac{j-k}{j+1} \right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} + \varphi \frac{(j-k)}{2} A_j^{(m)} \right) G(k + \frac{1}{2}) \right) u, (x, \varphi) \right) \end{aligned}$$

From (5.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [Y(\tau, (x_1, \varphi_1)), Y(u, (x, \varphi))] \\ = \text{Res}_{x_0} x^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi}{x} \right) Y(Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi)) u, (x, \varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} l_t(x_1) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} x_1^{j+1} \\ g_t(x_1) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (a^{(m)})^{-2j+1} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} x_1^j. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} l_t(x_1) Y(\omega, (x_1, \varphi_1)) &= \varphi_1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} L(j) \\ \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} g_t(x_1) Y(\tau, (x_1, \varphi_1)) &= \varphi_1 \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (a^{(m)})^{-2j+1} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j - \frac{1}{2}),\end{aligned}$$

and by the $G(-1/2)$ -derivative property (5.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}Y(\omega, (x_1, \varphi_1)) &= Y\left(\frac{1}{2}G(-\frac{1}{2})\tau, (x_1, \varphi_1)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) Y(\tau, (x_1, \varphi_1)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 \left[\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} L(j) + t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (a^{(m)})^{-2j+1} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} G(j - \frac{1}{2}) \right), Y(u, (x, \varphi)) \right] \\ = \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \left[\left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + g_t(x_1) \right) Y(\tau, (x_1, \varphi_1)), Y(u, (x, \varphi)) \right] \\ = \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + g_t(x_1) \right) \right. \\ \left. \text{Res}_{x_0} x^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi}{x}\right) Y(Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi))u, (x, \varphi)) \right) \\ = Y \left(\varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + g_t(x_1) \right) \right. \\ \left. x^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi}{x}\right) Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi))u, (x, \varphi) \right).\end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + g_t(x_1) \right) \\ x^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0 - \varphi_1 \varphi}{x}\right) Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi)) \\ = \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} + \varphi_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \right) + g_t(x_1) \right) \\ x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x + x_0 + \varphi_1 \varphi}{x_1}\right) Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1 - \varphi)) \\ = \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x + x_0 + \varphi_1 \varphi}{x_1}\right) \right) Y(\tau, (x_0, -\varphi)) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} l_t(x_1) x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1} Y(\tau, (x_0, \varphi_1)) \right. \\ \left. + g_t(x_1) x_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{x + x_0}{x_1}\right) Y(\tau, (x_0, -\varphi)) \right)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_1} \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n(x+x_0)^{n-1} \varphi x_1^{-n-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} x_1^{j+1} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G(k + \frac{1}{2}) x_0^{-k-2} \right) + \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (x+x_0)^n x_1^{-n-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} x_1^{j+1} \right) \\
&\quad \left(2 \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} L(k) x_0^{-k-2} \right) + \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (x+x_0)^n x_1^{-n-1} \right) \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (a^{(m)})^{-2j+1} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} x_1^j \right) \\
&\quad \left. \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G(k + \frac{1}{2}) x_0^{-k-2} - 2\varphi L(k) x_0^{-k-2} \right) \right) \\
&= \varphi_1 \text{Res}_{x_0} \left(\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left((j+1) \binom{j}{l} x^{j-l} x_0^l \frac{\varphi}{2} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} G(k + \frac{1}{2}) x_0^{-k-2} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \binom{j+1}{l} x^{j-l+1} x_0^l t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} L(k) x_0^{-k-2} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \binom{j}{l} x^{j-l} x_0^l t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (a^{(m)})^{-2j+1} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} \left(G(k + \frac{1}{2}) - 2\varphi L(k) \right) x_0^{-k-2} \right) \right) \\
&= \varphi_1 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\frac{(j+1)}{2} \binom{j}{l} x^{j-l} \varphi t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} G(l - \frac{1}{2}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \binom{j+1}{l} x^{j-l+1} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} A_j^{(m)} L(l-1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \binom{j}{l} x^{j-l} t^{j-\frac{1}{2}} (a^{(m)})^{-2j+1} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} \left(G(l - \frac{1}{2}) - 2\varphi L(l-1) \right) \right) \\
&= \varphi_1 \sum_{k=-1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(\binom{j+1}{k+1} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} x^{j-k} \right. \\
&\quad \left(A_j^{(m)} + 2 \binom{j-k}{j+1} x^{-1} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)} \varphi M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} \right) L(k) \\
&\quad \left. + \binom{j}{k+1} t^j (a^{(m)})^{-2j} x^{j-k-1} \left(\frac{(j+1)}{2} \varphi A_j^{(m)} + t^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{(m)} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(m)} \right) G(k + \frac{1}{2}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of (7.14) thus concluding the proof of the sewing axiom for case (b).

(g) Let $n \geq 2$ and fix $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Assume the sewing axiom holds for all $Q_1 \in SK(m)$, $Q_2 \in SK(n-1)$. We show the sewing axiom holds for all $Q_1 \in SK(m)$, $Q_2 \in SK(n)$. Let

$$Q_1 = ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})),$$

$$Q_2 = ((z'_1, \theta'_1), \dots, (z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1}); (B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}), \dots, (b^{(n)}, B^{(n)}, N^{(n)})).$$

Then since $\nu_{m+n-1}^Y(Q_1 \text{ } i \infty_0 Q_2)$ and each term in the series $(\nu_m^Y(Q_1) \text{ } i * _0 \nu_n^Y(Q_2))_{t^{1/2}}$ are analytic in $(z'_1)_B, \dots, (z'_{n-1})_B$, we need only prove the sewing axiom when

$$|(z'_1)_B|, \dots, |(z'_{n-2})_B| < |(z'_{n-1})_B|.$$

In this case $Q_2 = Q'_2 \text{ } n-1 \infty_0 Q''_2$ where

$$Q'_2 = ((z'_1, \theta'_1), \dots, (z'_{n-2}, \theta'_{n-2}); (B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}), \dots, \\ (b^{(n-2)}, B^{(n-2)}, N^{(n-2)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \in SK(n-1)$$

and

$$Q''_2 = ((z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1}); \mathbf{0}, (b^{(n-1)}, B^{(n-1)}, N^{(n-1)}), (b^{(n)}, B^{(n)}, N^{(n)})) \in SK(2).$$

By our inductive assumption

$$(\nu_m^Y(Q_1) \text{ } i * _0 \nu_{n-1}^Y(Q'_2))e^{-\Gamma(a^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})c} = \nu_{m+n-2}^Y(Q_1 \text{ } i \infty_0 Q'_2),$$

and by step (f)

$$\nu_{m+n-2}^Y(Q_1 \text{ } i \infty_0 Q'_2) \text{ } m+n-2 * _0 \nu_2^Y(Q''_2) = \nu_{m+n-1}^Y((Q_1 \text{ } i \infty_0 Q'_2) \text{ } m+n-2 \infty_0 Q''_2).$$

Thus using the associativity of sewing and the $t^{1/2}$ -contraction, Propositions 2.5 and 4.1, and Proposition 7.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nu_m^Y(Q_1) \text{ } i * _0 \nu_n^Y(Q_2))e^{-\Gamma(a^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})c} \\ &= \nu_m^Y(Q_1) \text{ } i * _0 (\nu_{n-1}^Y(Q'_2) \text{ } n-1 * _0 \nu_2^Y(Q''_2))e^{-\Gamma(a^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})c} \\ &= ((\nu_m^Y(Q_1) \text{ } i * _0 \nu_{n-1}^Y(Q'_2)) \text{ } i+n-2 * _0 \nu_2^Y(Q''_2))e^{-\Gamma(a^{(i)}, A^{(i)}, M^{(i)}, B^{(0)}, N^{(0)})c} \\ &= \nu_{m+n-1}^Y((Q_1 \text{ } i \infty_0 Q'_2) \text{ } i+n-2 \infty_0 Q''_2) \\ &= \nu_{m+n-1}^Y(Q_1 \text{ } i \infty_0 (Q'_2 \text{ } n-1 \infty_0 Q''_2)) \\ &= \nu_{m+n-1}^Y(Q_1 \text{ } i \infty_0 Q_2). \end{aligned}$$

(h) Finally, assuming the sewing axiom holds for $Q_1 \in SK(m-1)$ with $m \geq 2$ and $Q_2 \in SK(n)$ for all n , we show that it holds for $Q_1 \in SK(m)$ and $Q_2 \in SK(n)$. Let

$$Q_1 = ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, \\ (a^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})),$$

$$Q_2 = ((z'_1, \theta'_1), \dots, (z'_{n-1}, \theta'_{n-1}); (B^{(0)}, N^{(0)}), (b^{(1)}, B^{(1)}, N^{(1)}), \dots, \\ (b^{(n)}, B^{(n)}, N^{(n)})).$$

Then since $\nu_{m+n-1}^Y(Q_1 \text{ } i \infty_0 Q_2)$ and every term in the series $(\nu_m^Y(Q_1) \text{ } i * _0 \nu_n^Y(Q_2))_{t^{1/2}}$ is analytic in $(z_1)_B, \dots, (z_{m-1})_B$, we need only prove the sewing axiom when

$$|(z_1)_B|, \dots, |(z_{m-2})_B| < |(z_{m-1})_B|.$$

In this case $Q_1 = Q'_1 \text{ } m-1 \infty_0 Q''_1$ where

$$Q'_1 = ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{m-2}, \theta_{m-2}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, \\ (a^{(m-2)}, A^{(m-2)}, M^{(m-2)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \in SK(m-1)$$

and

$$Q''_1 = ((z_{m-1}, \theta_{m-1}); \mathbf{0}, (a^{(m-1)}, A^{(m-1)}, M^{(m-1)}), (a^{(m)}, A^{(m)}, M^{(m)})) \in SK(2).$$

We have three cases:

(i) If $i = m$, then

$$Q_1 \cdot i \infty_0 Q_2 = (Q'_1 \cdot m-1 \infty_0 Q''_1) \cdot m \infty_0 Q_2 = Q'_1 \cdot m-1 \infty_0 (Q''_1 \cdot 2 \infty_0 Q_2).$$

For $m > 2$, the sewing axiom follows by the inductive assumption, associativity of sewing and the $t^{1/2}$ -contraction and Proposition 7.1. For $m = 2$ the sewing axiom follows by special case (c), by (g) (the induction on n), the associativity of sewing and the $t^{1/2}$ -contraction and Proposition 7.1.

(ii) If $i = m - 1$, then

$$Q_1 \cdot i \infty_0 Q_2 = (Q'_1 \cdot m-1 \infty_0 Q''_1) \cdot m-1 \infty_0 Q_2 = Q'_1 \cdot m-1 \infty_0 (Q''_1 \cdot 1 \infty_0 Q_2).$$

For $m > 2$, the sewing axiom follows by the inductive assumption, associativity of sewing and the $t^{1/2}$ -contraction and Proposition 7.1. For $m = 2$ the sewing axiom follows by special case (e), by (g) (the induction on n), the associativity of sewing and the $t^{1/2}$ -contraction and Proposition 7.1.

(iii) If $i \neq m, m - 1$, then

$$Q_1 \cdot i \infty_0 Q_2 = (Q'_1 \cdot m-1 \infty_0 Q''_1) \cdot i \infty_0 Q_2 = (Q'_1 \cdot i \infty_0 Q_2) \cdot m+n-2 \infty_0 Q''_1,$$

and the sewing axiom follows by the inductive assumption, special case (f), the associativity of sewing and the $t^{1/2}$ -contraction and Proposition 7.1. $\square$

8. THE ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN THE CATEGORY OF $N = 1$ SG-VOSAS AND THE CATEGORY OF $N = 1$ NS-VOSAS

In this section, we prove the equivalence of the notions of $N = 1$ NS-VOSA with odd formal variables and $N = 1$ SG-VOSA. By Theorem 5.3 in [B3], this then implies the equivalence of $N = 1$ NS-VOSA without odd formal variables and $N = 1$ SG-VOSAs. Again, since $N = 1$ NS- (resp., SG-) VOSAs are isomorphic if taken over different subalgebras of the underlying Grassmann algebra $\bigwedge_\infty$, we suppress the details of which subalgebras are actually acting non-trivially.

Let $\mathbf{SG}(c)$ be the category of $N = 1$ SG-VOSAs with central charge c . Let $\mathbf{SV}(\varphi, c)$ be the category of $N = 1$ NS-VOSAs with odd formal variables and central charge c , and let $\mathbf{SV}(c)$ be the category of $N = 1$ NS-VOSAs without odd formal variables and with central charge c . Let 1_{SV_φ} and 1_{SG} be the identity functors on $\mathbf{SV}(\varphi, c)$ and $\mathbf{SG}(c)$, respectively. The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 8.1. *Assume that Conjecture 3.5 is true. For any $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the two categories $\mathbf{SV}(\varphi, c)$ and $\mathbf{SG}(c)$ are isomorphic (and hence $\mathbf{SV}(c)$ and $\mathbf{SG}(c)$ are isomorphic). That is there exist two functors $F_{SV} : \mathbf{SV}(\varphi, c) \rightarrow \mathbf{SG}(c)$ and $F_{SG} : \mathbf{SG}(c) \rightarrow \mathbf{SV}(\varphi, c)$ such that $F_{SV} \circ F_{SG} = 1_{SG}$ and $F_{SG} \circ F_{SV} = 1_{SV_\varphi}$.*

Proof. We begin by defining F_{SV} on objects by $F_{SV}(V, Y(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}, \tau) = (V, \nu^Y)$. Proposition 7.2 shows that F_{SV} takes objects in $\mathbf{SV}(\varphi, c)$ to objects in $\mathbf{SG}(c)$.

Let $\gamma : (V_1, Y_1(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}_1, \tau_1) \rightarrow (V_2, Y_2(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}_2, \tau_2)$ be an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA homomorphism. Then for $Q \in SK(n)$ given by

$$Q = ((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)})),$$

and for $\bar{\gamma} : \bar{V}_1 \rightarrow \bar{V}_2$ the unique extension of γ , we have

$$\langle v', \bar{\gamma} \circ \nu_n^{Y_1}(Q)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \iota_{1 \dots n-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad \bar{\gamma}(Y_1(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots \\
&\quad Y_1(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{n-1}, \\
&\quad (x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})) \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot \\
&\quad (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_n \rangle \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)} \\
&= \iota_{1 \dots n-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\
&\quad Y_2(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot \gamma(v_1), (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots \\
&\quad Y_2(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot \gamma(v_{n-1}), \\
&\quad (x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})) \cdot e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot \\
&\quad (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot \gamma(v_n) \rangle \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)} \\
&= \langle v', \nu_n^{Y_2}(Q) \circ \gamma^{\otimes n}(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus defining $F_{SV}(\gamma) = \gamma$, we have that $F_{SV}(\gamma)$ is a homomorphism of $N = 1$ SG-VOSAs, i.e., F_{SV} takes morphisms in $\mathbf{SV}(\varphi, c)$ to morphisms in $\mathbf{SG}(c)$. By definition, $F_{SV}(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 = F_{SV}(\gamma_1) F_{SV}(\gamma_2)$, and $F_{SV}(id_{(V, Y(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}, \tau)}) = id_{(V, \nu^Y)}$. Thus F_{SV} is a functor.

We next define $F_{SG}(V, \nu) = (V, Y_\nu(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}_\nu, \tau_\nu)$. Proposition 6.1 shows that F_{SG} takes objects in $\mathbf{SG}(c)$ to objects in $\mathbf{SV}(\varphi, c)$. Let $\gamma : (V_1, \nu) \rightarrow (V_2, \mu)$ be an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA homomorphism. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v', \gamma(Y_\nu(u, (x, \varphi))v) \rangle|_{(x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)} &= \langle v', \bar{\gamma} \circ \nu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(u \otimes v) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', \mu_2((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))\gamma^{\otimes 2}(u \otimes v) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', Y_\mu(\gamma(u), (x, \varphi))\gamma(v) \rangle|_{(x, \varphi) = (z, \theta)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v', \gamma(\mathbf{1}_\nu) \rangle &= \langle v', \bar{\gamma} \circ \nu_0(\mathbf{0}) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', \mu_0(\mathbf{0}) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', \gamma(\mathbf{1}_\mu) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v', \gamma(\tau_\nu) \rangle &= \langle v', \bar{\gamma} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \nu_0(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2})) \right) \rangle \\
&= -\langle v', \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \bar{\gamma} \circ \nu_0(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2})) \rangle \\
&= -\langle v', \frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \mu_0(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2})) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', \gamma(\tau_\mu) \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $v' \in V'$. Thus defining $F_{SG}(\gamma) = \gamma$, we have that $F_{SG}(\gamma)$ is indeed an $N = 1$ NS-VOSA homomorphism, i.e., F_{SG} takes morphisms in $\mathbf{SG}(c)$ to morphisms in $\mathbf{SV}(\varphi, c)$. By definition, $F_{SG}(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 = F_{SG}(\gamma_1) F_{SG}(\gamma_2)$, and $F_{SG}(id_{(V, \nu)}) = id_{(V, Y_\nu(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}_\nu, \tau_\nu)}$. Thus F_{SG} is a functor.

The fact that $F_{SV} \circ F_{SG} = 1_{SG}$ and $F_{SG} \circ F_{SV} = 1_{SV_\varphi}$ on morphisms is trivial. To show $F_{SV} \circ F_{SG} = 1_{SG}$ on objects, we need to show that given an $N = 1$ SG-VOSA, (V, ν) , then $F_{SV} \circ F_{SG}(V, \nu) = (V, \nu^{Y_\nu}) = (V, \nu)$, i.e.,

$$\langle v', \nu_n((z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_{n-1}, \theta_{n-1}); (A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}), \dots, (a^{(n)}, A^{(n)}, M^{(n)}))(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} (8.1) \quad &= \iota_{1 \dots n-1}^{-1} \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', \\ &Y_\nu(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_1, (x_1, \varphi_1)) \dots \\ &Y_\nu(e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n-1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n-1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n-1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_{n-1}, (x_{n-1}, \varphi_{n-1})) \cdot \\ &e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(n)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(n)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot (a^{(n)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v_n) \Big|_{(x_i, \varphi_i) = (z_i, \theta_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since both (V, ν) and $F_{SV} \circ F_{SG}(V, \nu) = (V, \nu^{Y_\nu})$ are $N = 1$ SG-VOSAs, they both satisfy the sewing axiom. Thus by Proposition 2.6, we need only prove (8.1) for $Q = (\mathbf{0})$, $((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))$, and $((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))$. For $Q = (\mathbf{0})$ and $((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))$, equation (8.1) follows immediately from the definitions of $\mathbf{1}_\nu$ and Y_ν . The equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle v', \nu_1((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (a^{(1)}, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))(v) \rangle \\ &= \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot \\ &\quad (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to the following three equations

$$(8.2) \quad \langle v', \nu_1((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle = \langle e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} v', v \rangle$$

$$(8.3) \quad \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))(v) \rangle = \langle v', e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j-\frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot v \rangle$$

$$(8.4) \quad \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (a^{(1)}, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle = \langle v', (a^{(1)})^{-2L(0)} \cdot v \rangle.$$

The equality (8.4) follows immediately from the grading axiom. By the supermeromorphicity axiom, $\langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))(v) \rangle$ is a polynomial in the $A_j^{(1)}$'s and $M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}$'s for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus $\langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))(v) \rangle$ is well defined for any $(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}) \in \bigwedge_\infty$. But since the series $(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})$ is not necessarily in $\mathcal{H}$, the formal series $\tilde{E}(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})(x, \varphi)$ is not necessarily convergent. In [B4], such superspheres as $(\mathbf{0}, (1, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))$ were called *generalized superspheres with tubes*, and the space of such formal superspheres was denoted $\tilde{SK}(1)$. However, since $\langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v) \rangle$ is linear in v and v' , there exists a linear operator

$R(t)$ from V to $\bar{V}$ such that

$$(8.5) \quad \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))(v)) \rangle = \langle v', R(t)v \rangle.$$

We first show that, in fact, $R(t)$ is an operator from V to itself. Using the sewing axiom and (8.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle v', \nu_1((\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{1} \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v)) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', (\nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{1} *_0 \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v)) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', t_1^{-L(0)} e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, R(t)v \rangle \\ &= \langle v', t_1^{-L(0)} R(t)v \rangle \\ (8.6) \quad &= \langle t_1^{-L'(0)} v', R(t)v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{1} \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \\ &= (\mathbf{0}, (1, \{tt_1^j A_j^{(1)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+})) \mathbf{1} \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle v', \nu_1((\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) \mathbf{1} \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v)) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \nu_1((\mathbf{0}, (1, \{tt_1^j A_j^{(1)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+})) \mathbf{1} \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0}))(v)) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', (\nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, \{tt_1^j A_j^{(1)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+})) \mathbf{1} *_0 \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0}))(v)) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, \{tt_1^j A_j^{(1)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+})) e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \\ & \quad \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, \{tt_1^j A_j^{(1)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+})) e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \\ & \quad \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, t_1^{-L(0)} v \rangle \\ (8.7) \quad &= \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, \{tt_1^j A_j^{(1)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+})) t_1^{-L(0)} v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

When $v' \in V'_{(m)}$ and $v \in V_{(n)}$, combining (8.6) and (8.7), we have

$$(8.8) \quad \langle v', R(t)v \rangle t_1^{-m} = \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, \{tt_1^j A_j^{(1)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+})) v \rangle t_1^{-n}.$$

By the supermeromorphicity axiom, $\langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, \{tt_1^j A_j^{(1)}, tt_1^{j-1/2} M_{j-1/2}^{(1)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+})) v \rangle$ is a power series in $t_1^{1/2}$. Then from (8.8), we conclude that $\langle v', R(t)v \rangle = 0$ when

$m > n$. From the positive energy axiom, it follows that $R(t)$ is an operator from V to itself.

From Proposition 2.7, the sewing axiom and (8.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle v', R(t_1 + t_2)v \rangle &= \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, (t_1 + t_2)(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v) \rangle \\
 &= \langle v', \nu_1 \left((\mathbf{0}, (1, t_1(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \mathbf{1} \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (1, t_2(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \right) (v) \rangle \\
 &= \langle v', \left(\nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t_1(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \mathbf{1} *_0 \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t_2(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)}))) \right) (v) \rangle \\
 &= \langle v', R(t_1)R(t_2)v \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in V$ and $v' \in V'$. Thus

$$(8.9) \quad R(t_1 + t_2) = R(t_1)R(t_2).$$

Since $\langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v) \rangle$ is a polynomial in t , so is $\langle v', R(t)v \rangle$. Hence $R(t) \in (\text{End } V)[[t]]$. This fact and equation (8.9) imply that there exists $X \in \text{End } V$ such that $R(t) = e^{tX}$. Substituting this into equation (8.5), taking the derivative with respect to t and then letting $t = 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v) \rangle \Big|_{t=0} = \langle v', Xv \rangle.$$

But from the definition of $G(j - 1/2)$ and $L(j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v) \rangle \Big|_{t=0} \\
 &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(-A_j^{(1)} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial(tA_j^{(1)})} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v) \rangle \Big|_{t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})=\mathbf{0}} \right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial(tM_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)})} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v) \rangle \Big|_{t(A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})=\mathbf{0}} \right) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $X = -\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(-A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-1/2}^{(1)} G(j - 1/2) \right)$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (1, A^{(1)}, M^{(1)})))(v) \rangle &= \langle v', e^X v \rangle \\
 &= \langle v', e^{-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(1)} L(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)} G(j - \frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot v \rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

giving (8.3).

Similarly, by the supermeromorphicity axiom, $\langle v', \nu_1((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle$ is a polynomial in the $A_j^{(0)}$'s and $M_{j-1/2}^{(0)}$'s for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Thus $\langle v', \nu_1((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle$ is well defined for any $(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}) \in \bigwedge_\infty^\infty$. In general $((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \in \tilde{SK}(1)$, i.e., it is a generalized supersphere with tubes. Since $\langle v', \nu_1((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle$ is linear in v and v' , there exists a linear operator $R'(t)$ from V' to $\bar{V}^*$ such that

$$(8.10) \quad \langle v', \nu_1(t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle = \langle R'(t)v', v \rangle.$$

We first show that, in fact, $R'(t)$ is an operator from V' to itself. Using the sewing axiom and (8.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v', \nu_1((t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0}))) (v) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', (\nu_1(t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 *_0 \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0}))) (v) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', \nu_1(t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) (v) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle R'(t) v', e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, t_1^{-L(0)} v \rangle \\
&= \langle R'(t) v', t_1^{-L(0)} v \rangle \\
(8.11) \quad &= \langle R'(t) v', t_1^{-L(0)} v \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from

$$\begin{aligned}
& (t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) \\
&= (\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \infty_0 ((\{tt_1^j A_j^{(0)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}), (1, \mathbf{0})),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle v', \nu_1((t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \infty_0 (\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0}))) (v) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', \nu_1((\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \infty_0 ((\{tt_1^j A_j^{(0)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) (v) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', (\nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 *_0 \nu_1((\{tt_1^j A_j^{(0)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) (v) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', \nu_1(\mathbf{0}, (t_1^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{0})) e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \\
&\quad \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, \nu_1((\{tt_1^j A_j^{(0)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}), (1, \mathbf{0})) (v) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle v', t_1^{-L(0)} e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \\
&\quad \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, \nu_1((\{tt_1^j A_j^{(0)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}), (1, \mathbf{0})) (v) \rangle \\
(8.12) \quad &= \langle t_1^{-L'(0)} v', \nu_1((\{tt_1^j A_j^{(0)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}), (1, \mathbf{0})) (v) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

When $v' \in V'_{(m)}$ and $v \in V_{(n)}$, combining (8.11) and (8.12), we have

$$(8.13) \quad \langle R'(t) v', v \rangle t_1^{-n} = \langle v', \nu_1((\{tt_1^j A_j^{(0)}, tt_1^{j-\frac{1}{2}} M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}), (1, \mathbf{0})) (v) \rangle t_1^{-m}.$$

By the supermeromorphicity axiom, $\langle v', \nu_1((\{tt_1^j A_j^{(0)}, tt_1^{j-1/2} M_{j-1/2}^{(0)}\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+}), (1, \mathbf{0})) (v) \rangle$ is a power series in $t_1^{1/2}$. Then from (8.13), we conclude that $\langle R'(t) v', v \rangle = 0$ when $m < n$. From the positive energy axiom, it follows that $R'(t)$ is an operator from V' to itself. Thus the adjoint R from V to V is well defined. From Proposition 2.7,

the sewing axiom and (8.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle R'(t_1 + t_2)v', v \rangle \\
&= \langle v', \nu_1((t_1 + t_2)(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', \nu_1 \left(((t_1(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 \infty_0 ((t_2(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) \right) (v) \rangle \\
&= \langle v', \left(\nu_1((t_1(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0})) \cdot_1 *_0 \nu_1((t_2(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))) \right) (v) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle R'(t_1)v', e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \langle R'(t_2)(e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, v \rangle \\
&= \sum_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i^{(k)}=1}^{\dim V_{(k)}} \langle R'(t_1)v', e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)} \rangle \langle (e_{i^{(k)}}^{(k)})^*, R(t_2)v \rangle \\
&= \langle R'(t_1)v', R(t_2)v \rangle \\
&= \langle R'(t_2)R'(t_1)v', v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in V$ and $v' \in V'$. Thus

$$(8.14) \quad R'(t_1 + t_2) = R'(t_1)R'(t_2).$$

Since $\langle v', \nu_1(t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle$ is a polynomial in t , so is $\langle R'(t)v', v \rangle$. Hence $R'(t) \in (\text{End } V')[[t]]$. This fact and equation (8.14) imply that there exists $X \in \text{End } V'$ such that $R'(t) = e^{tX}$. Substituting this into equation (8.10), taking the derivative with respect to t and then letting $t = 0$, we obtain

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle v', \nu_1(t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \right|_{t=0} = \langle Xv', v \rangle.$$

But from the definition of $G(-j + 1/2)$ and $L(-j)$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle v', \nu_1(t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \right|_{t=0} \\
&= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(-A_j^{(0)} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial(tA_j^{(0)})} \langle v', \nu_1(t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \right) \Big|_{t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)})=\mathbf{0}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial(tM_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)})} \langle v', \nu_1(t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle \right) \Big|_{t(A^{(0)}, M^{(0)})=\mathbf{0}} \right) \\
&= - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \langle v', A_j^{(0)} L(-j)v \rangle - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \langle v', M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G(-j + \frac{1}{2})v \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $X = - \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-1/2}^{(0)} G'(j - 1/2) \right)$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle v', \nu_1((A^{(0)}, M^{(0)}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v) \rangle &= \langle e^X v', v \rangle \\
&= \langle e^{- \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \left(A_j^{(0)} L'(j) + M_{j-\frac{1}{2}}^{(0)} G'(j - \frac{1}{2}) \right)} \cdot v', v \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

giving (8.2). Hence $F_{SV} \circ F_{SG} = 1_{SG}$.

Finally, that $F_{SG} \circ F_{SV} = 1_{SV_\varphi}$ on objects is equivalent to $(V, Y(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}, \tau) = (V, Y_{\nu^Y}(\cdot, (x, \varphi)), \mathbf{1}_{\nu^Y}, \tau_{\nu^Y})$. But this is obvious since by definition

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v', Y_{\nu^Y}(v_1, (x, \varphi))v_2 \rangle|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)} &= \langle v', \nu_2^Y((z, \theta); \mathbf{0}, (1, \mathbf{0}), (1, \mathbf{0}))(v_1 \otimes v_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', Y(v_1, (x, \varphi))v_2 \rangle|_{(x, \varphi)=(z, \theta)}, \\ \langle v', \mathbf{1}_{\nu^Y} \rangle &= \langle v', \nu_0^Y(\mathbf{0}) \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \mathbf{1} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v', \tau_{\nu^Y} \rangle &= \langle v', -\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \nu_0^Y(\mathbf{0}, M(\epsilon, \frac{3}{2})) \rangle \\ &= \langle e^{\epsilon G'(\frac{3}{2})} v', \mathbf{1} \rangle \\ &= \langle G'(\frac{3}{2}) v', \mathbf{1} \rangle \\ &= \langle v', G(-\frac{3}{2}) \mathbf{1} \rangle \\ &= \langle v', \tau \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $F_{SG} \circ F_{SV} = 1_{SV_\varphi}$. $\square$

Remark 8.2. The results of this paper subsume the correspondence between geometric vertex operator algebras and vertex operator algebras developed in [H2], assuming the convergence of the central series Γ , i.e., assuming Conjecture 3.5.

REFERENCES

- [B1] K. Barron, A supergeometric interpretation of vertex operator superalgebras, *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, 1996 No. 9, Duke University Press, 409–430.
- [B2] K. Barron, *The supergeometric interpretation of vertex operator superalgebras*, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1996.
- [B3] K. Barron, “ $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebras over Grassmann algebras and with odd formal variables” in *Representations and Quantizations: Proceedings of the International Conference on Representation Theory, July 1998*, Shanghai China, Springer-Verlag, 9–39.
- [B4] K. Barron, The moduli space of $N = 1$ superspheres with tubes and the sewing operation, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear in 2003.
- [B5] K. Barron, Change of variables for $N = 1$ Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebras, in preparation.
- [B6] K. Barron, Alternate notions of $N = 1$ superconformality and relationships to $N = 2$ Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebras, in preparation.
- [BHL] K. Barron, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Factorization of formal exponentials and uniformization, *J. Alg.* **228** (2000), 551–579.
- [BPZ] A. A. Belavin, A. M. Polyakov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, Infinite conformal symmetries in two-dimensional quantum field theory, *Nuclear Phys. B* **241** (1984), 333–380.
- [Bo] R. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068–3071.
- [CR] L. Crane and J. Rabin, Super Riemann surfaces: uniformization and Teichmüller theory, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **113** (1988), 601–623.
- [D] B. DeWitt, *Supermanifolds*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Monogr. Math. Phys., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators, *Progr. Math.*, **112**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [FFR] A. J. Feingold, I. B. Frenkel and J. F. X. Ries, Spinor Construction of Vertex Operator Algebras, Triality and $E_8^{(1)}$, *Contemp. Math.* **121**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1991.

- [FG] W. Fischer and H. Grauert, Lokal-triviale Familien kompakter komplexer Mannigfaltigkeiten, *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl.* 1965, 89–94.
- [Fk] I. B. Frenkel, talk presented at the Institute for Advanced Study, 1988.
- [FHL] I. B. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, Num. 494, 1993.
- [FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [Fd] D. Friedan, “Notes on string theory and two dimensional conformal field theory” in *Unified String Theories*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1986, 162–213.
- [FS] D. Friedan and S. Shenker, The analytic geometry of two-dimensional conformal field theory, *Nuclear Phys. B* **281** (1987), 509–545.
- [G] P. Goddard, “Meromorphic conformal field theory” in *Infinite-Dimensional Lie Algebras and Groups*, ed. by V. Kac, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. **7**, World Scientific, Teaneck, N.J., 1989, 556–587.
- [GKO] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Unitary Representations of the Virasoro and Super-Virasoro Algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986), 105–119.
- [H1] Y.-Z. Huang, *On the geometric interpretation of vertex operator algebras*, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, 1990.
- [H2] Y.-Z. Huang, *Two Dimensional Conformal Geometry and Vertex Operator Algebras*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [HL1] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, “Vertex operator algebras and operads”, in: *The Gelfand Mathematical Seminar, 1990–1992*, ed. L. Corwin, I. Gelfand and J. Lepowsky, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993, 145–161.
- [HL2] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, “Operadic formulation of the notion of vertex operator algebra”, in: *Mathematical Aspects of Conformal and Topological Field Theories and Quantum Groups*, ed. P. Sally, M. Flato, J. Lepowsky, N. Reshetikhin and G. Zuckerman, Contemp. Math. **175**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994, 131–148.
- [K] V. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math. **26** (1977), 8–96.
- [KW] V. Kac and W. Wang, “Vertex operator superalgebras and their representations”, in *Mathematical Aspects of Conformal and Topological Field Theories and Quantum Groups*, ed. by P. Sally, M. Flato, J. Lepowsky, N. Reshetikhin and G. Zuckerman, Contemp. Math. **175**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994, 161–191.
- [M] J. P. May, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math., No. 271, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [NS] A. Neveu and J. H. Schwarz, Factorizable dual model of pions, *Nucl. Phys. B* **31** (1971), 86–112.
- [R] A. Rogers, Graded manifolds, supermanifolds and infinite-dimensional Grassmann algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **105** (1986), 375–384.
- [S] G. Segal, *The definition of conformal field theory*, preprint.
- [T] H. Tsukada, Vertex operator superalgebras, *Comm. Algebra* **18** (1990), 2249–2274.
- [V] C. Vafa, Conformal theories and punctured surfaces, *Phys. Lett. B* **199** (1987), 195–202.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 255 HURLEY HALL, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME IN 46556

E-mail address: kbarron@nd.edu